WANG Haozhou Extracting DBH

measurements from

RGB photo images

University of New Brunswick Faculty of Forestry and Environmental Management **FREDERICTON**

UNB







Methods Site, instruments, and algorithms



Results and Discussion Effectiveness, pros and cons



Conclusion Acknowledgement and references







Site, instruments, and algorithms



Results and Discussion Effectiveness, pros and cons























Results and Discussion Effectiveness, pros and cons

Conclusion Acknowledgement and references





ኯኇኇኇኇኇኇኇኇኇኇኇኇኇኇኇ









<u>፝</u>ኯኯኯኯኯኯኯኯኯኯኯኯኯኯኯኯኯኯኯኯ











Methods Site, instruments, and algorithms



Results and Discussion

Effectiveness, pros and cons







1.Most of calculated distance distributed below 15m 2.Underestimate: hard to guarantee the tape no curving 3. Overestimate: distance too far, the trunks in photo are too small (only a few pixels), causes variance





1.Equivalence test: region of similarity = 32% at α = 0.05
2.Standard errors associated with multiple DBH measurements increased with increasing distance from the camera





Results and Discussion

Reasons that cause error





 Different photo angles may capture shorter or longer axes of the stem cross-section

Field measured: No.203 = 17.5cm



Results and Discussion

Reasons that cause error



Field measured: No.59 = 16.4cm

 Tree lean is another factor that contributes to large deviations in DBH measurement





3. the photo measured diameter position was consistently higher than that of field survey
4. Key points are marked manually which may

cause error







Parallel laser lin



Make the photo calculated and field measured places on trunk closed to each other The best distance between trees and camera is around 10-

20m

single trees rather)- than multiple trees

Just take photos for

Automate laser line detection through **artificial intelligence** (AI) to **minimize** human-induced



errors associated with manual selection







Methods Site, instruments, and algorithms



Results and Discussion Effectiveness, pros and cons





The procedure and algorithms to extract the

DBH of trees from digital images with laser line have been illustrated.

The calculated results have also been **validated** by the field survey data. It is **applicable** to obtain DBH from photos Under the circumstance of **tree shield**, the survey ratio is up to **82.2%** which has high correlation with field survey data Due to the **limitation of picture resolution** which could make trees not recognizable, **the best distance** is **<15 m**.

In the future, this new technology could

- 1. be applied for estimating the **upper-stem diameter**
- 2. optimize **image identification algorithm** and reduce people participation

An **open source software** developed in **Python 3.5** also makes great contribution for **simplify** the **calculation procedure** and making it convenience for other researchers' usage



1. Funding for this project

• NSERC Discovery Grant (RGPIN 04280)

2. Advisor and supervisor for this thesis

• John A.Kershaw

3. Assistance in helping collect field data and images

- Julie Henderson
- Elizabeth McGarrigle
- 4. Guidance for Python programming and GUI making

• Zhijie HOU

5. Support and encouragement

• Yaquan CHANG

